

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S425.029	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	PHTTCCT are concerned that there is overlap with this chapter and the National Environmental Standard for Freshwater (NES:FW).	Amend NATC to remove any overlap with NES:FW	Accept in part
S502.034	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	We seek that this chapter be renamed to Wetlands, Lakes and River Margins to make these rules easier to find. The renaming of this chapter also more adequately covers the rules being presented within this section.	Amend the zone chapter name to Wetlands, Lakes and River Margins	Reject
S503.043	Waitangi Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	We seek that this chapter be renamed to Wetlands, Lakes and River Margins to make these rules easier to find	Amend the title of the 'Natural Character' chapter to 'Wetlands, Lakes and River Margins'	Reject
S477.014	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences	Accept in part
S346.003	Paradise Found Developments Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Resource consents granted for Wiroa Station, 40 McKenzie Road, Purerua Peninsula, Kerikeri (being Lots 1-21 DP 497523) have been given effect to, and remain live, thus development of Wiroa Station, including vacant lots and the Property itself continue to be enabled by those consents. In other words, the Resource Consents enable development, and completion of the Wiroa Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. 8. However, the Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the Resource Consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the property in a manner that is inconsistent with the resource consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by those. Especially the controls within the Coastal Environment overlay, which covers	Amend the Proposed District Plan to explicitly, and specifically provide for, and preserve the activities and land uses authorised under the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station, 40 McKenzie Road, Purerua Peninsula, Kerikeri (being Lots 1-21 DP 497523); and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station as a permitted activity as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment and Coastal Flooding and/or Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to provide for extensions and alterations to existing structures at Wiroa Station, in a manner	N/A

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				the entire property. Parts of the property are also identified as being subject to the Coastal Flood overlays. Insofar as these interfere with, or purport to restrict development authorised under the resource consents, these are inappropriate. Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments	consistent with the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station.		
FS143.78	Mataka Residents' Association Inc		Support	A special purpose zone and/or structure plan is appropriate to apply to subdivision and development such as the submitter's property, where previous resource consents have established development entitlements together with considerable landscape and biodiversity benefits. The Proposed District Plan will restrict development of the property in a manner inconsistent with these resource consents, including approved but unimplemented development on the property. The relief sought to provide for and preserve activities authorised under the resource consents is consistent with the outcome sought in submissions for Mataka Station and is supported. This includes the need to recognise the special characterises of properties such as the submitter's and the further submitter's through the application of a special purpose zone and/or structure plan.	Allow		N/A
FS566.021	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	N/A
S359.040	Northland Regional Council	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	There are potential effects associated with carbon farming such as lack of fire breaks, closer planting spaces and resulting difficulty	Amend the Plan to consider including controls on exotic carbon forestry within the coastal environment, natural character areas, ONFL and areas of elite soils		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				in pest management as well as those normally associated with production forestry such as amenity and visual effects, wilding pine and fire risk.	to protect the values of these resources and to manage nuisance such as shading, plant pest spread and fire risk.		
FS108.6	Manulife Forest Management		Oppose	The NES PF already has rules in place to address these concerns. Adding further rules would be onerous and provide a lack of clarity.	Disallow		Accept in part
FS570.1076	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.501	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1090	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1112	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S429.005	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	In areas where freshwater issues are relevant to District Council functions and the DP, the NPS Freshwater Management of 2020 needs to be given effect in all relevant parts of the DP, including the Ecosystems & Biodiversity chapter and Natural Character chapter.	Amend the Plan to ensure that when subdivision, land use or development is considered, it gives effect to: -the NPS FM's fundamental concept of Te Mana o te Wai (including the principles and the hierarchy of obligations) should be applied to all freshwater issues that may be affected by development, not just the aspects of freshwater management referred to in the NPS (this point is stated in NPS FMs1.3(2)) -Policies and rules to promote positive effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects) of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments (NPS FM s3.5(4)) -Avoiding the loss of wetlands and protecting their values: The loss of extent of natural inland wetlands is avoided, their values are protected, and their		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					restoration is promoted...' (NPS FM s3.22). We note, in particular, that some provisions of the Natural Character chapter seem to contradict the NPS-FM. -Requirements to use water sensitive and low impact designs for stormwater and wastewater, including constructed wetlands (vegetated retention ponds) to retain stormwater and runoff and prevent silt and pollutants being carried into waterways. -To avoid/reduce freshwater pollution generated by wastewater emissions, it should be a requirement to use enclosed wastewater systems that use disposal-to-land (i.e. systems that do not rely on dispersal via water or disposal into water) such as electrocoagulation methods involving coagulation and flocculation, widely used in parts of Europe. If not a requirement, these systems should at minimum be given priority over systems that rely on dispersal or disposal via water. -When subdivision or development takes place, all waterways should be protected by requirements for native planting and other measures.		
FS66.19	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The relief sought in the submission lacks specificity, such that the exact nature of effect of the changes sought can not be understood. That said, the Proposed Plan generally gives appropriate effect to the provisions of the NPS Freshwater Management, acknowledging that the functions under this NPS primarily fall to the Regional Council.	Disallow		Accept in part
S356.065	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Neutral	It is not clear why only the natural character of wetlands, lake and river margins are relevant to this chapter, particularly in the context of APP1 and assessment of Outstanding and High Natural Character areas. It is suggested that the policy framework considers all aspects of natural character in a tiered approach.	Amend this section to account for all areas of Natural Character and implement a tiered approach to Outstanding and High Natural Character areas as identified through APP1.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS78.018	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter has sought a stand-alone policy for the National Grid to give effect to the NPSET. If that relief is not accepted by Council the Natural Character section of the proposed FNDP will apply to the National Grid and it does not appear to give effect to either the NPSET or the NPSFM.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S355.001	Wakaiti Dalton	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	We note that across the PDP the standards for building setbacks from MHW does not have standards for setbacks from rivers, lakes and wetlands. We consider this to be an issue as stormwater generation from impermeable surfaces can adversely affect the waterbodies.	Seek amendments across the PDP to incorporate setbacks from all freshwater bodies.		Accept in part
FS547.005	Heron Point Limited		Support in part	Not stated	Allow	Allow the submission, subject to appropriate drafting	Accept in part
FS305.005	Dempsey Family Trust		Support in part	Some setbacks from water courses and bodies is acceptable.	Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Accept in part
FS361.022	Willowridge Developments Limited		Support	Willowridge Developments Limited agrees that Rule IB-R1 should be amended to include maximum clearance thresholds as it is considered that the provisions as notified are overly onerous.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S442.019	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	RMA s74((1) states that: 'A territorial authority must prepare and change its district plan in accordance with ... a national policy statement'. District councils manage the margins of water bodies and the activities that can occur in these areas. Several parts of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPS-FM) give national direction to district councils specifically.	Amend PDP to give effect to the NPS Freshwater Management 2020 in all relevant parts of the DP, including the Ecosystems and Biodiversity, and Natural Character Chapters.		Accept in part
FS404.080	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support	The relief seeks to achieve the purpose of the Act and is consistent with the intent of the D-G's primary submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1715	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.630	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1742	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Accept in part
S442.020	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The implementation of the NPS-FM and managing freshwater to give effect to Te Mana o Te Wai is primarily the responsibility of the regional council, however clause 3.5(4) specifically requires that every territorial authority includes objectives, policies, and methods in its district plan to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments - 'Every territorial authority must include objectives, policies, and methods in its district plan to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments.' (s3.5(4))'	Amend the PDP to avoid the loss of wetlands and protecting their values: 'The loss of extent of natural inland wetlands is avoided, their values are protected, and their restoration is promoted...' (NPS FM s3.22). We note, in particular, that some provisions of the Natural Character chapter seem to contradict the NPS-FM.		Accept in part
FS404.081	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support	The relief seeks to achieve the purpose of the Act and is consistent with the intent of the D-G's primary submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.631	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.			
FS569.1743	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Accept in part
S436.004	Northland Fish and Game Council	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The right to build, tag and use maimai is a fundamental part of duck hunting in New Zealand and managing this activity is a core function of NFGC. NFGC enforces the relevant legislation that regulates maimai use in the field (Wildlife Act, 1953, Wildlife Regulations 1955). A wide range of structures are used as maimai, including permanent and temporary structures. Such structures are accepted around much of New Zealand as a permitted activity. The maximum floor size for maimai (10m ²) is already controlled by the Building Act 2004 under s41(1)b and Schedule 1(3). Maimai need to be of adequate size to maintain safe shooting zones and not to compromise hunter safety.	Insert provisions that provide for the building of maimai on wetlands or near a lake or river as a permitted activity.		Accept in part
FS570.1468	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.090	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of Fish and Game other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1482	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1504	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.150	Top Energy Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Top Energy understands that this Chapter seeks to manage the natural character associated with the margins of waterbodies (wetlands, lakes and rivers). It is unclear how	Amend to clarify the relationship between this Chapter and the NES:FW.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				this Chapter interacts with the National Environmental Standard for Freshwater Management (NES:FW) in regards to natural wetlands (including coastal wetlands), and whether consideration has been given to the potential for duplication of consenting requirements under these frameworks			
FS345.201	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S399.061	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	Overview	Not Stated	Sentence 2 of the Overview fails to recognise that lake, wetland and riparian margins provide ecological connection ki uta ki tai and provide important habitats for some species (e.g. spawning native fish).	Amend Sentence 2 of the first paragraph of the Overview to read as follows: The margins of these waterbodies are areas of important and valued natural character and provide ecological connection and habitats for native species , support public and customary access, recreation and hazard management.		Accept in part
S399.062	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	Overview	Not Stated	Natural Character does not only relate to the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers. The overview section mentions natural character in the Coastal Marine Area (as required by the NZCPS), but there are no policies or objectives relating to natural character except as it applies to the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers.	Amend the overview, objectives and policies to address natural character of terrestrial ecosystems. This would include by providing objectives and policies relating to natural character outside the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers.		Reject
	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	Natural character is a matter that Federated Farmers and its members are heavily invested in. This is a significant proportion of natural character located in the Far North and which has been preserved on farmland throughout the district. Our members are constantly improving riparian margins and natural character on their land through planting, fencing, and retiring land with natural character from use. All this is done largely at their expense. Federated Farmers supports the use non-regulatory measures to assist landowners to continue on this journey. It is important that the Council recognises and provides for in the district plan for activities that have a functional need to be	Insert a sentence in the Overview that recognises that some activities will have a functional need to be located within an area of natural character		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				located within an area of natural character. These activities are required to be located next to the resources that they utilise and cannot be located anywhere else. These activities need to be provided for as they form part of a working landscape.			
FS196.96	Joe Carr		Support	recognises functional needs	Allow		Accept
FS570.1372	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.374	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1386	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1408	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S364.049	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	Overview	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part
FS548.098	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1130	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.189	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.			
FS566.1144	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1166	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S399.063	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	Natural Character does not only relate to the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers. The overview section mentions natural character in the Coastal Marine Area (as required by the NZCPS), but there are no policies or objectives relating to natural character except as it applies to the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers.	Amend the overview, objectives and policies to address natural character of terrestrial ecosystems. This would include by providing objectives and policies relating to natural character outside the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers.		Reject
S179.072	Russell Protection Society (INC)	Objectives	Support	In view of the fact that coastal zones are not provided for in the Proposed district plan, then the Coastal Environment, Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscape Overlays become very important in helping to define the boundaries of Russell and in safeguarding a suitable backdrop or canvass which to interpret and appreciate the historic township. It is especially important that these overlays provide adequate protection to the headlands framing Russell and the natural coastal escarpments that characterize the balance of the Russell Peninsula. For this reason it is important to control subdivision and development of coastal lands in the area.	Retain objectives		Accept in part
FS51.92	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part	HNZPT is supportive of the planning framework notified for the protection of the district's Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscapes.	Allow in part		Accept in part
FS23.034	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support in part	Support to the extent consistent with our primary submission. Agree consideration needs to be given to how such overlays apply or are integrated into urban zones.	Allow in part	Allow relief sought to the extent relief sought is consistent with our primary submission.	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S511.071	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	Need to assess and map natural character areas as has been done for the coastal environment. The extent of these natural character areas should reflect the need to allow for change / retreat as a result of the effects of climate change. E.g. there should be buffer zones which anticipate future changes to their nature and/or extent.	Insert new objective "Assess and identify in district plan maps natural character areas around wetland, lake, and river margins" or similar. Insert new objective "Provide for changes in the location and extent of natural character areas as a result of the effects of climate change, including inclusion of buffer areas to take into account increased flooding and the need for ecosystem retreat as a result of sea level rise."		Reject
FS310.1	Living Waters Bay of Islands		Support	This is required by s.6(a) RMA. The methodology to assess and identify areas of high and outstanding natural character should be based upon the specific methodology used in the Regional Policy Statement, namely: https://www.nrc.govt.nz/media/en5buc1z/naturalcharactermappingmethodology.pdf The reason for this is that many lowland river, lagoon and estuarine margins within the coastal environment are already described and mapped in the RPS.	Allow		Reject
FS66.90	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The relief sought lacks specificity of outcome and should be addressed by way of a Variation.	Disallow		Accept
FS66.177	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The proposed objective is in fact a method, and the existing proposed objectives and provisions of the District Plan in respect to natural character (subject to any changes sought or supported by the further submitter) better achieve the purpose of the RMA 1991.	Disallow		Accept
FS164.071	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.			
FS548.161	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The proposed objective is extremely broad in scope and as written has the potential to capture vast areas of privately owned land with its vague inclusion of buffer areas and ecosystem retreat.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept
FS570.1642	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1656	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1678	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S442.090	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Support in part	Need to assess and map natural character areas as has been done for the coastal environment. The extent of these natural character areas should reflect the need to allow for change / retreat as a result of the effects of climate change. E.g. there should be buffer zones which anticipate future changes to their nature and/or extent.	Insert new objective "Assess and identify in district plan maps natural character areas around wetland, lake, and river margins" or similar. Insert new objective: "Provide for changes in the location and extent of natural character areas as a result of the effects of climate change, including inclusion of buffer areas to take into account increased flooding and the need for ecosystem retreat as a result of sea level rise."		Reject
FS66.91	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The relief sought lacks specificity of outcome and should be addressed by way of a Variation.	Disallow		Accept
FS66.178	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The proposed objective is in fact a method, and the existing proposed objectives and provisions of the District Plan in respect to natural character (subject to any changes sought or supported by the further submitter) better achieve the purpose of the RMA 1991.	Disallow		Accept
FS346.701	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.			
S442.187	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Support in part	Need to assess and map natural character areas as has been done for the coastal environment. The extent of these natural character areas should allow for change / retreat as a result of the effects of climate change. E.g. buffer zones.	Insert new objective Assess and identify in district plan maps natural character areas around wetland, lake, and river margins or similar. Insert new objective Identify and establish buffer zones that encompass potential changes in the location and extent of natural character areas as a result of natural processes and the effects of climate change.		Reject
FS66.179	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The proposed objective is in fact a method, and the existing proposed objectives and provisions of the District Plan in respect to natural character (subject to any changes sought or supported by the further submitter) better achieve the purpose of the RMA 1991.	Disallow		Accept
FS346.798	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S425.030	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Objectives	Support in part	Furthermore, the provisions do not adequately provide for the maintenance, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure in accordance with the RPS	Amend provisions to ensure that maintenance, operation, and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure is provided for.		Accept in part
FS36.054	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	Supports that District Plan provisions should adequately provide for the maintenance, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure in accordance with the Regional Policy Statement.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
S483.151	Top Energy Limited	Objectives	Not Stated	It is critical that operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of the electricity distribution network is appropriately provided for to ensure the continued resilience of this lifeline service throughout the District.	Insert additional objectives that recognise the need for the location of new infrastructure within the margins of waterbodies where there is an operational and functional need, and any adverse effects are adequately managed.		Accepted in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				Top Energy seeks amendments to this chapter to make sure that this is provided for all electricity infrastructure noting the potential for overlap with NES:FW	Insert additional objective that provides for and enables the operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of infrastructure within the margins of waterbodies.		
FS111.079	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support in part	PHTTCCT support amendments to ensure operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of all infrastructure is provided for.	Allow in part	allow in part original submission	Accepted in part
FS346.077	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought do not adequately recognise and provide for s6(c) matters.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accepted in part
FS345.202	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accepted in part
S542.017	Marianna Fenn	Objectives	Support in part	Need to assess and map natural character areas as has been done for the coastal environment. The extent of these natural character areas should allow for change / retreat as a result of the effects of climate change. E.g. buffer zones	Insert new objective Assess and identify in district plan maps natural character areas around wetland, lake, and river margins or similar. Insert new objective Identify and establish buffer zones that encompass potential changes in the location and extent of natural character areas as a result of natural processes and the effects of climate change		Reject
FS570.2145	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.880	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.2159	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.2181	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S463.034	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	NATC-O1	Oppose	This objective appears to be a recombination of RMA s6(a) with the inclusion of a vague reference to "long-term" protection and a superfluous reference to "current and future generations". However, the objective fails to recognise the RMA s6(a) distinction regarding protection from inappropriate activities. The objective appears to envisage outright "preservation and protection" without recognition that some activities and the associated effects, may not necessarily be inappropriate.	Delete Objective NATC-O1		Accept in part
FS67.63	The Shooting Box Limited		Support	As noted by the submitter, the objective appears to envisage outright "preservation and protection" without recognition that some activities and the associated effects, may not necessarily be inappropriate.	Allow		Accept in part
FS68.65	P S Yates Family Trust		Support	As noted by the submitter, the objective appears to envisage outright "preservation and protection" without recognition that some activities and the associated effects, may not necessarily be inappropriate.	Allow		Accept in part
FS69.63	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Support	As noted by the submitter, the objective appears to envisage outright "preservation and protection" without recognition that some activities and the associated effects, may not necessarily be inappropriate.	Allow		Accept in part
FS91.9	Moana Kiff		Oppose	We strongly support NATC-01 as it encapsulates the essential principle of preserving and protecting the natural character of our wetland, lake, and river margins for the benefit of current and future generations. This objective aligns with our commitment to responsible and environmental stewardship and ensuring the lasting integrity of these critical eco-systems. We respectfully disagree with Waiaua Bay Farms request to delete NATC-01. While they argue that it resembles RMAs6(a) and contains vague references, we believe that	Disallow		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				this objective provides a clear and specific focus on the long term protection of these natural areas. It emphasises the importance of safeguarding their unique qualities while allowing for responsible activities that do not compromise their well-being. We advocate for retaining NATC-01 in the plan as it signifies our dedication to balancing human activities with the conservation of our natural character areas, ensuring that they remain valuable assets for both present and future generations.			
FS66.89	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support	As noted by the submitter, the objective appears to envisage outright "preservation and protection" without recognition that some activities and the associated effects, may not necessarily be inappropriate.	Allow		Accept in part
S421.143	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NATC-O1	Support in part	Federated Farmers does not support objectives NATC-O1 and NATC-O2 as currently drafted. The objectives are inconsistent with section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 which requires the protection of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use, development. The objectives need to be amended to be consistent with section 6(a) of the Act.	Amend Objective NATC-O1 as follows: The natural character of wetland, lake and river margins are managed to ensure their long-term preservation and protection for future generations and protection against inappropriate use and development. or wording with similar intent		Accept in part
FS196.95	Joe Carr		Support	sensible change	Allow		Accept in part
FS354.118	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The wording sought is more consistent with s6 of the RMA.	Allow	Allow S421.143	Accept in part
FS570.1375	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.377	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.1389	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1411	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S364.050	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-O1	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part
FS548.099	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1131	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.190	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1145	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1167	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S529.139	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	NATC-O1	Support		Retain NATC-O1		Accept in part
FS570.2027	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.2041	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.2063	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S394.028	Haititaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust	NATC-O1	Support in part	The Proposed Plan must provide a broader scope of protection to ensure consistency with higher order instruments.	Amend Objective NATC-O1 as follows: ~~The natural character of w~~ Wetland, lake and river margins are managed to ensure ~~their~~ long term preservation and protection ~~of their values~~ for future generations.		Accept in part
FS588.028	Ian Bamber		Support	Supports entire submission to protect our waahi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS363.028	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS538.028	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS537.028	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS536.028	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS535.028	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS533.028	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS532.028	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS531.028	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS530.028	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS529.028	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS528.028	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS527.028	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS526.028	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS525.028	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS524.028	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS523.028	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS522.028	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS521.028	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS520.028	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS519.028	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS518.028	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS517.028	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS516.028	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS515.028	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS514.028	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS513.028	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS512.028	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS511.028	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS510.028	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS509.028	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS508.028	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS507.028	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS506.028	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS505.028	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS504.028	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS503.028	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS502.028	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS501.028	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS500.028	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS499.028	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS498.028	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS497.028	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS496.028	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS495.028	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS494.028	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS493.028	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS492.028	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS491.028	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS490.028	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS489.028	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS487.028	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS486.028	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS485.028	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS483.028	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS482.028	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS481.028	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS480.028	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS479.028	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS478.028	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS477.028	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS476.028	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS475.028	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS474.028	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS473.028	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS472.028	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS471.028	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS470.028	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS469.028	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS468.028	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS467.028	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS466.028	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS465.028	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS464.028	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS463.028	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS462.028	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS461.028	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS460.028	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS459.028	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS458.028	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS457.028	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS456.028	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS455.028	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS454.028	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS453.028	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS452.028	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS451.028	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS450.028	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS447.028	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS440.028	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS439.028	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS436.028	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS435.028	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS434.028	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS433.028	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS432.028	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS431.028	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS430.028	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS429.028	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS428.028	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS427.028	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS426.028	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS425.028	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS423.028	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS422.028	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS421.028	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS420.028	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS418.028	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS417.028	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS416.028	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS415.028	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS408.028	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS388.028	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS387.028	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS386.028	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS385.028	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS382.028	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS381.028	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS380.028	Ashleigh Heteraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS379.028	Kaya Heteraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS378.028	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS365.028	Roberta Heteraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS360.028	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS359.028	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS358.028	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS357.028	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS356.028	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS568.028	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS567.028	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS563.028	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS562.028	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS561.028	Ivan Wimoka Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS560.028	Dylan Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS559.028	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS558.028	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS557.028	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS556.028	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS555.028	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS553.028	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS552.028	Barbara May Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS551.028	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS546.028	Shona Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS545.028	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS544.028	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS178.028	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS413.028	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S527.015	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	NATC-O1	Support	not stated	Retain NATC-O1 as notified (inferred)		Accept in part
FS566.1877	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S463.035	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	NATC-O2	Oppose	The requirement that development "...not compromise the characteristics and qualities of the natural character" is vague and a de-facto requirement to avoid all adverse effects, regardless of how negligible, and despite any net environmental gains that might be associated with a development proposal.	Amend Objective NATC-O2 as follows: NATC-O2 Land use and subdivision is ~~consistent with~~ and ~~does not compromise~~ maintains or enhances the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of wetland, lake and river margins.		Accept in part
FS91.10	Moana Kiff		Oppose	We support the original policy which aligns with our commitment to preserving the natural character of these areas without the proposed amendment.	Disallow		Accept in part
FS66.92	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support	The relief sought by the submitter gives better effect to the RPS and the RMA 1991.	Allow		Accept in part
S364.051	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-O2	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS548.0100	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS570.1132	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.191	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1146	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1168	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S421.144	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NATC-O2	Support in part	Federated Farmers does not support objectives NATC-O1 and NATC-O2 as currently drafted. The objectives are inconsistent with section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 which requires the protection of natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use, development. The objectives need to be amended to be consistent with section 6(a) of the Act.	Amend Objective NATC-O2 as follows: Land use, development and subdivision is consistent with and does not inappropriately compromise the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of wetland, lake, and river margins or wording with similar intent		Accept in part
FS354.119	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The wording sought is more consistent with s6 of the RMA.	Allow	Allow S421.144	Accept in part
FS570.1376	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.378	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.			
FS566.1390	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1412	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S454.088	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	NATC-O2	Not Stated	A consequential amendment to this objective is required to ensure that the FNPDP gives effect to the NPSET as set out in the submission point on I-P2 above.	Amend objective NATC-O2 as follows: Subject to I-Px , Land use and subdivision is consistent with and does not compromise the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of wetland, lake and river margins.		Reject
FS346.033	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendments sought are inappropriate to recognise and provide for s6(a) matters.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS404.022	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	As the D-G opposes the submitter's relief for I-P2, the consequential amendments are also opposed.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS369.363	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports the amendment to give effect to the NPSET	Allow	Amend	Reject
S425.031	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Policies	Support in part	Furthermore, the provisions do not adequately provide for the maintenance, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure in accordance with the RPS	Amend provisions to ensure that maintenance, operation, and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure is provided for.		Reject
S399.064	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	Policies	Not Stated	Natural Character does not only relate to the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers. The overview section mentions natural character in the Coastal Marine Area (as required by the NZCPS), but there are no policies or objectives relating to natural character except as it applies to the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers.	Amend the overview, objectives and policies to address natural character of terrestrial ecosystems. This would include by providing objectives and policies relating to natural character outside the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S179.073	Russell Protection Society (INC)	Policies	Support	In view of the fact that coastal zones are not provided for in the Proposed district plan, then the Coastal Environment, Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscape Overlays become very important in helping to define the boundaries of Russell and in safeguarding a suitable backdrop or canvass which to interpret and appreciate the historic township. It is especially important that these overlays provide adequate protection to the headlands framing Russell and the natural coastal escarpments that characterize the balance of the Russell Peninsula. For this reason it is important to control subdivision and development of coastal lands in the area.	Retain policies		Accept in part
FS51.93	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part	HNZPT is supportive of the planning framework notified for the protection of the district's Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscapes.	Allow in part		Accept in part
FS23.035	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support in part	Support to the extent consistent with our primary submission. Agree consideration needs to be given to how such overlays apply or are integrated into urban zones.	Allow in part	Allow relief sought to the extent relief sought is consistent with our primary submission.	Accept in part
FS23.036	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support in part	Support to the extent consistent with our primary submission. Agree consideration needs to be given to how such overlays apply or are integrated into urban zones.	Allow in part	Allow relief sought to the extent relief sought is consistent with our primary submission.	Accept in part
S483.152	Top Energy Limited	Policies	Not Stated	It is critical that operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of the electricity distribution network is appropriately provided for to ensure the continued resilience of this lifeline service throughout the District. Top Energy seeks amendments to this chapter to make sure that this is provided for all electricity infrastructure noting the potential for overlap with NES:FW	Insert additional policies that recognise the need for the location of new infrastructure within the margins of waterbodies where there is an operational and functional need, and any adverse effects are adequately managed. Insert additional policy that provides for and enables the operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of infrastructure within the margins of waterbodies.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS111.080	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support in part	PHTTCCT support amendments to ensure operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of all infrastructure is provided for.	Allow in part	allow in part original submission	Reject
FS346.078	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought do not adequately recognise and provide for s6(c) matters.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS345.203	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S356.066	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NATC-P1	Oppose	The policy is considered too onerous to apply to all wetland, lake and river margins, and should only apply to Outstanding Natural Character Areas.	Amend to refer to only Outstanding Natural Character areas.		Reject
FS78.019	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter has sought a stand-alone policy for the National Grid to give effect to the NPSET. If that relief is not accepted by Council this policy will apply to the National Grid and it does not appear to give effect to either the NPSET or the NPSFM.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS346.048	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendment sought does not adequately recognise and provide for s6(a) matters	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
S364.052	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-P1	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part
FS548.101	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1133	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.192	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1147	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1169	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.035	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-P1	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams	Amend reference to lake in Policy NATC-P1 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.			
FS534.025	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the unqualified application of the natural character provisions to the margins of artificial waterbodies (i.e., water storages and farm dams that fall within the definition of "lakes") is likely to be problematic. An exception for artificial waterbodies is an appropriate method to avoid this situation from arising.	Allow	allow original submission	Accept in part
FS570.593	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.607	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.629	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S394.029	Haititaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust	NATC-P1	Support in part	In the case of natural inland wetlands, loss of wetland extent must be avoided, their values protected and restoration promoted (including wetland margins) under the NPSFM 2020. In the case of rivers, loss of extent and values must be avoided, unless there is a functional need for the activity in that location and the effects of the activity are managed via the effect hierarchy. Operational need is not a relevant (or permissible) consideration.	Amend Policy NATC-P1 to ensure that it is not contrary to the NPSFM.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS588.029	Ian Bamber		Support	Supports entire submission to protect our waahi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS363.029	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS538.029	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS537.029	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS536.029	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS535.029	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS533.029	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS532.029	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS531.029	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS530.029	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS529.029	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS528.029	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS527.029	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS526.029	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS525.029	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS524.029	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS523.029	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS522.029	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS521.029	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS520.029	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS519.029	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS518.029	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS517.029	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS516.029	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS515.029	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS514.029	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS513.029	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS512.029	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS511.029	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS510.029	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS509.029	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS508.029	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS507.029	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS506.029	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS505.029	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS504.029	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS503.029	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS502.029	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS501.029	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS500.029	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS499.029	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS498.029	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS497.029	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS496.029	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS495.029	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS494.029	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS493.029	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS492.029	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS491.029	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS490.029	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS489.029	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS487.029	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS486.029	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS485.029	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS483.029	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS482.029	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS481.029	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS480.029	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS479.029	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS478.029	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS477.029	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS476.029	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS475.029	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS474.029	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS473.029	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS472.029	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS471.029	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS470.029	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS469.029	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS468.029	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS467.029	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS466.029	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS465.029	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS464.029	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS463.029	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS462.029	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS461.029	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS460.029	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS459.029	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS458.029	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS457.029	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS456.029	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS455.029	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS454.029	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS453.029	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS452.029	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS451.029	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS450.029	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS447.029	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS440.029	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS439.029	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS436.029	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS435.029	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS434.029	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS433.029	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS432.029	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS431.029	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS430.029	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS429.029	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS428.029	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS427.029	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS426.029	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS425.029	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS423.029	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS422.029	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS421.029	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS420.029	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS418.029	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS417.029	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS416.029	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS415.029	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS408.029	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS388.029	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS387.029	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS386.029	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS385.029	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS382.029	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS381.029	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS380.029	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS379.029	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS378.029	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS365.029	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS360.029	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS359.029	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS358.029	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS357.029	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS356.029	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS568.029	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS567.029	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS563.029	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS562.029	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS561.029	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS560.029	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS559.029	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS558.029	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS557.029	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS556.029	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS555.029	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS553.029	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS552.029	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS551.029	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS546.029	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS545.029	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS544.029	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS178.029	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS413.029	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S421.145	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NATC-P2	Oppose	Federated Farmers does not support policy NATC-O2 as it is currently drafted in the proposed district plan. The policy is inconsistent with section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 as it links to an assessment guide which breaks natural character into outstanding and high. As previously discussed in this submission, Federated Farmers does not support the use of high natural character in the proposed district plan.	Delete the concept of high natural character from Policy NATC-O2 (NATC-P2 inferred) and associated Appendix 1 Mapping methods and criteria.		Reject
FS24.17	Lynley Newport		Support	Agree - the Act separates high from outstanding quite deliberately.	Allow		Reject
FS393.024	Amanda Kennedy, Julia Kennedy Till and Simon Till		Support	The reason contained within the Original Submission No 412.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS570.1377	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS346.379	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1391	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1413	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S511.072	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	NATC-P2	Support in part	Support identification and assessment of these natural character areas. Assessment and mapping needs to be undertaken for the entire district and included within the plan. The Coastal Environment Chapter does not address natural character of wetlands lakes and river margins.	Amend to include reference to maps of identified natural character areas inside and outside the coastal environment		Reject
FS310.2	Living Waters Bay of Islands		Support	Necessary to be consistent with the assessment methodology and mapping used for the Regional Policy Statement and maps within the coastal environment. It is noted that the NRC has also commissioned some reports on natural character mapping of lakes and rivers within the district/region.	Allow		Reject
FS66.93	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The relief sought does not give proper effect to the RPS.	Disallow		Accept
FS164.072	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject
FS570.1643	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1657	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1679	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S442.091	Kapiro Conservation Trust	NATC-P2	Support in part	Support identification and assessment of these natural character areas. Assessment and mapping needs to be undertaken for the entire district and included within the plan. The Coastal Environment Chapter does not address natural character of wetlands lakes and river margins.	Amend to include reference to maps of identified natural character areas inside and outside the coastal environment.		Reject
FS66.94	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The relief sought does not give proper effect to the RPS	Disallow		Accept
FS346.702	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S442.188	Kapiro Conservation Trust	NATC-P2	Support in part	Support identification and assessment of natural character areas but need to maps these throughout the district.	Amend to include reference to maps of identified natural character areas in both coastal and inland parts of the district.		Reject
FS66.95	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose	The relief sought does not give proper effect to the RPS	Disallow		Accept
FS346.799	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S364.085	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-P2	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part
FS548.102	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1166	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.225	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1180	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1202	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.036	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-P2	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams	Amend reference to lake in Policy NATC-P2 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.			
FS534.026	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the unqualified application of the natural character provisions to the margins of artificial waterbodies (i.e., water storages and farm dams that fall within the definition of "lakes") is likely to be problematic. An exception for artificial waterbodies is an appropriate method to avoid this situation from arising.	Allow	allow original submission	Accept in part
FS570.594	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.608	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.630	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S542.018	Marianna Fenn	NATC-P2	Support in part	Support identification and assessment of natural character areas but need to maps these throughout the district.	Amend to include reference to maps of identified natural character areas in both coastal and inland parts of the district.		Reject
FS570.2146	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.881	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.2160	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.2182	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S394.030	Haititaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust	NATC-P2	Support in part	In the case of natural inland wetlands, loss of wetland extent must be avoided, their values protected and restoration promoted (including wetland margins) under the NPSFM 2020. In the case of rivers, loss of extent and values must be avoided, unless there is a functional need for the activity in that location and the effects of the activity are managed via the effect hierarchy. Operational need is not a relevant (or permissible) consideration.	Amend Policy NATC-P2 to ensure that it is not contrary to the NPSFM.		Accept in part
FS588.030	Ian Bamber		Support	Supports entire submission to protect our waahi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS363.030	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS538.030	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS537.030	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS536.030	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS535.030	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS533.030	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS532.030	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS531.030	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS530.030	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS529.030	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS528.030	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS527.030	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS526.030	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS525.030	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS524.030	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS523.030	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS522.030	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS521.030	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS520.030	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS519.030	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS518.030	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS517.030	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS516.030	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS515.030	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS514.030	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS513.030	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS512.030	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS511.030	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS510.030	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS509.030	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS508.030	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS507.030	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS506.030	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS505.030	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS504.030	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS503.030	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS502.030	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS501.030	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS500.030	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS499.030	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS498.030	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS497.030	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS496.030	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS495.030	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS494.030	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS493.030	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS492.030	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS491.030	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS490.030	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS489.030	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS487.030	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS486.030	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS485.030	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS483.030	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS482.030	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS481.030	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS480.030	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS479.030	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS478.030	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS477.030	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS476.030	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS475.030	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS474.030	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS473.030	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS472.030	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS471.030	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS470.030	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS469.030	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS468.030	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS467.030	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS466.030	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS465.030	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS464.030	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS463.030	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS462.030	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS461.030	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS460.030	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS459.030	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS458.030	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS457.030	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS456.030	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS455.030	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS454.030	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS453.030	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS452.030	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS451.030	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS450.030	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS447.030	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS440.030	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS439.030	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS436.030	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS435.030	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS434.030	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS433.030	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS432.030	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS431.030	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS430.030	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS429.030	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS428.030	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS427.030	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS426.030	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS425.030	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS423.030	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS422.030	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS421.030	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS420.030	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS418.030	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS417.030	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS416.030	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS415.030	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS408.030	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS388.030	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS387.030	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS386.030	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS385.030	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS382.030	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS381.030	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS380.030	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS379.030	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS378.030	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS365.030	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS360.030	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS359.030	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS358.030	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS357.030	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS356.030	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS568.030	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS567.030	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS563.030	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS562.030	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS561.030	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS560.030	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS559.030	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS558.030	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS557.030	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS556.030	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS555.030	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS553.030	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS552.030	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS551.030	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS546.030	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS545.030	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS544.030	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS178.030	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS413.030	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S331.045	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NATC-P3	Support	The submitter supports policy NATC-P3 as it enables indigenous vegetation removal and/or earthworks within wetland, lake and river margins where it is for the repair or maintenance of lawfully established activities, which can include educational facilities.	Retain NATC-P3, as proposed.		Accept in part
S463.036	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	NATC-P3	Support	WBF supports the enablement of indigenous vegetation removal and/or earthworks as set out in this policy.	Retain Policy NATC-P3		Accept in part
FS67.64	The Shooting Box Limited		Support	The policy correctly enables an appropriate amount of vegetation removal and earthworks as determined by the rules	Allow		Accept in part
FS68.66	P S Yates Family Trust		Support	The policy correctly enables an appropriate amount of vegetation removal and earthworks as determined by the rules	Allow		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS69.64	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Support	The policy correctly enables an appropriate amount of vegetation removal and earthworks as determined by the rules	Allow		Accept in part
FS66.96	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support	The policy correctly enables an appropriate amount of vegetation removal and earthworks as determined by the rules	Allow		Accept in part
S511.073	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	NATC-P3	Oppose	The reference to "enabling" is inappropriate in that it suggests the clearance and disturbance is a desirable activity. Suggests a highly permissive approach	Amend NATC-P3 to "Allow for restricted amounts vegetation clearance ..."		Reject
FS67.66	The Shooting Box Limited		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts according to certain limited specified activities.	Allow in part		Reject
FS67.67	The Shooting Box Limited		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts according to certain limited specified activities.	Allow in part		Reject
FS68.69	P S Yates Family Trust		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts according to certain limited specified activities.	Allow in part		Reject
FS69.67	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts according to certain limited specified activities.	Allow in part		Reject
FS66.99	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts	Allow in part		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				according to certain limited specified activities.			
FS164.073	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject
FS570.1644	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1658	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1680	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S442.189	Kapiro Conservation Trust	NATC-P3	Oppose	The reference to "enabling" suggests a highly permissive approach.	Amend to " Allow for restricted amounts vegetation clearance ... "		Reject
FS67.68	The Shooting Box Limited		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts according to certain limited specified activities.	Allow in part		Reject
FS346.800	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.			
S442.092	Kapiro Conservation Trust	NATC-P3	Oppose	The reference to "enabling" is inappropriate in that it suggests the clearance and disturbance is a desirable activity. Suggests a highly permissive approach.	Amend NATC-P3 to "Allow for restricted amounts vegetation clearance ...".		Reject
FS68.70	P S Yates Family Trust		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts according to certain limited specified activities.	Allow in part		Reject
FS69.68	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts according to certain limited specified activities.	Allow in part		Reject
FS66.100	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support in part	'Limited' may better direct the rules below rather than 'restricted' amounts of vegetation clearance as sought by the submitter as the rules specify vegetation clearance amounts according to certain limited specified activities.	Allow in part		Reject
FS346.703	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S364.086	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-P3	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part
FS548.103	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1167	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.226	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1181	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1203	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S431.159	John Andrew Riddell	NATC-P3	Not Stated	The amendment is necessary in order to achieve the purpose of the Act.	Amend Policy NATC-P3 so that it is a 'provide for ' policy, not an 'enable' policy, and add a policy limit relating to any earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance being the minimum necessary		Accept
FS332.159	Russell Protection Society		Support	The original submission aligns with our values. The Russell Protection Society has a purpose of promoting wise and sustainable development that compliments the historic and special character of Russell and its surrounds.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept
S159.055	Horticulture New Zealand	NATC-P3	Support	Clearance for biosecurity purposes is supported.	Retain subsection d of Policy NATC-P3		Accept
FS570.217	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.231	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.253	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S243.037	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-P3	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.	Amend reference to lake in Policy NATC-P3 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part
FS570.595	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.609	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.631	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S542.019	Marianna Fenn	NATC-P3	Oppose	The reference to "enabling" suggests a highly permissive approach	Amend to Allow for restricted amounts vegetation clearance ...		Reject
FS570.2147	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.882	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.2161	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.2183	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S394.031	Haititaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust	NATC-P3	Support in part	In the case of natural inland wetlands, loss of wetland extent must be avoided, their values protected and restoration promoted (including wetland margins) under the NPSFM 2020. In the case of rivers, loss of extent and values must be avoided, unless there is a functional need for the activity in that location and the effects of the activity are managed via the effect hierarchy. Operational need is not a relevant (or permissible) consideration.	Amend Policy NATC-P3 to ensure that it is not contrary to the NPSFM.		Accept in part
FS588.031	Ian Bamber		Support	Supports entire submission to protect our waahi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS363.031	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS538.031	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS537.031	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS536.031	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS535.031	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS533.031	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS532.031	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS531.031	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS530.031	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS529.031	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS528.031	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS527.031	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS526.031	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS525.031	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS524.031	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS523.031	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS522.031	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS521.031	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS520.031	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS519.031	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS518.031	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS517.031	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS516.031	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS515.031	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS514.031	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS513.031	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS512.031	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS511.031	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS510.031	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS509.031	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS508.031	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS507.031	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS506.031	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS505.031	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS504.031	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS503.031	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS502.031	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS501.031	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS500.031	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS499.031	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS498.031	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS497.031	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS496.031	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS495.031	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS494.031	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS493.031	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS492.031	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS491.031	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS490.031	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS489.031	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS487.031	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS486.031	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS485.031	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS483.031	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS482.031	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS481.031	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS480.031	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS479.031	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS478.031	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS477.031	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS476.031	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS475.031	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS474.031	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS473.031	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS472.031	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS471.031	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS470.031	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS469.031	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS468.031	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS467.031	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS466.031	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS465.031	Rangimarie Muri		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS464.031	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS463.031	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS462.031	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS461.031	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS460.031	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS459.031	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS458.031	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS457.031	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS456.031	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS455.031	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS454.031	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS453.031	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS452.031	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS451.031	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS450.031	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS447.031	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS440.031	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS439.031	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS436.031	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS435.031	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS434.031	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS433.031	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS432.031	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS431.031	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS430.031	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS429.031	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS428.031	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS427.031	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS426.031	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS425.031	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS423.031	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS422.031	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS421.031	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS420.031	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS418.031	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS417.031	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS416.031	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS415.031	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS408.031	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS388.031	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS387.031	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS386.031	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS385.031	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS382.031	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS381.031	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS380.031	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS379.031	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS378.031	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS365.031	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS360.031	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS359.031	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS358.031	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS357.031	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS356.031	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS568.031	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS567.031	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS563.031	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS562.031	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS561.031	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS560.031	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS559.031	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS558.031	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS557.031	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS556.031	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS555.031	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS553.031	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS552.031	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS551.031	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS546.031	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS545.031	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS544.031	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS178.031	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS413.031	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S356.067	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NATC-P4	Support	not stated	Retain NATC-P4 as notified	Accept in part
S331.046	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NATC-P4	Support	The submitter supports policy NATC-P4 as it acknowledges the Ministry may have an operational need to provide educational facilities for existing communities on wetland, lake and river margins.	Retain NATC-P4, as proposed.	Accept in part
S463.037	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	NATC-P4	Oppose	WBF supports the matters referred to under sub-clauses (a), (b) and (d) of this policy. However, it opposes sub-clause (c) on grounds of ambiguity as the outcome sought by the sub-clause is not apparent. It seemingly requires any activities that would not 'preserve the protection of' natural character to be avoided. As mentioned in WBF's comments on objectives NATC-O1 and NATC-O2, a requirement of this type is not considered to accurately reflect the obligations imposed by RMA s6(a0	Delete point c. of Policy NATC-P4	Accept in part
FS67.65	The Shooting Box Limited		Support	A requirement of this type as in sub clause c) does not accurately reflect the obligations imposed by RMA s6.	Allow	Accept in part
FS68.67	P S Yates Family Trust		Support	A requirement of this type as in sub clause c) does not accurately reflect the obligations imposed by RMA s6.	Allow	Accept in part
FS69.65	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Support	A requirement of this type as in sub clause c) does not accurately reflect the obligations imposed by RMA s6.	Allow	Accept in part
FS66.97	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support	A requirement of this type as in sub clause c) does not accurately reflect the obligations imposed by RMA s6.	Allow	Accept in part
S364.087	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-P4	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS548.104	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1168	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.227	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1182	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1204	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.038	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-P4	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been	Amend reference to lake in Policy NATC-P4 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams. Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.			
FS534.028	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the unqualified application of the natural character provisions to the margins of artificial waterbodies (i.e., water storages and farm dams that fall within the definition of "lakes") is likely to be problematic. An exception for artificial waterbodies is an appropriate method to avoid this situation from arising.	Allow	allow original submission	Accept in part
FS570.596	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.610	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.632	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S454.089	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	NATC-P4	Not Stated	A consequential amendment to this policy is required to ensure that the FNPDP gives effect to the NPSET as set out in the submission point on I-P2 above.	Amend policy NATC-P4 as follows: Provide for buildings or structures, and extensions to existing buildings or structures on wetland, lake and river margins where, subject to Policy I-Px :		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.034	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendments sought are inappropriate to recognise and provide for s6(a) matters.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS404.023	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	As the D-G opposes the submitter's relief for I-P2, the consequential amendments are also opposed.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS369.364	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports the amendment to give effect to the NPSET	Allow	Amend	Accept
S394.032	Haitaitamarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	NATC-P4	Support in part	In the case of natural inland wetlands, loss of wetland extent must be avoided, their values protected and restoration promoted (including wetland margins) under the NPSFM 2020. In the case of rivers, loss of extent and values must be avoided, unless there is a functional need for the activity in that location and the effects of the activity are managed via the effect hierarchy. Operational need is not a relevant (or permissible) consideration.	Amend Policy NATC-P4 to ensure that it is not contrary to the NPSFM.		Accept in part
FS588.032	Ian Bamber		Support	Supports entire submission to protect our waahi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS363.032	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS538.032	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS537.032	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS536.032	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS535.032	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS533.032	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS532.032	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS531.032	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS530.032	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS529.032	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS528.032	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS527.032	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS526.032	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS525.032	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS524.032	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS523.032	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS522.032	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS521.032	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS520.032	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS519.032	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS518.032	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS517.032	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS516.032	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS515.032	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS514.032	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS513.032	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS512.032	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS511.032	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS510.032	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS509.032	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS508.032	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS507.032	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS506.032	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS505.032	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS504.032	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS503.032	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS502.032	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS501.032	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS500.032	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS499.032	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS498.032	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS497.032	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS496.032	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS495.032	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS494.032	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS493.032	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS492.032	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS491.032	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS490.032	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS489.032	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS487.032	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS486.032	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS485.032	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS483.032	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS482.032	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS481.032	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS480.032	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS479.032	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS478.032	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS477.032	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS476.032	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS475.032	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS474.032	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS473.032	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS472.032	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS471.032	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS470.032	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS469.032	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS468.032	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS467.032	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS466.032	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS465.032	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS464.032	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS463.032	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS462.032	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS461.032	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS460.032	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS459.032	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS458.032	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS457.032	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS456.032	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS455.032	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS454.032	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS453.032	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS452.032	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS451.032	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS450.032	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS447.032	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS440.032	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS439.032	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS436.032	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS435.032	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS434.032	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS433.032	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS432.032	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS431.032	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS430.032	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS429.032	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS428.032	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS427.032	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS426.032	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS425.032	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS423.032	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS422.032	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS421.032	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS420.032	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS418.032	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS417.032	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS416.032	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS415.032	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS408.032	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS388.032	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS387.032	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS386.032	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS385.032	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS382.032	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS381.032	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS380.032	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS379.032	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS378.032	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS365.032	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS360.032	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS359.032	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS358.032	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS357.032	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS356.032	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS568.032	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS567.032	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS563.032	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS562.032	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS561.032	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS560.032	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS559.032	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS558.032	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS557.032	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS556.032	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS555.032	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS553.032	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS552.032	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS551.032	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS546.032	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS545.032	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS544.032	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS178.032	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS413.032	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S364.088	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-P5	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part
FS548.105	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1169	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.228	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1183	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1205	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.039	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-P5	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while	Amend reference to lake in Policy NATC-P5 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.			
FS534.029	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the unqualified application of the natural character provisions to the margins of artificial waterbodies (i.e., water storages and farm dams that fall within the definition of "lakes") is likely to be problematic. An exception for artificial waterbodies is an appropriate method to avoid this situation from arising.	Allow	allow original submission	Accept in part
FS570.597	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.611	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.633	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S394.033	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	NATC-P5	Support in part	In the case of natural inland wetlands, loss of wetland extent must be avoided, their values protected and restoration promoted (including wetland margins) under the NPSFM 2020. In the case of rivers, loss of extent and values must be avoided, unless there is a functional need for the activity in that location and the effects of the activity are managed via the effect hierarchy.	Amend Policy NATC-P5 to ensure that it is not contrary to the NPSFM.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				Operational need is not a relevant (or permissible) consideration.			
FS471.033	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS470.033	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS469.033	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS468.033	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS467.033	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS466.033	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS465.033	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS464.033	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS463.033	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS462.033	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS461.033	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS460.033	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS459.033	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS458.033	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS457.033	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS456.033	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS455.033	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS454.033	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS453.033	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS452.033	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS451.033	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS450.033	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS447.033	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS440.033	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS439.033	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS436.033	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS435.033	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS434.033	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS433.033	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS432.033	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS431.033	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS430.033	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS429.033	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS428.033	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS427.033	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS426.033	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS425.033	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS423.033	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS422.033	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS421.033	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS420.033	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS418.033	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS417.033	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS416.033	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS415.033	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS408.033	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS388.033	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS387.033	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS386.033	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS385.033	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS382.033	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS381.033	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS380.033	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS379.033	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS378.033	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS365.033	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS360.033	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS359.033	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS358.033	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS357.033	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS356.033	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS568.033	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS567.033	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS563.033	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS562.033	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS561.033	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS560.033	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS559.033	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS558.033	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS557.033	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS556.033	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS555.033	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS553.033	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS552.033	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS551.033	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS546.033	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS545.033	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS544.033	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS178.033	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS413.033	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S356.068	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NATC-P6	Support	not stated	Retain NATC-P6 as notified		Accept in part
S463.038	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	NATC-P6	Oppose	Sub-clauses (a) to (m) are a list of assessment matters that are inappropriate to be included in a policy. They do not provide direction about how to achieve the overarching objectives (NATC-O1 and NATC-O2). WBF recommends deletion of the policy and	Delete Policy NATC-P6		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				reliance on Policy NATC-P1 instead. If necessary, the assessment criteria can be relocated to rules and standards later in this chapter.			
FS68.68	P S Yates Family Trust		Support	Sub-clauses (a) to (m) are a list of assessment matters that are inappropriate to be included in a policy and if anything are matters of discretion.	Allow		Accept in part
FS69.66	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Support	Sub-clauses (a) to (m) are a list of assessment matters that are inappropriate to be included in a policy and if anything are matters of discretion.	Allow		Accept in part
FS66.98	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support	Sub-clauses (a) to (m) are a list of assessment matters that are inappropriate to be included in a policy and if anything are matters of discretion.	Allow		Accept in part
S364.089	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-P6	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part
FS548.106	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1170	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.229	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1184	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1206	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S431.160	John Andrew Riddell	NATC-P6	Not Stated	The amendment is necessary in order to achieve the purpose of the Act.	Insert a further matter of consideration to Policy NATC-P6 as follows: the extent to which the purposes of esplanade areas are provided for		Reject
FS332.160	Russell Protection Society		Support	The original submission aligns with our values. The Russell Protection Society has a purpose of promoting wise and sustainable development that compliments the historic and special character of Russell and its surrounds.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S394.034	Haitaitamarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	NATC-P6	Support in part	In the case of natural inland wetlands, loss of wetland extent must be avoided, their values protected and restoration promoted (including wetland margins) under the NPSFM 2020. In the case of rivers, loss of extent and values must be avoided, unless there is a functional need for the activity in that location and the effects of the activity are managed via the effect hierarchy. Operational need is not a relevant (or permissible) consideration.	Amend Policy NATC-P6 to ensure that it is not contrary to the NPSFM.		Accept in part
FS588.034	Ian Bamber		Support	Supports entire submission to protect our waahi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS363.034	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS538.034	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS537.034	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS536.034	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS535.034	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS533.034	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS532.034	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS531.034	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS530.034	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS529.034	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS528.034	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS527.034	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS526.034	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS525.034	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS524.034	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS523.034	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS522.034	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS521.034	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS520.034	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS519.034	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS518.034	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS517.034	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS516.034	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS515.034	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS514.034	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS513.034	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS512.034	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS511.034	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS510.034	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS509.034	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS508.034	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS507.034	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS506.034	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS505.034	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS504.034	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS503.034	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS502.034	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS501.034	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS500.034	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS499.034	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS498.034	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS497.034	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS496.034	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS495.034	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS494.034	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS493.034	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS492.034	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS491.034	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS490.034	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS489.034	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS487.034	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS486.034	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS485.034	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS483.034	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS482.034	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS481.034	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS480.034	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS479.034	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS478.034	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS477.034	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS476.034	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS475.034	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS474.034	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS473.034	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS472.034	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS471.034	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS470.034	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS469.034	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS468.034	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS467.034	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS466.034	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS465.034	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS464.034	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS463.034	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS462.034	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS461.034	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS460.034	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS459.034	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS458.034	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS457.034	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS456.034	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS455.034	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS454.034	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS453.034	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS452.034	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS451.034	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS450.034	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS447.034	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS440.034	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS439.034	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS436.034	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS435.034	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS434.034	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS433.034	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS432.034	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS431.034	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS430.034	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS429.034	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS428.034	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS427.034	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS426.034	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS425.034	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS423.034	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS422.034	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS421.034	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS420.034	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS418.034	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS417.034	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS416.034	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS415.034	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS408.034	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS388.034	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS387.034	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS386.034	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS385.034	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS382.034	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS381.034	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS380.034	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS379.034	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS378.034	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS365.034	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS360.034	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS359.034	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS358.034	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatiipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS357.034	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS356.034	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS568.034	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS567.034	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS563.034	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS562.034	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS561.034	Ivan Wimoka Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS560.034	Dylan Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS559.034	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS558.034	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS557.034	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS556.034	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS555.034	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS553.034	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS552.034	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS551.034	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS546.034	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS545.034	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS544.034	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS178.034	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS413.034	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S425.032	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Rules	Support in part	Furthermore, the provisions do not adequately provide for the maintenance, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure in accordance with the RPS	Amend provisions to ensure that maintenance, operation, and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure is provided for.		Reject
S179.074	Russell Protection Society (INC)	Rules	Support	In view of the fact that coastal zones are not provided for in the Proposed district plan, then the Coastal Environment, Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscape Overlays become very important	Retain rules		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				in helping to define the boundaries of Russell and in safeguarding a suitable backdrop or canvass which to interpret and appreciate the historic township. It is especially important that these overlays provide adequate protection to the headlands framing Russell and the natural coastal escarpments that characterize the balance of the Russell Peninsula. For this reason it is important to control subdivision and development of coastal lands in the area.			
FS51.94	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part	HNZPT is supportive of the planning framework notified for the protection of the district's Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscapes.	Allow in part		Accept in part
FS23.037	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support in part	Support to the extent consistent with our primary submission. Agree consideration needs to be given to how such overlays apply or are integrated into urban zones.	Allow in part	Allow relief sought to the extent relief sought is consistent with our primary submission.	Accept in part
S483.153	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Not Stated	It is critical that operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of the electricity distribution network is appropriately provided for to ensure the continued resilience of this lifeline service throughout the District. Top Energy seeks amendments to this chapter to make sure that this is provided for all electricity infrastructure noting the potential for overlap with NES:FW	Amend rules to permit the suitable provision of new infrastructure where there is an operational and functional need, and the ongoing operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of infrastructure within within the margins of waterbodies		Accept in part
FS111.081	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support in part	PHTTCCT support amendments to ensure operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of all infrastructure is provided for.	Allow in part	allow in part original submission	Accept in part
FS346.079	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought do not adequately recognise and provide for s6(c) matters.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS345.204	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S529.235	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Rules	Not Stated	District councils manage the margins of water bodies and the activities that can occur in these areas. Several parts of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPS-FM) give national direction to district councils specifically. The NPS-FM contains objectives and policies to ensure that natural and physical resources are managed in a way that prioritises the health and well-being of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems, the health needs of people (such as drinking water) and the ability of people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being, now and in the future. The implementation of the NPS-FM and managing freshwater to give effect to Te Mana o Te Wai is primarily the responsibility of the regional council, however clause 3.5(4) specifically requires that every territorial authority includes objectives, policies, and methods in its district plan to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments. Every territorial authority must include objectives, policies, and methods in its district plan to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments. Recent government guidance on the NPS-FM14 (p.8) notes that district plans must be reviewed/amended to give effect to the NPS-FM, including the following aspects: 'District plans must be reviewed and, if necessary, amended to give effect to the	Amend the PDP to give full effect to the NPS - Freshwater Management 2020		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				NPS-FM "as soon as reasonably practicable". 'The NPS-FM applies to all freshwater, and Te Mana o te Wai is relevant to all resource management where it affects freshwater, including in city and district planning. 'Clause 3.5 Integrated management requires a ki uta ki tai (integrated approach) to give effect to Te Mana o te Wai. It also sets out requirements relevant to city and district councils. This includes encouraging the coordination and sequencing of urban growth, and promoting positive effects and managing adverse effects of urban development on freshwater bodies. 'To give effect to Te Mana o te Wai, councils must consider matters such as how urban growth and increases in impervious surfaces will impact on stormwater flows, how stormwater affects the water bodies it is discharged to, and methods to manage urban growth and stormwater discharge. The identification and control of urban growth areas must prioritise the health and well-being of water bodies.'			
FS570.2122	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.2136	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS569.2158	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S511.074	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Notes	Support	For some reason Note 2 only refers to the Earthworks chapter. When Rule NATC-R3 applies to both Earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance. This note should also relate to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter There may be further significant indigenous biodiversity beyond the areas identified as SNA in the overlays where preservation and protection is required in accordance with the RPS. As well there may	Amend notes The Earthworks and Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter rules apply in addition to the earthwork and indigenous vegetation clearance rules in this overlay chapter, not instead of. In the event of a conflict between the earthworks and ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity chapters earthworks indigenous vegetation rules , the most stringent rule will apply.		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				be other vegetation that requires protection in alignment with the RPS, policy 4.4.1.			
FS164.074	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept
FS570.1645	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.1659	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1681	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
S442.093	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Notes	Support	For some reason Note 2 only refers to the Earthworks chapter. When Rule NATC-R3 applies to both Earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance. This note should also relate to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter. There may be further significant indigenous biodiversity beyond the areas identified as SNA in the overlays where preservation and protection is required in accordance with the RPS. As well there may be other vegetation that requires protection in alignment with the RPS, policy 4.4.1.	Amend notes The Earthworks and Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter rules apply in addition to the earthwork and indigenous vegetation clearance rules in this overlay chapter, not instead of. In the event of a conflict between the earthworks and ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity chapters earthworks indigenous vegetation rules , the most stringent rule will apply.		Accept
FS346.704	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.		
S463.039	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	NATC-R1	Oppose	WBF would support enablement of river crossings and stock crossings under rule NATC-R1 PER-1 as a permitted activity. However, this is not what the rule achieves. The definition of "crossings" is: "means in relation to vehicle access, the formed and properly constructed vehicle access from the carriage way of any road up to and including that portion of the road boundary of the site across which vehicle access is permitted by this plan and includes any culvert, bridge or kerbing". Consequently, NATC-R1 does not permit any "river" crossing not located between the carriageway of the road and the front boundary of a site. Also, given the definition of "crossing" only relates to vehicles, the references in this rule to "stock" and "culvert" also do not provide a meaningful assessment method. Therefore, it appears that most crossings (like culverts, fords and bridges) might fall to be assessed as discretionary activities, which would appear to be the reverse of the intent of NATC-R1.	Amend Rule NATC-R1 to expressly permit the construction of access for vehicles and/or stock across rivers.	Accept
S502.035	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	NATC-R1	Support in part	For consistency with the exclusions applied to MHWS setbacks we seek to include exemptions for some structures which may have been unintendedly included.	Amend NATC-R1 PER-2 PER-2 The building or structure, or extension or alteration to an existing building or structure on wetland, lake and river margins is required for: 1. restoration and enhancement purposes; or 2. natural hazard mitigation undertaken by, or on behalf of, the local authority; or 3. park management activity in the Open Space or Sport and active recreation zone; or 4. a post and wire fence for the purpose of protection from farm stock. 5. river crossings, including but not limited to, fords, bridges, stock crossings and culvert crossings. 6. activities related to the construction of river	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					crossings. 7. pumphouses utilised for the drawing of water provided they cover less than 25m ² in area. 8. Lighting poles by, or on behalf of, the local authority; or 9. Footpaths and or paving no greater than 2m in width; or 10. Boundary fences or walls no more than 2m in height above ground level;		
S159.056	Horticulture New Zealand	NATC-R1	Support	Provisions for pumphouses is supported.	Retain subsection 7 of PER-2 of Rule NATC-R1		Accept
FS151.220	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept
FS570.218	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.232	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.254	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S159.057	Horticulture New Zealand	NATC-R1	Oppose	The maps identify areas of outstanding or high natural character, but the provisions apply generically to all-natural character. Seeks an approach that focuses on those areas identified as having outstanding or high natural character where potential for adverse effects is greatest. A 30m setback for all buildings and structures regardless of the degree of natural character is not supported. The application of a 30m setback from wetland, lake and river margins is not supported as a blanket provision and precludes optimal use of highly productive land	Amend Rule NATC-R1 to insert the following: PER-5In areas that are not outstanding or high natural character provide for: • Irrigation structures • Crops support structures • Artificial crop support structures with green or black cloth on vertical sides With a setback of 10m from a wetland, lake or river over 3m wide or 3m setback for wetland, lake or river less than 3m wide		Accept in part
FS151.221	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.007	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	It is not clear that the amendment will adequately protect waterbodies and their margins.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS570.219	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.233	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.255	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.154	Top Energy Limited	NATC-R1	Not Stated	It is unclear why PER 1 is required where buildings or structures with ONL and ONF will be managed through the Natural features and landscape chapter. As noted throughout, electricity infrastructure is a critical component to ensuring a resilient, well-connected community. Top Energy seeks the following amendments in the right hand column to better provide for this within the margins of water bodies	Amend Rule NATC - R1 as follows: ~~PER-1 The building or structure, or extension or alteration to an existing building or structure on wetland, lake and river margins is not located within an ONL or ONF.~~ PER-2 The building or structure, or extension or alteration to an existing building or structure on wetland, lake and river margins is required for: 1... 4. a post and wire fence for the purpose of protection from farm stock, or 5. river crossings, including but not limited to, fords, bridges, stock crossings and culvert crossings, or 6. activities related to the construction of river crossings, or 7. pumphouses utilised for the drawing of water provided they cover less than 25m² in area, or 8. maintenance, repair, operation or upgrading of network utilities where the works are permitted by the Infrastructure Chapter I-R3.		Accept in part
FS196.41	Joe Carr		Support	reasons as outlined by submitter. Continuous and reliable electricity supply is an essential service	Allow		Accept in part
FS196.215	Joe Carr		Support		Allow		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS36.055	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	Supports the proposed amendment to provide for the maintenance, repair, operation or upgrading of network utilities within the margins of water bodies.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS346.080	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought do not adequately recognise and provide for s6(c) matters.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS345.205	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S136.001	Lynley Newport	NATC-R1	Oppose	There is no resource management based link between the height of a building or structure and its proximity to a wetland, lake or river margin. Setback distance and size of the building or structure maybe, but not height. If the wetland, lake or river margin is within an area identified as Outstanding Natural Feature or Outstanding Natural Landscape, then potentially the height may have an effect. There is no need for, or justification for PER-4.	Delete NATC-R1 PER-4		Reject
FS196.87	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject
S421.147	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NATC-R1	Support in part	Federated Farmers generally supports rules NATC-R1, NATC-R2 and NATC-R3 as set out in the district plan. It is considered that there is a need for the rules to provide for activities with a functional need to be located within a natural character area so long as the subdivision, use or development is not inappropriate for the area.	Amend Rule NATC-R1 to provide for activities that need to be located within a natural character area as long as the subdivision, use and development is not inappropriate for the area		Accept in part
FS196.97	Joe Carr		Support	provides for functional needs	Allow		Accept in part
FS354.121	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The submitter seeks to amend Rule NATC-R1 to provide for activities that need to be located within a natural character area as long as the subdivision, use and development is not inappropriate for the area. Activities	Allow	Allow S421.147	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				need to be able to continue in natural character areas so this is supported.			
FS570.1379	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.381	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1393	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1415	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S331.047	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NATC-R1	Support in part	The submitter supports in part NATC-R1 New buildings or structures, and extensions or alterations to existing buildings or structures, as the Ministry may have an operational need to locate educational facilities on wetland, lake and river margins to provide for existing communities. Given the proposed policy NATC-P4 provides for the establishment of new buildings and extensions to existing buildings where there is an operational need to be located on wetland, lake and river margins, the Ministry recommends inclusion of activities which have an operational need to be located in the area (including educational facilities) to be included in the list of permitted activities to ensure consistency across the policies and rules.	Amend rule NATC-R1 New buildings or structures, and extensions or alterations to existing buildings or structures, as follows: New buildings or structures, and extensions or alterations to existing buildings or structures Activity status: Permitted Where: PER-1 The building or structure, or extension or alteration to an existing building or structure on wetland, lake and river margins is not located within an ONL or ONF. PER-2 The building or structure, or extension or alteration to an existing building or structure on wetland, lake and river margins is required for: 1. restoration and enhancement purposes; or 2. natural hazard mitigation undertaken by, or on behalf of, the local authority; or 3. park management activity in the Open Space or Sport and active recreation zone; or 4. a post and wire fence for the purpose of protection from farm stock. 5. river crossings, including but not limited to, fords, bridges, stock crossings and culvert		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					6. crossings. 7. activities related to the construction of river crossings. 8. pumphouses utilised for the drawing of water provided they cover less than 25m ² in area. 9. an activity which has an operational need to be located in the area. PER-3 The building or structure on wetland, lake and river margins is no greater than 300m ² . PER-4 The building or structure, or extension or alteration to an existing building or structure on wetland, lake and river margins complies with standard NATC-S1 Maximum height Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-1: Non-complying Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-2, PER-3 and PER-4: Discretionary		
FS78.014	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter considers this addition to the policy gives effect to Policy 3 of the NPSET and assists to give effect to Policy 5.3.3(c) of the RPSN.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
S356.069	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	NATC-R1	Oppose	The rule structure is too onerous to apply to all wetland, lake and river margins. It is also unclear how is to be assessed.	Amend to only apply to Outstanding or High Natural Character Areas. Clarification is also sought as to how the rule applies to infrastructure provision.		Reject
FS78.020	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter agrees that the rule structure is too onerous. In particular, the submitter is opposed to a default activity status of Non-Complying if PER-1 is not met.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS354.120	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	Differentiation between Outstanding or High Natural Character Areas and other areas of natural character is supported.	Allow	Allow S356.069	Reject
FS346.049	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendment sought does not adequately recognise and provide for s6(a) matters	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
S167.026	Bentzen Farm Limited	NATC-R1	Oppose	The provision is targeted only to effects on natural character and such potential effects	Amend rule NATC-R1 to change the activity status where compliance is not achieved with PER-2, PER-3		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				can be properly anticipated when considering this activity class. As such the rule is more efficient and effective if restricted discretionary activity, rather than a full discretionary activity. The assessment matters set out in the relief sought are taken from policy NATC-P6, and provide a complete basis to assess likely and potential effects on natural character.	and PER-4 from discretionary to restricted discretionary , with discretion limited to the effects on natural character values as follows: a. the presence or absence of buildings, structures or infrastructure; b. the temporary or permanent nature of any adverse effects; c. the location, scale and design of any proposed development; d. any means of integrating the building, structure or activity; e. the ability of the environment to absorb change; f. the need for and location of earthworks or vegetation clearance; g. the operational or functional need of any regionally significant infrastructure to be sited in the particular location; h. any viable alternative locations for the activity or development; i. any historical, spiritual or cultural association held by tangata whenua, with regard to the matters set out in Policy TW-P6; j. the likelihood of the activity exacerbating natural hazards; k. the opportunity to enhance public access and recreation; l. the ability to improve the overall water quality; and m. any positive contribution the development has on the characteristics and qualities.		
FS393.011	Amanda Kennedy, Julia Kennedy Till and Simon Till		Support	For the reasons given within the Original Submission No 167.	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS401.008	Carrington Estate Jade LP and Carrington Farms Jade LP		Support	For the reasons given within the Original Submission No 167	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.388	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S364.090	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-R1	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified.		Accept in part
FS548.107	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1171	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.230	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1185	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1207	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.040	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-R1	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative	Amend all reference to lakes in rule NATC-R1 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams. Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.			
FS534.030	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the unqualified application of the natural character provisions to the margins of artificial waterbodies (i.e., water storages and farm dams that fall within the definition of "lakes") is likely to be problematic. An exception for artificial waterbodies is an appropriate method to avoid this situation from arising.	Allow	allow original submission	Accept in part
FS570.598	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.612	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.634	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S243.044	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-R1	Oppose	The provision is targeted only to effects on natural character and such potential effects can be properly anticipated when considering this activity class. As such the rule is more efficient and effective if restricted discretionary activity, rather than a full discretionary activity. The assessment matters set out in the relief sought are taken from policy NATC-P6, and provide a complete basis to assess likely and potential effects on natural character.	Amend rule NATC-R1 to change the activity status where compliance is not achieved with PER-2, PER-3 and PER-4 from discretionary to restricted discretionary, with discretion limited to the effects on natural character values as follows: a. the presence or absence of buildings, structures or infrastructure;b. the temporary or permanent nature of any adverse effects;c. the location, scale and design of any proposed development;d. any means of integrating the building, structure or activity;e. the ability of the environment to absorb change;f. the need for and location of earthworks or vegetation clearance;g. the operational or functional need of any regionally significant infrastructure to be sited in the particular location;h. any viable alternative locations for the activity or development;i. any historical, spiritual or cultural association held by tangata whenua, with regard to the matters set out in Policy TW-P6;j. the likelihood of the activity exacerbating natural hazards;k. the opportunity to enhance public access and recreation;l. the ability to improve the overall water quality; andm. any positive contribution the development has on the characteristics and qualities.		Accept in part
FS570.602	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.616	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.638	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S436.035	Northland Fish and Game Council	NATC-R1	Not Stated	For the reasons set out under 'general submissions 'Maimai' of the submission (refer to submission points S436.003 to S436.006)" NFGC seek that maintenance of maimai be included in PER-2 of Rule NATC-R1.	Insert new point in PER-2 of Rule NATC-R1 as follows: 8. maintenance of an existing maimai.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1499	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.121	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of Fish and Game other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1513	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1535	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S148.024	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited	NATC-R1	Not Stated	Not explicitly stated	Retain NATC-R1 and the provision of river crossings under PER-2.		Accept
FS346.530	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
FS566.136	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S160.019	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	NATC-R1	Support	The submitter supports rule, NATC-R1 PER-2, clause 5	Retain rule, NATC-R1 PER-2, clause 5 as it is written.		Accept
FS346.589	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.		
S416.034	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	NATC-R2	Support	Repair and maintenance within wetland, lake and river margins is supported to ensure network utilities perform effectively.	Retain Rule NATC-R2	Accept in part
S502.036	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	NATC-R2	Support in part	We seek additional features be added as they are similar in nature to others described within the list. These features are common within wetland, lake and river margins and require ongoing repair and maintenance to ensure there are no adverse impacts on the surrounding environment and that they remain in good condition. It is considered unnecessary for additional consent to be required for repair and maintenance of such features, if the size, scale and materials used are like for like.	Amend NATC-R2 PER-1 The repair or maintenance within wetland, lake and river margins of the following activities where they have been lawfully established and where the size, scale and materials used are like for like: 1. roads. 2. fences. 3. network utilities. 4. driveways and access. 5. walking tracks. 6. cycling tracks. 7. farming tracks 8. Carparking areas 9. Board walks 10. Boat ramps 11. Buildings or structures	Accept in part
S503.044	Waitangi Limited	NATC-R2	Not Stated	We are unsure whether it is the intent of the plan to cover just historic features or whether this rule seeks to extend wider to other elements which may not be historic. Regardless of this fact we seek that the following features also be added as they are similar in nature to others described within the list. These features are common within wetland, lake and river margins and require ongoing repair and maintenance to ensure there are no adverse impacts on the surrounding environment and that they remain in good condition. It is considered unnecessary for additional consent to be required for repair and maintenance of such features, if the size, scale and materials used are like for like. The same is considered to apply for buildings and structures. The Operative Plan provided for renovation and maintenance of buildings	Amend Rule NATC-R2 to insert four additional matters, as follows: • Carparking areas • Board walks • Boat ramps • Buildings or structures	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				as a permitted activity, with no requirement for scale, size and materials being like for like. It is considered that with the additional control of requiring scale, size and materials to be like for like, this will ensure that any repair and maintenance on buildings and/or structures does not change how the natural character of the coastal environment is perceived. Once again, repair and maintenance of lawfully established buildings and structures is required on an on-going basis to ensure that the wetland, lake and river margins is preserved and enhanced.			
S160.020	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	NATC-R2	Oppose	The submitter opposes NATC-R2 PER-1 as farming tracks is included but plantation forestry tracks is not included and this is not considered fair and equitable.	Amend NATC-R2 PER-1 to include plantation forestry tracks.		Accept in part
FS176.15	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited		Support	As set out in the original submission	Allow		Accept in part
FS346.590	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
S159.058	Horticulture New Zealand	NATC-R2	Support in part	Include activities ancillary to horticulture	Amend Rule NATC-R2 by adding: 8. Irrigation infrastructure 9. Artificial crop protection structures		Accept in part
FS151.222	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
FS346.008	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	It is not clear that the amendment will adequately protect waterbodies and their margins.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.220	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.234	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.256	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S148.025	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited	NATC-R2	Not Stated	The rules fail to provide for all primary production activity	Amend NATC-R2 to replace "farming tracks" with "tracks for primary production"		Accept in part
FS196.42	Joe Carr		Support	as per submitter	Allow		Accept in part
FS346.531	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.137	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S421.148	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NATC-R2	Support in part	Federated Farmers generally supports rules NATC-R1, NATC-R2 and NATC-R3 as set out in the district plan. It is considered that there is a need for the rules to provide for activities with a functional need to be located within a natural character area so long as the subdivision, use or development is not inappropriate for the area.	Amend Rule NATC-R2 to provide for activities that need to be located within a natural character area as long as the subdivision, use and development is not inappropriate for the area		Accept in part
FS196.98	Joe Carr		Support	As above	Allow		Accept in part
FS354.122	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The submitter seeks to amend Rule NATC-R2 to provide for activities that need to be located within a natural character area as long as the subdivision, use and development	Allow	Allow S421.148	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				is not inappropriate for the area. Activities need to be able to continue in natural character areas so this is supported.			
FS570.1380	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.382	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1394	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1416	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S511.075	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	NATC-R2	Support in part	This rule is referred to by NATC-R3	Amend if NATC-R3 is not amended to give effect to relief sought for NATC-R3 Per-1(1)		Accept in part
FS164.075	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part
FS548.162	Northland Federated		Oppose	The notified version of Rule NATC-R2 allows for appropriate repair and maintenance of	Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Farmers of New Zealand Inc			lawfully established activities necessary for day-to-day farming operations. NATC-R2 refers to 'lawfully established' activities which implies that the activities are already compliant with all existing Plan rules and any consent requirements			
FS570.1646	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1660	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1682	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S364.091	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-R2	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified		Accept in part
FS548.108	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1172	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.231	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1186	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1208	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S483.155	Top Energy Limited	NATC-R2	Support	Top Energy support the enablement of repair and maintenance of network utilities within the margins of waterbodies	Retain Rule NATC-R2		Accept in part
FS346.081	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought do not adequately recognise and provide for s6(c) matters.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS345.206	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S243.041	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-R2	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions	Amend reference to lake in rule NATC-R2 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.			
FS570.599	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.613	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.635	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.045	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-R2	Oppose	The provision is targeted only to effects on natural character and such potential effects can be properly anticipated when considering this activity class. As such the rule is more efficient and effective if restricted discretionary activity, rather than a full discretionary activity. The assessment matters set out in the relief sought are taken from policy NATC-P6, and provide a complete basis to assess likely and potential effects on natural character.	Amend rule NATC-R2 to change the activity status where compliance is not achieved with PER-1 from discretionary to restricted discretionary, with discretion limited to the effects on natural character values as follows: a. the presence or absence of buildings, structures or infrastructure;b. the temporary or permanent nature of any adverse effects;c. the location, scale and design of any proposed development;d. any means of integrating the building, structure or activity;e. the ability of the environment to absorb change;f. the need for and location of earthworks or vegetation clearance;g. the operational or functional need of any regionally significant infrastructure to be sited in the particular location;h. any viable alternative locations for the activity or development;i. any historical, spiritual or cultural association held by tangata whenua, with regard to the matters set out in Policy TW-P6;j. the likelihood of the activity exacerbating natural hazards;k. the opportunity to enhance public access and recreation;l. the ability to improve the overall water quality; andm. any		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					positive contribution the development has on the characteristics and qualities.		
FS570.603	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.617	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.639	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S436.036	Northland Fish and Game Council	NATC-R2	Not Stated	For the reasons set out under 'general submissions 'Maimai"' of the submission (refer to submission points S436.003 to S436.006)" NFGC seek amendments to Rule NATC-R2 to include maimai.	Insert new point in Rule NATC-R2 as follows: 8. maimai.		Accept in part
FS570.1500	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.122	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of Fish and Game other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1514	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1536	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.094	Kapiro Conservation Trust	NATC-R2	Support in part	This rule is referred to by NATC-R3.	Amend, if NATC-R3 is not amended then will require amendment to this rule to give effect to relief sought for NATC-R3 Per-1(1).		Accept in part
FS346.705	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.			
S167.027	Bentzen Farm Limited	NATC-R2	Oppose	The provision is targeted only to effects on natural character and such potential effects can be properly anticipated when considering this activity class. As such the rule is more efficient and effective if restricted discretionary activity, rather than a full discretionary activity. The assessment matters set out in the relief sought are taken from policy NATC-P6, and provide a complete basis to assess likely and potential effects on natural character.	Amend rule NATC-R2 to change the activity status where compliance is not achieved with PER-1 from discretionary to restricted discretionary , with discretion limited to the effects on natural character values as follows: a. the presence or absence of buildings, structures or infrastructure; b. the temporary or permanent nature of any adverse effects; c. the location, scale and design of any proposed development; d. any means of integrating the building, structure or activity; e. the ability of the environment to absorb change; f. the need for and location of earthworks or vegetation clearance; g. the operational or functional need of any regionally significant infrastructure to be sited in the particular location; h. any viable alternative locations for the activity or development; i. any historical, spiritual or cultural association held by tangata whenua, with regard to the matters set out in Policy TW-P6; j. the likelihood of the activity exacerbating natural hazards; k. the opportunity to enhance public access and recreation; l. the ability to improve the overall water quality; and m. any positive contribution the development has on the characteristics and qualities.		Accept in part
FS566.389	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S159.059	Horticulture New Zealand	NATC-R3	Support	Clearance and earthworks for biosecurity purposes is supported.	Retain Rule NATC-R3		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS151.223	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
FS570.221	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.235	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.257	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS369.365	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S148.026	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited	NATC-R3	Not Stated	The rules fail to provide for earthworks necessary water and sediment controls associated with existing roads, tracks, and accessways.	Amend NATC-R3 to provide for earthworks necessary to install, maintain, or upgrade water and sediment control within a wetland, lake, or river margin associated with existing roads, tracks, and accessways.		Accept in part
FS196.43	Joe Carr		Support	necessary change to provide for good management of effects of activity	Allow		Accept in part
FS354.123	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	Provision for measures for sediment control are supported.	Allow	Allow S148.026	Accept in part
FS346.532	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.138	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S512.027	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	NATC-R3	Support in part	Fire and Emergency may be required to remove vegetation in the event of an emergency or to reduce fire risk. This is enabled under Section 65 and 68 of the Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017. The exact quantities of vegetation disturbance required cannot be determined in advance, and will be unique to the risk or emergency response required. Fire and Emergency considers that the reference to managing fire risk and so recommends similar language as in IB-R1 so that the plan aligns with the actions required by Fire and Emergency personnel under the Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017.	Amend NATC-R3 1. required for the repair or maintenance permitted under NATC-R2; or 2. required to provide for safe and reasonable clearance for existing overhead power lines. 3. necessary to address a risk to public health and safety or damage to property. 4. To create and/or maintain firebreaks to manage fire risk 5. for biosecurity reasons. 6. for the sustainable non-commercial harvest of plant material for rongoā Māori.		Accept in part
FS196.44	Joe Carr		Support	allows essential activity	Allow		Accept in part
FS369.374	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S483.156	Top Energy Limited	NATC-R3	Not Stated	Top Energy supports NATC-R3, in particular PER 1 (1) and (2) but seeks that it be amended to provide for works associated with upgrading as sought through the inclusion of a new rule	Amend PER 1 of Rule NATC-R3 as follows (or to the same effect): PER-1 The earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance within wetland, lake and river margins is: 1.required for the repair or maintenance permitted under NATC-R2; or 2.required to provide for safe and reasonable clearance for existing overhead power lines; or 3.necessary to address a risk to public health and safety; or 4.for biosecurity reasons; or 5.for the sustainable non-commercial harvest of plant material for rongoā Māori; or 6.Required for the upgrade of network utilities where the works are permitted by the NATC-R1		Accept in part
FS196.45	Joe Carr		Support	necessary requirement	Allow		Accept in part
FS346.082	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Oppose	The amendments sought do not adequately recognise and provide for s6(c) matters.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.						
FS345.207	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S421.149	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NATC-R3	Support in part	Federated Farmers generally supports rules NATC-R1, NATC-R2 and NATC-R3 as set out in the district plan. It is considered that there is a need for the rules to provide for activities with a functional need to be located within a natural character area so long as the subdivision, use or development is not inappropriate for the area.	Amend Rule NATC-R3 to provide for activities that need to be located within a natural character area as long as the subdivision, use and development is not inappropriate for the area		Accept in part
FS196.175	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Accept in part
FS570.1381	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.383	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1395	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1417	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS369.370	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S511.076	Royal Forest and Bird Protection	NATC-R3	Oppose	It is not clear if this rule conflicts with the NES-FW. Sub-policy Per-1(1) is to loose, same relief as requested for IB-R1(13) Sub-	Amend NATC- R3 if required to so as not to be more lenient than the NES-FW Amend sub-policy 1 the same as requested		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand			policy Per-1(4) is also to loose. Request same relief as for IB-R1(4)	for IB-R1(13) Amend sub-policy 4 the same as requested for IB-R1(4)		
FS164.076	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part
FS548.163	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	It is unclear exactly what the submitter is opposing and in turn what they are requesting in terms of amendments. NATC-R3 expands on what activities are permitted including addressing risks to public health and safety and for biosecurity reasons. Federated Farmers believes that the notified version of the rule is appropriate.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1647	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1661	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1683	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS369.372	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S364.092	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-R3	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified		Accept in part
FS548.109	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1173	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.232	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1187	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1209	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS369.369	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S243.042	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-R3	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to	Amend reference to lake in rule NATC-R3 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.			
FS534.031	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the unqualified application of the natural character provisions to the margins of artificial waterbodies (i.e., water storages and farm dams that fall within the definition of "lakes") is likely to be problematic. An exception for artificial waterbodies is an appropriate method to avoid this situation from arising.	Allow	allow original submission	Accept in part
FS570.600	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.614	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.636	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS369.367	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S436.037	Northland Fish and Game Council	NATC-R3	Not Stated	For the reasons set out under 'general submissions 'wetlands' of the submission (refer to submission points S436.001 and S436.002), amend Rule NATC-R3 to include wetland maintenance and restoration work.	Insert a new point in PER-1 of Rule NATC-R3 as follows: 6. Wetland maintenance and restoration work		Accept in part
FS534.033	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	The relief sought will better align the Proposed Plan with regulations 38(1)(a) and (2)(a) of the NES-FW. These permit earthworks and vegetation clearance for wetland restoration or maintenance purposes.	Allow	Insert a new point in PER-1 of Rule NATC-R3	Accept in part
FS570.1501	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.123	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of Fish and Game other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1515	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1537	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.371	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S243.046	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-R3	Oppose	The provision is targeted only to effects on natural character and such potential effects can be properly anticipated when considering this activity class. As such the rule is more efficient and effective if restricted discretionary activity, rather than a full discretionary activity. The assessment matters set out in the relief sought are taken from policy NATC-P6, and provide a complete basis to assess likely and potential effects on natural character.	Amend rule NATC-R3 to change the activity status where compliance is not achieved with PER-1 and PER-2 (inferred) from discretionary/non-complying to restricted discretionary, with discretion limited to the effects on natural character values as follows: a. the presence or absence of buildings, structures or infrastructure; b. the temporary or permanent nature of any adverse effects; c. the location, scale and design of any proposed development; d. any means of integrating the building, structure or activity; e. the ability of the environment to absorb change; f. the need for and location of earthworks or vegetation clearance; g. the operational or functional need of any regionally significant infrastructure to be sited in the particular location; h. any viable alternative locations for the activity or development; i. any historical, spiritual or cultural association held by tangata whenua, with regard to the matters set out in Policy TW-P6; j. the likelihood of the activity exacerbating natural hazards; k. the opportunity to enhance public access and recreation; l. the ability to improve the overall water quality; and m. any positive contribution the development has on the characteristics and qualities.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.604	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.618	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.640	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS369.368	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S529.140	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	NATC-R3	Support in part	NATC-R3 PER-2 & NATC-S2 allow an excessive amount of earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance up to 400m2 within the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers. This provision does not align with RMA s6 nor with NPS-Freshwater provisions.	Amend NATC-R3 to align with the RMA s6 and NPS freshwater provisions		Accept in part
FS570.2028	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.2042	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS569.2064	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS369.376	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S442.095	Kapiro Conservation Trust	NATC-R3	Oppose	It is not clear if this rule conflicts with the NES-FW. Sub-policy Per-1(1) is to loose, same relief as requested for IB-R1(13) Sub-policy Per-1(4) is also to loose. Request same relief as for IB-R1(4).	Amend NATC- R3, if required to so as not to be more lenient than the NES-FW Amend sub-policy 1 the same as requested for IB-R1(13) Amend sub-policy 4 the same as requested for IB-R1(4).		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.706	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS369.373	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S167.028	Bentzen Farm Limited	NATC-R3	Oppose	The provision is targeted only to effects on natural character and such potential effects can be properly anticipated when considering this activity class. As such the rule is more efficient and effective if restricted discretionary activity, rather than a full discretionary activity. The assessment matters set out in the relief sought are taken from policy NATC-P6, and provide a complete basis to assess likely and potential effects on natural character.	Amend rule NATC-R3 to change the activity status where compliance is not achieved with PER-1 and PER-1 from discretionary/non-complying to restricted discretionary , with discretion limited to the effects on natural character values as follows: a. the presence or absence of buildings, structures or infrastructure; b. the temporary or permanent nature of any adverse effects; c. the location, scale and design of any proposed development; d. any means of integrating the building, structure or activity; e. the ability of the environment to absorb change; f. the need for and location of earthworks or vegetation clearance; g. the operational or functional need of any regionally significant infrastructure to be sited in the particular location; h. any viable alternative locations for the activity or development; i. any historical, spiritual or cultural association held by tangata whenua, with regard to the matters set out in Policy TW-P6; j. the likelihood of the activity exacerbating natural hazards; k. the opportunity to enhance public access and recreation; l. the ability to improve the overall water quality; and m. any positive contribution the development has on the characteristics and qualities.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.390	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow		Accept in part
FS369.366	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S527.016	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	NATC-R3	Oppose	The PDP provisions will not preserve the natural character of waterways and wetlands. NATC-R3 allows an excessive amount of earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance up to 400m ² within the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers. This provision does not align with RMA s6 nor with NPS-Freshwater provisions. The PDP defines the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers as 20 - 30m, depending on the zone. The definition should be based on 30m, especially in the industrial and residential zones where greater protection is needed.	Amend to reduce the amount of earthworks and vegetation clearance (inferred)		Accept in part
FS566.1878	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS369.375	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports rule NATC-R3 and seeks amendment to provide for works associated with upgrading of infrastructure	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S179.075	Russell Protection Society (INC)	Standards	Support	In view of the fact that coastal zones are not provided for in the Proposed district plan, then the Coastal Environment, Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscape Overlays become very important in helping to define the boundaries of Russell and in safeguarding a suitable backdrop or canvass which to interpret and appreciate the historic township. It is especially important that these overlays provide adequate protection to the headlands	Retain standards		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				framing Russell and the natural coastal escarpments that characterize the balance of the Russell Peninsula. For this reason it is important to control subdivision and development of coastal lands in the area.			
FS51.95	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part	HNZPT is supportive of the planning framework notified for the protection of the district's Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscapes.	Allow in part		Accept in part
FS23.038	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support in part	Support to the extent consistent with our primary submission. Agree consideration needs to be given to how such overlays apply or are integrated into urban zones.	Allow in part	Allow relief sought to the extent relief sought is consistent with our primary submission.	Accept in part
S529.236	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Standards	Not Stated	District councils manage the margins of water bodies and the activities that can occur in these areas. Several parts of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPS-FM) give national direction to district councils specifically. The NPS-FM contains objectives and policies to ensure that natural and physical resources are managed in a way that prioritises the health and well-being of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems, the health needs of people (such as drinking water) and the ability of people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being, now and in the future. The implementation of the NPS-FM and managing freshwater to give effect to Te Mana o Te Wai is primarily the responsibility of the regional council, however clause 3.5(4) specifically requires that every territorial authority includes objectives, policies, and methods in its district plan to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments. Every territorial authority must include	Amend the PDP to give full effect to the NPS - Freshwater Management 2020		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				objectives, policies, and methods in its district plan to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments. Recent government guidance on the NPS-FM14 (p.8) notes that district plans must be reviewed/amended to give effect to the NPS-FM, including the following aspects: 'District plans must be reviewed and, if necessary, amended to give effect to the NPS-FM "as soon as reasonably practicable". 'The NPS-FM applies to all freshwater, and Te Mana o te Wai is relevant to all resource management where it affects freshwater, including in city and district planning. 'Clause 3.5 Integrated management requires a ki uta ki tai (integrated approach) to give effect to Te Mana o te Wai. It also sets out requirements relevant to city and district councils. This includes encouraging the coordination and sequencing of urban growth, and promoting positive effects and managing adverse effects of urban development on freshwater bodies. 'To give effect to Te Mana o te Wai, councils must consider matters such as how urban growth and increases in impervious surfaces will impact on stormwater flows, how stormwater affects the water bodies it is discharged to, and methods to manage urban growth and stormwater discharge. The identification and control of urban growth areas must prioritise the health and well-being of water bodies.'			
FS570.2123	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.2137	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.2159	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S210.003	Paul Hayman	NATC-S1	Oppose	Rule 1 of this standard is overly restrictive and the maximum height of the zone the property is in should be the governing factor.	Amend the standard to read: The maximum height of a building or structure, or extension or alteration to an existing building or structure is ~~5m above ground level~~ should not be higher than the zone rule of the site.		Reject
S331.048	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NATC-S1	Support	The submitter supports NATC-S1 Maximum height and acknowledges this standard to manage the maximum height of a building or structure that is lawfully established (which can include educational facilities), located on wetland, lake and river margins.	Retain NATC-S1 Maximum height, as proposed.		Accept
S136.002	Lynley Newport	NATC-S1	Oppose	There is no resource management based link between the height of a building or structure and its proximity to a wetland, lake or river margin. Setback distance and size of the building or structure maybe, but not height. If the wetland, lake or river margin is within an area identified as Outstanding Natural Feature or Outstanding Natural Landscape, then potentially the height may have an effect. There is no need for, or justification for NATC-S1.	Delete NATC-S1.		Reject
FS196.88	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject
S364.093	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-S1	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified		Accept in part
FS548.110	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS570.1174	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.233	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.			
FS566.1188	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1210	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S502.037	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	NATC-S2	Support in part	We believe the reference to point number 5 is a typo and should be point 4. The note regarding the NESF is misleading. Generally speaking any activity, you undertake within 100m of a wetland area will trigger consent as most activities will divert water in some way. Amended wording has been offered for consideration.	Amend NATC-S2 Any earthworks or indigenous vegetation on a site within wetland, lake and river margins clearance must: 1. not exceed a total area of 400m ² for 10 years from the notification of the District Plan, unless a control in 5.4 below applies; 2. not exceed a cut height or fill depth of 1m; 3. screen exposed faces; and 4. comply with Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity chapter, NFL-S3 Earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance and CE-S3 Earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance. ~~Note: The NESF requires a 10m setback from any natural wetland in respect of earthworks or vegetation clearance and may require consent from the Regional Council. Attention is also drawn to the NESF which has additional requirements around works within 100m of a wetland area.~~		Accept in part
S503.045	Waitangi Limited	NATC-S2	Not Stated	We believe the reference to point number 5 is a typo and should be point 4. The note regarding the NESF is misleading. Generally speaking any activity you undertake within 100m of a wetland area will trigger consent as most activities will divert water in some way. Amended wording has been offered for consideration.	Amend point 2 of Standard NATC-S2 as follows: not exceed a total area of 400m ² for 10 years from the notification of the District Plan, unless a control in 5.4 below applies Amend the note to Standard NATC-S2 as follows: ~~The NESF requires a 10m setback from any natural wetland in respect of earthworks or vegetation clearance and may require consent from the Regional Council. Attention is also drawn to the NESF which has additional requirements around works within 100m of a wetland area.~~		Accept in part
S368.007	Far North District Council	NATC-S2	Support in part	Technical error. Incorrect reference to one of the points within Standard NATC-S2. NATC-	Amend NATC-S2 Earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				S2 point 1. should reference point 4. not point 5.	Any earthworks or indigenous vegetation on a site within wetland, lake and river margins clearance must: 1. not exceed a total area of 400m ² for 10 years from the notification of the District Plan, unless a control in 5-4 below applies; 2. not exceed a cut height or fill depth of 1 m; 3. screen exposed faces; and 4. comply with Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity chapter, NFL-S3 Earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance and		
FS25.122	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	The correction is appropriate.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
S399.065	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	NATC-S2	Not Stated	As worded, NATC-S2 appears to be inconsistent with The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (2020) and accompanying National Environmental Standards. The accompanying note, that the NESF requires a 10m setback from any natural wetland and may require consent is noted, but since this comes after the standard, this could easily be confused. The NPS and NES make earthworks within 100m of a wetland a non-complying activity if it will result or is likely to result in drainage or partial drainage of the wetland (Rule 52) and earthworks within a wetland a prohibited activity if it will result or is likely to result in partial drainage of the wetland (Rule 53). Vegetation clearance within, or within a 10 m setback from, a wetland and earthworks within, or within a 10 m setback from, a wetland are non-complying activities under Rule 54. This matter can be addressed by amending the wording at the start of NATC-S2 so that it is clear that earthworks and clearance within a wetland are generally prohibited/non-complying.	Amend Standard NATC-S2 so that it clearly states that any clearance of vegetation must be outside a 10m margin from wetlands.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS339.032	Haitaitamarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Support	Including appropriate setbacks from wetlands is required under the NPSFM and NES-FM.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
S511.077	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	NATC-S2	Support in part	NATC-(1) should refer to (4). This standards also points out the clear need for the indigenous biodiversity to apply to this chapter as well because this standard is far more lenient than IB-R3	Amend NATC-S2 " ...5 4 ..."		Accept in part
FS164.077	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part
FS570.1648	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1662	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1684	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S364.094	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	NATC-S2	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified		Accept in part
FS548.111	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is contrary to the relief sought by Federated Farmers in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1175	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.234	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1189	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1211	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.043	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-S2	Oppose	In the Proposed Plan, "Lake" has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA - "means a body of fresh water which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". The Natural Character Chapter Rules, Objectives and Policies apply to lakes, without any limitation on the size of the lake. There are many small bodies of freshwater in the district which would qualify as a lake under this definition (including farm dams made by people), which do not contribute to natural character. The Operative District Plan applies the maximum setback rules to lakes only where the lakebed has an area of 8ha or more, with as lesser setback determined by a calculation against the area of the lake. The Operative Plan also defines a lake as "a permanent body of fresh water 5 or more hectares in area which is entirely or nearly surrounded by land". These alternative methods have not been assessed in the Section 32 report; however, both efficiently and effectively achieves the objective by targeting the rule to lakes most likely to exhibit natural character while	Amend reference to lake in standard NATC-S2 to exclude application to lakes with a bed less than 5ha in area and exclude a body of freshwater impounded by a dam.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				minimising the costs of resource consent applications by not applying the full set back provisions to smaller lakes and dams Either option should be carried over into the Proposed Plan to ensure that the provisions relating to Wetland, Lake and River Margins in the Proposed Plan are targeted to larger lakes, which are more likely to contribute to natural character, and avoid the provisions apply to farm dams. See also the relief sought in this submission in relation to the Definition of "Wetland, Lake and River Margins" which would achieve the same outcome.			
FS534.032	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the unqualified application of the natural character provisions to the margins of artificial waterbodies (i.e., water storages and farm dams that fall within the definition of "lakes") is likely to be problematic. An exception for artificial waterbodies is an appropriate method to avoid this situation from arising.	Allow	allow original submission	Accept in part
FS570.601	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.615	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.637	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S436.038	Northland Fish and Game Council	NATC-S2	Not Stated	For the reasons set out under 'general submissions 'wetlands' of the submission (refer to submission points S436.001 and S436.002), amend Standard NATC-S2 to bring the conditions in line with the NESF.	Amend standard NATC-S2 as follows: Any earthworks or indigenous vegetation on a site within wetland, lake and river margins clearance must: - not exceed a total area of 4500m² or 10% of the area of the natural wetland for 10 years from the notification of the District Plan, unless a control in 5. below applies; 		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					4. ... Note: The NESF requires a 10m setback from any natural wetland in respect of earthworks or vegetation clearance and may require consent from the Regional Council.		
FS404.061	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	The D-G considers both the notified standard and the relief to be overly permissive for earthworks or vegetation clearance within a wetland and wishes to be involved in any re-drafting of the standard.	Disallow	disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part
FS570.1502	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.124	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of Fish and Game other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1516	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1538	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.047	Matauri Trustee Limited	NATC-S2	Oppose	Amendments to size of the lake or being a dam as per previous submission points. The limitation on earthworks for 400m ² for 10 years from the notification of the Proposed Plan is unduly restrictive and does not recognise that the effects of earthworks (complying with the other standards proposed in the rule) can effectively 'heal' over a calendar year through re-grassing, establishment of vegetation or the construction of the building or accessway for which the earthworks were required. To impose area limitations for the 10-year time frame will trigger resource consent applications for subsequent earthworks which	Amend standard NATC-S2 as follows: Any earthworks or indigenous vegetation on a site within wetland, lake (where the lake bed has an area of 5ha or more or is a body of freshwater impounded by a dam) and river margins clearance must: 1. not exceed a total area of 400m² ~~for 10 years from the notification of the District Plan~~ per calendar year, unless a control in 5. below applies; 2. not exceed a cut height or fill depth of 1m; 3. screen exposed faces visible from a public place; and 4. comply with Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity chapter, NFL-S3 Earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance and CE-S3 Earthworks or		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				need only be assessed against this new established environment, rather than against earthworks occurring some time over the preceding 10 year period. Clause 3 of the rule implies visual screening, and that being the case, it should state where screening is to be from. This should be a public place given that is where natural character values will be seen from. The Standard references 'control in 5 below', however there is no number 5 in the standard. On the basis that this was intended to reference sediment control methods as follows (taken from EW-S5 Erosion and sediment control), then this is an appropriate addition to the rule as an effective method to control : Earthworks i. must for their duration be controlled in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region 2016 (Auckland Council Guideline Document GD2016/005); ii. shall be implemented to prevent silt or sediment from entering water bodies, coastal marine area, any stormwater system, overland flow paths, or roads.	indigenous vegetation clearance. Note: The NESF requires a 10m setback from any natural wetland in respect of earthworks or vegetation clearance and may require consent from the Regional Council. Add the following as '5'. Earthworks. must for their duration be controlled in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region 2016 (Auckland Council Guideline Document GD2016/005);ii. shall be implemented to prevent silt or sediment from entering water bodies, coastal marine area, any stormwater system, overland flow paths, or roads.		
FS570.605	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.619	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.641	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S529.141	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	NATC-S2	Support in part	NATC-R3 PER-2 & NATC-S2 allow an excessive amount of earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance up to 400m2 within the margins of wetlands, lakes and	Amend NATC-S2 to align with RMA s6 or with NPS-Freshwater provisions.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				rivers. This provision does not align with RMA s6 nor with NPS-Freshwater provisions.			
FS570.2029	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.2043	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS569.2065	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S529.143	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	NATC-S2	Support in part	A note under NATS-S2 states: 'Note: The NESF requires a 10m setback from any natural wetland in respect of earthworks or vegetation clearance and may require consent from the Regional Council.' However, this statement is incomplete and therefore misleading - it refers only to a 10m setback distance, when in fact the NES-F provisions also cover some activities within 100m of a natural wetland that require consent from the regional council. The Note should be amended to provide the correct information.	Amend NATC-S2 note to refer to the NES-F provisions which covers some activities within 100m of a natural wetland that require consent from the regional council.		Accept in part
FS570.2031	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.2045	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS569.2067	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S442.096	Kapiro Conservation Trust	NATC-S2	Support in part	NATC-(1) should refer to (4). This standards also points out the clear need for the indigenous biodiversity to apply to this chapter as well because this standard is far more lenient than IB-R3.	Amend NATC-S2: " ...5 4 ..."		Accept in part
FS346.707	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.			
S167.029	Bentzen Farm Limited	NATC-S2	Oppose	The limitation on earthworks for 400m² for 10 years from the notification of the Proposed Plan is unduly restrictive and does not recognise that the effects of earthworks (complying with the other standards proposed in the rule) can effectively 'heal' over a calendar year through re-grassing, establishment of vegetation or the construction of the building or accessway for which the earthworks were required. To impose area limitations for the 10-year time frame will trigger resource consent applications for subsequent earthworks which need only be assessed against this new established environment, rather than against earthworks occurring some time over the preceding 10 year period. Clause 3 of the rule implies visual screening, and that being the case, it should state where screening is to be from. This should be a public place given that is where natural character values will be seen from. The Standard references 'control in 5 below', however there is no number 5 in the standard.	Amend NATC-S2 as follows: Any earthworks or indigenous vegetation on a site within wetland, lake (where the lake bed has an area of 5ha or more or is a body of freshwater impounded by a dam) and river margins clearance must: 1. not exceed a total area of 400m² ~~for 10 years from the notification of the District Plan~~ per calendar year, unless a control in 5. below applies; 2. not exceed a cut height or fill depth of 1m; 3. screen exposed faces visible from a public place; and 4. comply with Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity chapter, NFL-S3 Earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance and CE-S3 Earthworks or indigenous vegetation clearance. Note: The NESF requires a 10m setback from any natural wetland in respect of earthworks or vegetation clearance and may require consent from the Regional Council. Insert the following as '5'. Earthworks. must for their duration be controlled in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region 2016 (Auckland Council Guideline Document GD2016/005);ii. shall be implemented to prevent silt or sediment from entering water bodies, coastal marine area, any stormwater system, overland flow paths, or roads.		Accept in part
FS566.391	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S527.017	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	NATC-S2	Oppose	The PDP provisions will not preserve the natural character of waterways and wetlands. NATC-R3 allows an excessive amount of earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance up to 400m ² within the margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers. This provision does not align with RMA s6 nor with NPS-Freshwater provisions. The PDP defines the	Amend to reduce the amount of earthworks and vegetation clearance (inferred)		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				margins of wetlands, lakes and rivers as 20 - 30m, depending on the zone. The definition should be based on 30m, especially in the industrial and residential zones where greater protection is needed.			
FS566.1879	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S333.054	P S Yates Family Trust	SUB-R19	Support	On many sites the overlay or margin is a small component of a larger site. Subdivision of the balance of the site not covered by the overlay or margin should be able to occur in accordance with the standard subdivision provisions. Only where the new lot to be created (or boundary) is within the overlay should assessment be required under this rule. That may have been the intent of the drafting; however, as drafted, it may capture sites where only a part of them is within an overlay or margin yet applies the rule and activity status to subdivisions of the site as a whole. The rule should also only be restricted to the creation of new lots within these overlays/margins and should not apply to the other classes of subdivision provided for (for example, boundary adjustments). The revisions sought in this submission seeks to limit the application of the rule only to the creation of new lots.	Amend Rule SUB-R19 as follows: SUB-R19 Subdivision of a site within wetland, lake and river margins (where any boundary of a new lot to be created (excluding boundary adjustments) is within the margin)		Accept in part
S168.062	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SUB-R19	Support in part	On many sites the overlay or margin is a small component of a larger site. Subdivision	Amend Rule SUB-R19 as follows: Subdivision of a site within wetland, lake and river		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
				of the balance of the site not covered by the overlay or margin should be able to occur in accordance with the standard subdivision provisions. Only where the new lot to be created (or boundary) is within the overlay should assessment be required under this rule. That may have been the intent of the drafting; however, as drafted, it may capture sites where only a part of them is within an overlay or margin yet applies the rule and activity status to subdivisions of the site as a whole. The rule should also only be restricted to the creation of new lots within these overlays/margins and should not apply to the other classes of subdivision provided for (for example, boundary adjustments). The revisions sought in this submission seeks to limit the application of the rule only to the creation of new lots.	margins (where any boundary of a new lot to be created (excluding boundary adjustments) is within the margin)	
S187.054	The Shooting Box Limited	SUB-R19	Support in part	The rule should also only be restricted to the creation of new lots within these overlays/margins and should not apply to the other classes of subdivision provided for (for example, boundary adjustments). The revisions sought in this submission seeks to limit the application of the rule only to the creation of new lots.	Amend Rule SUB-R19 as follows: SUB-R18 SUB-R19 Subdivision of a site within wetland, lake and river margins (where any boundary of a new lot to be created (excluding boundary adjustments) is within the margin).	Accept in part
S222.055	Wendover Two Limited	SUB-R19	Support in part	On many sites the overlay or margin is a small component of a larger site. Subdivision of the balance of the site not covered by the overlay or margin should be able to occur in accordance with the standard subdivision provisions. Only where the new lot to be created (or boundary) is within the overlay should assessment be required under this rule. That may have been the intent of the drafting; however, as drafted, it may capture sites where only a part of them is within an overlay or margin yet applies the rule and activity status to subdivisions of the site as a whole. The rule should also only be restricted to the creation of new lots within these	Amend Rule SUB-R19 as follows: SUB-R19 Subdivision of a site within wetland, lake and river margins (where any boundary of a new lot to be created (excluding boundary adjustments) is within the margin)	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				overlays/margins and should not apply to the other classes of subdivision provided for (for example, boundary adjustments). The revisions sought in this submission seeks to limit the application of the rule only to the creation of new lots.			
S502.085	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	SUB-R19	Support in part	Clarification is sought as to whether a subdivision application is automatically a discretionary activity if the site contains a wetland, lake and /or river margin. We have assumed that the intent of this is to capture any subdivisions where a boundary may run through a wetland, lake or river. We note that generally if you are creating a boundary a waterbody is normally a nice natural boundary to follow. Avoiding this may result in unnecessary crossings being formed to access land. We would also like to point out that if giving esplanade reserve, that this would be creating a boundary within the margin of a wetland, lake or river margin which would trigger Discretionary consent. This would be a perverse outcome.	Amend SUB-R19 to clarify whether a subdivision application is automatically a discretionary activity if the site contains a wetland, lake and /or river margin.		Accept in part
FS172.226	Audrey Campbell-Frear		Support	For the reasons set out in this primary submission.	Allow		Accept in part
S364.060	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	SUB-R19	Support	The Director-General supports the activity status associated with Rule SUB-R19	Retain Rule SUB-R19		Accept in part
FS372.005	John Andrew Riddell		Support	The rules are consistent with Part 2 of the Act, with national policy statements and with the Regional Policy Statement for Northland. The activity status set out in these rules follows sound resource management practice.	Allow	retain the rules as proposed.	Accept in part
FS570.1141	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.200	Royal Forest and Bird		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.			the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.			
FS566.1155	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1177	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.079	Matauri Trustee Limited	SUB-R19	Support in part	On many sites the overlay or margin is a small component of a larger site. Subdivision of the balance of the site not covered by the overlay or margin should be able to occur in accordance with the standard subdivision provisions. Only where the new lot to be created (or boundary) is within the overlay should assessment be required under this rule. That may have been the intent of the drafting; however, as drafted, it may capture sites where only a part of them is within an overlay or margin yet applies the rule and activity status to subdivisions of the site as a whole. The rule should also only be restricted to the creation of new lots within these overlays/margins and should not apply to the other classes of subdivision provided for (for example, boundary adjustments). The revisions sought in this submission seeks to limit the application of the rule only to the creation of new lots.	Amend Rule SUB-R19 as follows: SUB-R19 Subdivision of a site within wetland, lake and river margins (where any boundary of a new lot to be created (excluding boundary adjustments) is within the margin)		Accept in part
FS570.637	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.651	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.673	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S167.061	Bentzen Farm Limited	SUB-R19	Support in part	On many sites the overlay or margin is a small component of a larger site. Subdivision of the balance of the site not covered by the overlay or margin should be able to occur in accordance with the standard subdivision provisions. Only where the new lot to be created (or boundary) is within the overlay should assessment be required under this rule. That may have been the intent of the drafting; however, as drafted, it may capture sites where only a part of them is within an overlay or margin yet applies the rule and activity status to subdivisions of the site as a whole.	Amend Rule SUB-R19 as follows: SUB-R18 SUB-R19 Subdivision of a site within wetland, lake and river margins (where any boundary of a new lot to be created (excluding boundary adjustments) is within the margin)		Accept in part
FS566.423	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S394.042	Haititaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust	Policies	Oppose	Provision to manage activities adjacent to waterbodies is required to properly manage related potential effects.	Insert a new policy as follows: Manage the effects of activities adjacent to surface water by avoiding significant adverse cultural effects and remedying or mitigating other adverse cultural effects.		Accept in part
FS588.042	Ian Bamber		Support	Supports entire submission to protect our waahi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS363.042	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS538.042	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS537.042	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS536.042	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS535.042	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS533.042	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS532.042	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS531.042	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS530.042	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS529.042	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS528.042	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS527.042	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS526.042	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS525.042	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS524.042	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS523.042	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS522.042	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS521.042	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS520.042	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS519.042	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS518.042	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS517.042	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS516.042	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS515.042	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS514.042	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS513.042	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS512.042	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS511.042	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS510.042	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS509.042	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS508.042	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS507.042	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS506.042	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS505.042	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS504.042	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS503.042	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS502.042	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS501.042	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS500.042	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS499.042	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS498.042	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS497.042	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS496.042	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS495.042	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS494.042	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS493.042	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS492.042	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS491.042	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS490.042	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS489.042	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS487.042	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS486.042	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS485.042	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS483.042	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS482.042	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS481.042	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS480.042	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS479.042	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS478.042	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS477.042	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS476.042	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS475.042	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS474.042	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS473.042	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS472.042	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS471.042	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS470.042	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS469.042	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS468.042	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS467.042	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS466.042	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS465.042	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS464.042	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS463.042	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS462.042	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS461.042	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS460.042	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS459.042	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS458.042	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS457.042	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS456.042	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS455.042	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS454.042	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS453.042	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS452.042	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS451.042	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS450.042	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS447.042	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS440.042	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS439.042	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS436.042	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS435.042	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS434.042	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS433.042	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS432.042	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS431.042	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS430.042	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS429.042	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS428.042	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS427.042	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS426.042	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS425.042	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS423.042	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS422.042	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS421.042	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS420.042	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS418.042	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS417.042	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS416.042	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS415.042	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS408.042	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS388.042	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS387.042	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS386.042	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS385.042	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS382.042	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS381.042	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS380.042	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS379.042	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS378.042	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS365.042	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS360.042	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS359.042	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS358.042	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS357.042	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS356.042	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS568.042	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS567.042	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS563.042	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS562.042	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS561.042	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS560.042	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS559.042	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS558.042	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS557.042	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS556.042	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS555.042	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS553.042	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS552.042	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS551.042	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS546.042	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS545.042	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS544.042	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS178.042	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS413.042	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S282.013	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited,	Rules	Oppose	The current rules framework in relation to Natural Character does not provide for new infrastructure activities noting that in instances there may be a functional or operational need for such activities to be located in Natural Character areas (margins of waterbodies). Allowing for new	Insert new rules to NATC to allow for telecommunication activities within road reserve and existing road crossings over waterbodies as permitted activities, as well as new aerial telecommunication lines crossings as appropriate.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Vodafone New Zealand Limited			infrastructure within existing road reserve and road crossings would appear appropriate given the land has already been developed as well as allowing for new aerial telecommunication lines crossings in such areas given the scale of impact.			
FS111.082	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support in part	PHTTCCT support that the current rules framework in relation to Natural Character does not provide for new infrastructure activities.	Allow in part	allow in part original submission	Accept in part
S451.020	Pacific Eco-Logic	Natural character assessment criteria	Support in part	The listed criteria for the mapping of coastal natural character differ from what was actually used to map natural character for the RPS in 2012.	Insert the definitions, criteria and methodology used for mapping the natural character in the Regional Policy Statement for Northland.		Reject
FS332.207	Russell Protection Society		Support	The original submission aligns with our values. The Russell Protection Society has a purpose of promoting wise and sustainable development that compliments the historic and special character of Russell and its surrounds.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS570.1525	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1539	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1561	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S421.146	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Natural character assessment criteria	Oppose	Federated Farmers does not support policy NATC-O2 as it is currently drafted in the proposed district plan. The policy is inconsistent with section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 as it links to an assessment guide which breaks natural character into outstanding and high. As previously discussed in this submission, Federated Farmers does not support the use of high natural character in the proposed district plan.	Delete the concept of high natural character from Policy NATC-O2 (NATC-P2 inferred) and associated Appendix 1 Mapping methods and criteria.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1378	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.380	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1392	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1414	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.164	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Natural character assessment criteria	Not Stated	The listed criteria for the mapping of coastal natural character differ from what was actually used to map natural character for the RPS in 2012.	Insert the definitions, criteria and methodology used for mapping the natural character in the Regional Policy Statement for Northland.		Reject
FS346.775	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject