

Appendix 2 – Officer's Recommended Decisions on Submissions (Mineral Extraction Overlay)

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S424.003	Ventia Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Not stated.	Amend any other part of the Plan to achieve the outcomes sought by this submission.	Accept	Key Issue 1 General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS125.1	Gavin McMahan		Oppose	<p>The area of the proposed future expansion impacts the region in a number of areas:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p>	Disallow	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels,</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS140.1	Murray Blom		Oppose	<p>The area of the proposed future expansion impacts the region in a number of areas:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
FS150.2	Jennifer Troup & Rowan White		Oppose	<p>The area of the proposed future expansion impacts the region in a number of areas:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
FS132.1	Katrina Sumpton		Oppose	<p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels,</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS103.1	Proposed Puketona Quarry Expansion		Oppose	<p>The area of the proposed future expansion impacts the region in a number of areas:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
FS158.4	Nicola & Bart Graham		Oppose	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed based on significant negative effect to the affected region in terms of archeological, environmental and socio-economic impacts (as described in the attached document).	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2:: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS169.1	Jason Wootton		Oppose	The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2:: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
FS169.3	Jason Wootton		Oppose	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2:: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
FS213.1	Amy Weston		Oppose	<p>The area of the proposed future expansion impacts the region in a number of areas:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2:: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels,</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS330.003	Gavin and Pru McMahon		Oppose	While I understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2:: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS362.003	Lucy Anna Doull		Oppose	I am writing to express my deep concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation in our community in reference to submissions S424.001, S424.002 & S424.003 of RT NA 97B/387. While I understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2:: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS540.003	Gareth Raymond Anthony Doull		Oppose	I am writing to express my deep concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation in our community in reference to submissions S424.001, S424.002 & S424.003 of RT NA 97B/387. While I understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS329.003	Jeffrey Edward Archer		Oppose	<p>The expansion of Puketona quarry will have significant negative effects to the affected region in terms of archeological, environmental and socio-economic impacts.</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical, archaeological, and cultural value to both Māori and non-Māori cultures ('NZAA Site Record No. PO5/756'). These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations. Due to the relatively unstudied nature of the site, there is a significant possibility that it contains undiscovered Taonga or recognised Māori culture remains.</p> <p>The quarry's excavation will interfere with the current swamp and stream systems on the site and runoff will lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This will harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. This will also have an impact on the ecological values of the site where the area provides crucial habitat for a variety of plant and animal species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko. The site is also adjacent to the Puketona Forest which is a SNA.</p> <p>There is a significant community living directly adjacent and in close proximity to the proposed expansion site where in many cases, windows of the buildings are no more than a few metres from the boundary of potential</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>quarry and auxiliary activities. The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. These aspects pose a risk to the community's health and safety.</p> <p>The negative impact on the environment outweigh any potential economic benefits and Council are encouraged to explore alternative economic development strategies that prioritise sustainability and the wellbeing of residents.</p>				
FS346.130	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2:: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS118.1	Guillaume de ROUVROY		Oppose	<p>The area of the proposed future expansion impacts the region in a number of areas:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
S359.021	Northland Regional Council	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Recommend strengthening reverse sensitivity provisions, especially where lifestyle/rural residential development occurs within or adjoins Rural Production, mineral extraction, Industrial zones and significant infrastructure.	Amend to include stronger reverse sensitivity provisions. Provisions to consider appropriate visual and physical screening and limitations on intensity of noise sensitive activities		Accept	Key Issue 4: Rules Overview
FS36.009	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	Supports the strengthening of the reverse sensitivity provisions adjoining significant infrastructure such as transport corridors to ensure human health is protected.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Key Issue 4: Rules
FS374.045	Waipapa Pine Limited		Support	There is general agreement with the intention of the Submitter in that the Proposed District Plan should strengthen reverse sensitivity provisions - especially where lifestyle / rural residential development occurs and adjoins the Heavy Industrial Zone.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 4: Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1057	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 6 General Support and other matters:
FS346.482	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 6 General Support and other matters.
FS566.1071	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 6 General Support and other matters:
FS569.1093	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 6 General Support and other matters:
S511.108	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Neutral	In general there is no explanation to how this chapter should interact with the IB chapter and in many respects this chapter is lacking in protecting significant indigenous biodiversity and maintenance of other indigenous biodiversity This chapter should be amended to ensure compliance with the IB chapter	Amend to ensure compliance with the IB chapter		Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS164.108	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>				
FS570.1679	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 6 General Support and other matters:
FS566.1693	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 6 General Support and other matters:
FS569.1715	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	
S442.127	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Neutral	In general there is no explanation to how this chapter should interact with the IB chapter and in many respects this chapter is lacking in protecting significant indigenous biodiversity and maintenance of other indigenous biodiversity. This chapter should be amended to ensure compliance with the IB chapter.	Amend to ensure compliance with the IB chapter.		Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS346.738	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Awaiting recommendation	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S424.012	Ventia Ltd	Rules	Oppose	SUB-R16 Subdivision of a site containing a mineral extraction overlay does not appropriately provide for subdivision which can impact its	Insert a new rule which requires subdivision within 100m of the Mineral Extraction Overlay to consider and assess effects directly to the zone, any existing activities,		Accept in part	Key Issue 3: Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				existing or future operations. A new rule is required to protect mining activities from potential sterilisation.	and whether the operational quarry, or underlying owner of the Mineral Extraction Overlay site is an 'affected party' under the RMA.			
FS94.9	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	<p>Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources.</p> <p>S424.012 - the new rule to preserve the rights of extraction activities from the 'sterilization' from subdivision from nearby extractive zoning is a forward thinking one. This will avoid future extraction activities from being hamstrung by nearby development, which would ultimately be detrimental to public and private supply of said resource.</p> <p>Hence we support the suggested relief measure.</p>	Allow		Accept in part	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS346.139	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accepted in part	Key Issue 2: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S65.016	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	Rules	Not Stated	SUB-R16 Subdivision of a site containing a mineral extraction overlay does not appropriately provide for subdivision which can impact its existing or future operations. A new rule is required to protect mining activities from potential sterilisation.	insert new rules which requires subdivision within 100m of the Minerals Zone to consider and assess effects directly to the zone, any existing activities, and whether the operational quarry, or underlying owner of the Mineral Zone site is an 'affected party' under the RMA.		Accept in part	Key Issue 4 Rules
FS346.822	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4: Rules
S424.013	Ventia Ltd	SUB-R16	Oppose	The proposal to require subdivision as a Discretionary Activity, and the qualifying DIS-1, only considers subdivision that will result in dwellings being built. However, boundary adjustments may occur as a result of areas being rehabilitated following exhaustion of the minerals deposit and the potential passive / active recreational activities that may occur. Note 5.1.5(e) of the RPS requires consultation with owners of regionally significant mineral resources when proposed subdivision, land use or development may have an adverse effect.	Amend to a controlled activity.		Reject	Key Issue 4: Rules
FS94.10	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district	Allow		Accept in part	Key Issue 4: Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources.</p> <p>S424.013 - this suggested rule allows for the multiple prospects of extraction site rehabilitation in the future.</p>				
FS346.140	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4: Rules
S65.017	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	SUB-R16	Oppose	<p>The proposal to require subdivision as a Discretionary Activity, and the qualifying DIS-1, only considers subdivision that will result in dwellings being built. However, boundary adjustments may occur as a result of areas being rehabilitated following exhaustion of the minerals deposit and the potential passive / active recreational activities that may occur. Note 5.1.5(e) of the RPS requires consultation with owners of regionally significant mineral resources when proposed subdivision, land use or development may have an adverse effect.</p>	amend SUB- R16 to controlled activity		Reject	Key Issue 4: Rules
FS346.823	Royal Forest and Bird		Oppose	<p>Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of</p>	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue3 and 4 Rules and Policies

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.			overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.				
S511.109	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	ME-O1	Support in part	Support reference to meeting District's needs rather than international / global corporate needs	Retain		Accept	Key Issue 6: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS164.109	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	<p>Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS570.1680	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1694	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Awaiting recommendation	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS569.1716	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Awaiting recommendation	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
S442.128	Kapiro Conservation Trust	ME-O1	Support in part	Support reference to meeting District's needs rather than international / global corporate needs.	Retain ME-O1.		Accept	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS346.739	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Awaiting recommendation	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
S160.031	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	ME-O3	Support	The submitter supports objective ME-03 as they have many active quarries in its managed estate and if Council believes it is of benefit to map these then they are in support.	Retain objective ME-03 as it is written.		Accept	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS346.601	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S463.087	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	ME-P2	Support	It is appropriate to require significant adverse effects to be avoided.	Retain Policy ME-P2		Accept	Key Issue 2: Policies
S511.110	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	ME-P2	Support in part	Should only apply to the Mineral Extraction Overlay	Amend to include reference to 'Mineral Extraction Overlay'		Accept	Key Issue 2: Policies
FS164.110	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	<p>Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS570.1681	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1695	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1717	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.468	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy supports R3 and seeks to ensure that it provides for upgrades of network utilities	Disallow		Accepted	Key Issue 4 Rules
S442.129	Kapiro Conservation Trust	ME-P2	Support in part	Should only apply to the Mineral Extraction Overlay.	Amend to include reference to 'Mineral Extraction Overlay'.		Accept	Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.740	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
S463.088	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	ME-P3	Oppose	While WBF agrees with the express recognition afforded to the special purpose zones in this policy, it considers the requirement in subclause (c) for extraction and processing activities to be "sufficiently away from" the Kauri Cliffs Zone to be unduly vague and difficult to enforce. WBF recommends the development of amended wording to clarify a required minimum setback. WBF has provided a placeholder dimension in the amended text of the adjoining column because it considers that the appropriate minimum setback to be specified will require further discussion between parties to this policy	Ament point c. of Policy ME-P3 as follows: c. the location of the extraction or processing activity is setback a minimum of [xx m] from any land in the sufficiently away from Urban zones, Carrington Estate, Kauri Cliffs, Orongo Bay, Quail Ridge and Māori Purpose Special Purpose zones and Settlement and Rural Residential zones;		Withdrawn	Key Issue 3: Policies
S511.111	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	ME-P3	Oppose	Forest & Bird considers that mineral extraction activities should not be provided for outside of the Mineral Extraction overlay Further the conditions are entirely loose to serve as any sort of restraint. For example any level of public benefit seems to loose. (c) is far too ambiguous to serve	Delete ME-P3 Or amend so it is not "Provide". A possible alternative is consider then amend sub-policies to reflect simple, clear and enforceable provisions that may be reflected in standards or conditions.		Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				useful purpose. Also (d) is already provided for in ME-P2 Need to check extent of "Natural Environment Overlays" referred to in (b) and consider need for amendment here				
FS164.111	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	<p>Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS570.1682	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 4 Rules
FS566.1696	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4 Rules
FS569.1718	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4: Rules
S442.130	Kapiro Conservation Trust	ME-P3	Oppose	Forest & Bird considers that mineral extraction activities should not be provided for outside of the Mineral Extraction overlay Further the	Delete ME-P3 Or Amend so it is not "Provide". A possible alternative is consider then amend sub-		Reject	Key Issue 2: Policies

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				conditions are entirely loose to serve as any sort of restraint. For example any level of public benefit seems to loose. (c) is far too ambiguous to serve useful purpose. Also (d) is already provided for in ME-P2 Need to check extent of "Natural Environment Overlays" referred to in (b) and consider need for amendment here.	policies to reflect simple, clear and enforceable provisions that may be reflected in standards or conditions.			
FS346.741	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
S511.112	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	ME-P4	Oppose	It is not entirely clear why a policy that pertains specifically to the rural production zone is found in the Mineral Extraction Overlay chapter.	Amend to move to appropriate chapter		Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS164.112	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1683	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS566.1697	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS569.1719	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S442.131	Kapiro Conservation Trust	ME-P4	Oppose	It is not entirely clear why a policy that pertains specifically to the rural production zone is found in the Mineral Extraction Overlay chapter.	Amend to move to appropriate chapter.		Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS346.742	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S368.040	Far North District Council	ME-P5	Support in part	Outstanding Natural Character only occurs within the coastal environment so is redundant in this policy, needs to be removed	Amend ME-P5 Avoid adverse effects, and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects from new and the expansion of existing mineral extraction activities on the characteristics and qualities of the following, where located outside of the Coastal Environment Overlay: a. Outstanding Natural Landscapes; b. Outstanding Natural Features; Outstanding Natural		Accept	Key Issue 2: Policies

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Character, d. Significant Natural Area; e. Historic and cultural values; and f. Sites and Areas of Significance to Maori.		
S399.075	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	ME-P5	Not Stated	A word appears to be omitted from Policy ME-P5, otherwise it doesn't make sense. It appears the word might be significant?	Amend Policy ME-P5 to read as follows: Avoid significant adverse effects, and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects from new and the expansion of existing mineral extraction activities on the characteristics and qualities of the following, where located outside of the Coastal Environment Overlay...	Accept	Key Issue 3: Policies
S511.113	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	ME-P5	Support in part	This policy needs to apply specifically to the Mineral Extraction Overlay area. Additionally this policy does not go far enough in terms of protecting indigenous biodiversity in accordance with RPS policy 4.4.1(3)	Amend to include reference to Mineral Extraction Overlay within the policy Amend to protect indigenous biodiversity in accordance with RPS, policy 4.4.1(3)	Reject	Key Issue 3 Policies
FS164.113	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help	Allow Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.				
FS570.1684	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1698	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3 Policies
FS569.1720	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
S442.132	Kapiro Conservation Trust	ME-P5	Support in part	This policy needs to apply specifically to the Mineral Extraction Overlay area. Additionally this policy does not go far enough in terms of protecting indigenous biodiversity in accordance with RPS policy 4.4.1(3).	Amend to include reference to Mineral Extraction Overlay within the policy. Amend to protect indigenous biodiversity in accordance with RPS, policy 4.4.1(3).		Reject	Key Issue 2: Policies
FS346.743	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
S399.076	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	ME-P6	Not Stated	Policy ME-P6 does not take account of threatened and at risk species of biodiversity as required by Policy 11 of the NZCPS.	Amend Policy ME-P6 as follows: Avoid adverse effects of new, and the expansion of existing, mineral extraction activities, within the characteristics and qualities which make up the following within the Coastal Environment: a) Outstanding Natural Landscapes; b) Outstanding Natural Features; and		Reject	Key Issue 2: Policies

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					c) Outstanding Natural Character; andd) Threatened and at risk indigenous species			
S511.114	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	ME-P6	Support in part	This policy needs to also reflect the protections afforded to NZCPS, policy 11(a), RPS policy 4.4.1(1) and s6(c) matters.	Amend so as to avoid adverse effects on NZCPS policy 11(a) and s6(c) matters. Insert SNAs		Reject	Key Issue 2: Policies
FS164.114	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	<p>Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS570.1685	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1699	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 23

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1721	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3 Policies
S442.133	Kapiro Conservation Trust	ME-P6	Support in part	This policy needs to also reflect the protections afforded to NZCPS, policy 11(a), RPS policy 4.4.1(1) and s6(c) matters.	Amend so as to avoid adverse effects on NZCPS policy 11(a) and s6(c) matters. Insert SNAs.		Reject	Key Issue 2: Policies
FS346.744	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3: Policies
S399.077	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	ME-P7	Not Stated	Policy ME-P7 does not take account of threatened and at risk species of biodiversity as required by Policy 11 of the NZCPS.	Amend Policy ME-P7 as follows: Where ME-P6 does not apply avoid significant and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of new, and the expansion of existing mineral extraction activities on natural character, natural features, and natural landscapes and indigenous biodiversity within the Coastal Environment.		Reject	Key Issue 2: Policies
S511.115	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	ME-P7	Support in part	This policy only works if ME-P6 is amended to ensure the NZCPS, policy 11, RPS 4.4.1 and s6(c) are complied with	Insert reference to SNAs in ME-P6		Reject	Key Issue 2: Policies
FS164.115	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>		HNC provisions (inferred).		
FS570.1686	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 4 Rules
FS566.1700	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4 Rules
FS569.1722	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4 Rules
S442.134	Kapiro Conservation Trust	ME-P7	Support in part	This policy only works if ME-P6 is amended to ensure the NZCPS, policy 11, RPS 4.4.1 and s6(c) are complied with.	Insert reference to SNAs in ME-P6.		Reject	Key Issue 2: Policies
FS346.745	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key issues 4 Rules
S363.034	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Rules	Not Stated	The submitter has identified that the overlay chapters are inconsistent with respect to referencing rules for "activities not otherwise listed". The How the Plan Works chapter includes a statement that some overlays will	Amend all relevant overlay chapters as necessary to insert rules for "Activities not otherwise listed in this chapter" consistent with zone chapters.		Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>automatically default to a permitted activity. Noting that resource consent may still be required under other Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters and/or Part 3: Area-Specific chapters (including the underlying zone). This lack of consistency will cause confusion for plan users:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The overlay chapters do not include notes to this effect. 2. Each overlay chapter has a different approach activity status default rules. 3. Overlays and zone chapters use different terminology. <p>Applying an automatic permitted activity default could lead to unintentional consequences.</p>			
S516.082	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	Rules	Not Stated	<p>The submitter has identified that the overlay chapters are inconsistent with respect to referencing rules for "activities not otherwise listed". The How the Plan Works chapter includes a statement that some overlays will automatically default to a permitted activity. Noting that resource consent may still be required under other Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters and/or Part 3: Area-Specific chapters (including the underlying zone). This lack of consistency will cause confusion for plan users:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The overlay chapters do not include notes to this effect. 2. Each overlay chapter has a different approach activity status default rules. 3. Overlays and zone chapters use different terminology. <p>Applying an automatic permitted activity default could lead to unintentional consequences.</p>	Amend all relevant overlay chapters as necessary to insert rules for "Activities not otherwise listed in this chapter" consistent with zone chapters.	Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S424.002	Ventia Ltd	Rules	Support in part	Ventia considers that further clarity is required in the PDP in terms of what	Insert further clarity in terms of what overlays takes precedence when multiple apply.	Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>provisions and overlays take precedence over another, particularly when multiple apply. In terms of Attachment 1, it is clear that multiple overlays and features exist. These features are both within and across the Mineral Extraction Overlay. Where a multitude of features exist, the generally enabling provisions of the MEO become diminished, and the overall mineral potential reduced. For example, it is not clear whether the Outstanding Natural Landscape and Natural Feature provisions trump the MEO (or vice versa) should a mining / quarrying activity be extended. Further it is unclear how this would work in terms of the proposed scoria cones on the site. As the Puketona Quarry is quite unique in that it is being actively quarried, has current and proposed Mineral zoning / overlays, but also has a series of protective mechanisms across the landholdings. Perhaps the intrinsic values and worth of all of these features will continue to interact positively however, when there is a time for further quarrying development, an appropriate pathway and process should be established where the values can be considered, offsets made available (if required), and effects appropriately avoided, remedied, and mitigated.</p>				Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS94.11	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources.	Allow		Accept in part	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				S424.002 - clarity on which overlays have priority on land will be essential for adherence to the PDP.				
FS125.3	Gavin McMahon		Oppose	<p>There is deep community concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation as proposed. While we understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, we firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species and neighborhood livelihood, far outweigh any potential gains.</p> <p>The following are some (but by no means all) of the likely impacts, effects and outcomes of an expansion of the quarry area as proposed:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The quarry expansion could potentially disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion,</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. The proposed footprint of the site impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forest.</p> <p>We must prioritise the protection of our water and forest resources for the well-being of both our environment and our community.</p> <p>Loss of Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and a number of plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Moreover, the environmental repercussions of this expansion cannot be ignored. The disturbance to the natural landscape and habitats could threaten the local flora and fauna, potentially leading to the extinction of some species.</p> <p>As a majority of the significant number of inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p> <p>In light of these concerns, we urge you</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>not to approve the proposal for quarry expansion. Instead, we encourage the exploration of alternative economic development strategies that prioritize sustainability, the well-being of residents, and the preservation of our environment. By investing in industries that align with these values, we can ensure a brighter and more promising future for our community.</p>				
<p>FS140.3</p>	<p>Murray Blom</p>		<p>Oppose</p>	<p>There is deep community concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation as proposed. While we understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, we firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species and neighborhood livelihood, far outweigh any potential gains.</p> <p>The following are some (but by no means all) of the likely impacts, effects and outcomes of an expansion of the quarry area as proposed:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p>	<p>Disallow</p>		<p>Reject</p>	<p>Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay</p>

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The quarry expansion could potentially disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. The proposed footprint of the site impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forest.</p> <p>We must prioritise the protection of our water and forest resources for the well-being of both our environment and our community.</p> <p>Loss of Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and a number of plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>There is a significant community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Moreover, the environmental repercussions of this expansion cannot be ignored. The disturbance to the natural landscape and habitats could threaten the local flora and fauna, potentially leading to the extinction of some species.</p> <p>As a majority of the significant number of inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p> <p>In light of these concerns, we urge you not to approve the proposal for quarry expansion. Instead, we encourage the exploration of alternative economic development strategies that prioritize sustainability, the well-being of residents, and the preservation of our environment. By investing in industries that align with these values, we can ensure a brighter and more promising future for our community.</p>				
FS150.1	Jennifer Troup & Rowan White		Oppose	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
FS132.3	Katrina Sumpton		Oppose	<p>There is deep community concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation as proposed. While we understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, we firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species and neighborhood livelihood, far outweigh any potential gains.</p> <p>The following are some (but by no means all) of the likely impacts, effects and outcomes of an expansion of the quarry area as proposed:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>generations.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The quarry expansion could potentially disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. The proposed footprint of the site impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forest.</p> <p>We must prioritise the protection of our water and forest resources for the well-being of both our environment and our community.</p> <p>Loss of Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and a number of plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Moreover, the environmental repercussions of this expansion cannot be ignored. The disturbance to the natural landscape and habitats could threaten the local flora and fauna, potentially leading to the extinction of some species.</p> <p>As a majority of the significant number of inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway,</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p> <p>In light of these concerns, we urge you not to approve the proposal for quarry expansion. Instead, we encourage the exploration of alternative economic development strategies that prioritize sustainability, the well-being of residents, and the preservation of our environment. By investing in industries that align with these values, we can ensure a brighter and more promising future for our community.</p>				
FS103.2	Proposed Puketona Quarry Expansion		Oppose	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
FS158.3	Nicola & Bart Graham		Oppose	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed based on significant negative effect to the affected region in terms of archeological, environmental and socio-economic impacts (as described in the attached document).	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS213.3	Amy Weston		Oppose	<p>There is deep community concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation as proposed. While we understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, we firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species and neighborhood livelihood, far outweigh any potential gains.</p> <p>The following are some (but by no means all) of the likely impacts, effects and outcomes of an expansion of the quarry area as proposed:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The quarry expansion could potentially disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. The proposed footprint of the site impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forest.</p> <p>We must prioritise the protection of our water and forest resources for the well-being of both our environment and our community.</p> <p>Loss of Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and a number of plant species which have been raised in this</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Moreover, the environmental repercussions of this expansion cannot be ignored. The disturbance to the natural landscape and habitats could threaten the local flora and fauna, potentially leading to the extinction of some species.</p> <p>As a majority of the significant number of inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p> <p>In light of these concerns, we urge you not to approve the proposal for quarry expansion. Instead, we encourage the exploration of alternative economic development strategies that prioritize sustainability, the well-being of residents, and the preservation of our environment. By investing in industries that align with these values, we can ensure a brighter and more promising future for our community.</p>				
FS330.002	Gavin and Pru McMahon		Oppose	While I understand the economic benefits that such a expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance , watershed function, vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.	Disallow	disallow original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS362.002	Lucy Anna Doull		Oppose	I am writing to express my deep concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation in our community in reference to submissions S424.001, S424.002 & S424.003 of RT	Disallow	disallow original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				NA 97B/387. While I understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.				
FS540.002	Gareth Raymond Anthony Doull		Oppose	I am writing to express my deep concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation in our community in reference to submissions S424.001, S424.002 & S424.003 of RT NA 97B/387. While I understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS329.002	Jeffrey Edward Archer		Oppose	The expansion of Puketona quarry will have significant negative effects to the affected region in terms of archeological, environmental and socio-economic impacts. The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical, archaeological, and cultural value to both Māori and non-Māori cultures ('NZAA Site Record No. PO5/756'). These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations. Due to the relatively unstudied nature of the site, there is a	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>significant possibility that it contains undiscovered Taonga or recognised Māori culture remains.</p> <p>The quarry's excavation will interfere with the current swamp and stream systems on the site and runoff will lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This will harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. This will also have an impact on the ecological values of the site where the area provides crucial habitat for a variety of plant and animal species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko. The site is also adjacent to the Puketona Forest which is a SNA.</p> <p>There is a significant community living directly adjacent and in close proximity to the proposed expansion site where in many cases, windows of the buildings are no more than a few metres from the boundary of potential quarry and auxiliary activities. The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. These aspects pose a risk to the community's health and safety.</p> <p>The negative impact on the environment outweigh any potential economic benefits and Council are encouraged to explore alternative economic development strategies that prioritise sustainability and the wellbeing of residents.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.129	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2 Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS118.2	Guillaume de ROUVROY		Oppose	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
FS145.2	Bay Bush Action		Support in part	<p>Based on Bay Bush Action's submission on point s424.001, we agree that further clarity is required in relation which overlay takes precedence in an area with multiple overlays. However, we assert that the mineral extraction overlay should never take precedence over outstanding natural features and landscapes per part 2 District Wide Matters, Natural Environmental Value, NFL-P7: "Prohibit land use that would result in any loss of and/or destruction of the characteristics and qualities of ONL and ONF." NFL-R8 states that mineral extraction is prohibited in such areas. As such the extension to the mineral overlay across the forest and wetland area should be disallowed.</p>	Disallow in part		Accept in part	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S148.044	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited	Rules	Not Stated	<p>The Plan should provide explicit provision for quarrying within a Plantation Forest for use under the same ownership or management as set out in regulations 50 to 59 of the NES-PF.</p>	Amend the provisions to provide explicit reference to forestry quarrying as permitted under the Plan subject to the provisions of the NES-PF and provide a rule to this effect.		Reject	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS346.550	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3 Policies :

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.				
FS566.156	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3 Policies
S344.042	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	Rules	Not Stated	<p>The submitter has identified that the overlay chapters are inconsistent with respect to referencing rules for "activities not otherwise listed". The How the Plan Works chapter includes a statement that some overlays will automatically default to a permitted activity. Noting that resource consent may still be required under other Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters and/or Part 3: Area-Specific chapters (including the underlying zone).</p> <p>This lack of consistency will cause confusion for plan users:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The overlay chapters do not include notes to this effect. 2. Each overlay chapter has a different approach activity status default rules. 3. Overlays and zone chapters use different terminology. <p>Applying an automatic permitted activity default could lead to unintentional consequences.</p>	Amend all relevant overlay chapters as necessary to insert rules for "Activities not otherwise listed in this chapter" consistent with zone chapters.		Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS396.063	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support	The submission seeks various changes in relation to the urban environment / coastal environment interface as well as specific provisions in the Mixed Use Zone.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Additionally, the submission seeks better reflection of business land needs that should be reflected throughout the Plan.				
S424.004	Ventia Ltd	Notes	Support	The note is supported to avoid any necessary confusion, particularly when considered against the definition of Earthworks.	Retain the rule.		Accept	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS94.1	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources. S424.004 - this will help maintain clarity in defining applications of extraction in the proposed district plan.	Allow		Reject	Key Issue 6: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS346.131	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1. Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S148.043	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited	Notes	Not Stated	The Plan should provide explicit provision for quarrying within a Plantation Forest for use under the same ownership or management as set	Amend to correctly reference the relevant quarrying sections of the NES-PF.		Reject	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				out in regulations 50 to 59 of the NES-PF. While note 2 to the rules refers to the NES-PF, it does not explicitly state that forestry quarrying in accordance with the regulations is permitted and it incorrectly references regulations 93 and 94 of the NES-PF.				(not addressed elsewhere)
FS108.20	Manulife Forest Management		Support	As described by the original submitter.	Allow		Reject	Key Issue 5: General Support and Other Matters (not addressed elsewhere)
FS346.549	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3 Policies
FS566.155	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 3 Policies
S511.116	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Notes	Support in part	Need to make it abundantly clear that the IB rules will apply	Amend to include reference IB Chapter		Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS164.116	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>		HNC provisions (inferred).		
FS570.1687	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS566.1701	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS569.1723	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S442.135	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Notes	Support in part	Need to make it abundantly clear that the IB rules will apply.	Amend to include reference IB Chapter.		Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS346.746	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S65.007	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	Notes	Support in part	The note is supported to avoid any necessary confusion, particularly when considered against the definition of Earthworks.	retain the rule but place this within a Minerals zone		Accpet	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS346.813	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S65.008	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	ME-R1	Oppose	Exploration and prospecting includes various methods and to limit this to hand tool investigations only promotes unnecessary limitations to mining operations. Where the site is existing and already has a long standing history of quarrying it is not clear what the rules are trying to achieve. If appropriately zoned for mining (as are the Landholdings), then the rules should be deleted or not apply.	delete ME-R1 or exemptions for land already zoned for minerals to be provided within a new Minerals Zone (removal of MEO and underlying zone). Removal of PER-1 which requires any prospecting / exploration to be undertaken using handtools.		Accpet	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS346.814	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 3: Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.				
S424.005	Ventia Ltd	ME-R2	Oppose	Exploration and prospecting includes various methods and to limit this to hand tool investigations only promotes unnecessary limitations to mining operations. Where the site is existing and already has a long standing history of quarrying it is not clear what the rules are trying to achieve. If appropriately zoned for mining (as are the Landholdings), then the rules should be deleted or not apply.	Delete the rule in its entirety or exemptions for land already zoned MEO. If not deleted in its entirety delete PER-1 which requires any prospecting / exploration to be undertaken using handtools.		Accept	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS94.2	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources. S424.005 is correct in advocating the removal of the rule, limiting prospecting activities to the use of hand tools, it is an out of date method for prospecting.	Allow		Accept in part	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS346.132	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.			
S424.006	Ventia Ltd	ME-R2	Oppose	The rule contains arbitrary numbers i.e 10% increase in volumes (CON-3), to necessitate a consent. The rules highlight a lack of understanding of mining, particularly Imery's operation. Business varies according to market demand with annual production sales varying year to year. It should be noted that a quarry operation can also be expanded without increasing volumes. With respect to CON-4, which requires a 30m setback from the existing MEO boundary, this is considered to make the entire zoning exercise redundant. If the landholding has been appropriately zoned for mining, the full extent should be able to be quarried / mined. To add further, in many instances, the matters to which Council seek are appropriately managed by the Northland Regional Council through their consenting regime, particularly with respect to existing quarrying arrangements. The PDP in this respect seeks to duplicate requirements.	Delete the rule in its entirety.	Reject	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS94.3	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources. S424.006 - this point and the rational behind it are sound. CON 3 has been adequately analyzed by Ventia in terms of market demand and quarry	Allow	Reject	Key Issue 4: Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>expansion.</p> <p>CON-4 This is a short sited condition that allows for not only no room for future infrastructure demands, but actually a reduction in terms of current demand. It would currently cause a lot of sites within the Far North to be in breach of this condition, as the existing proposed overlays are barely at the limit of current extraction for a lot of sites in the Far North.</p> <p>CON-2 also provides room for conjecture as the hours across any site often fluctuate depending on demand and the season.</p> <p>Finally, Ventia have also made reference to the fact that the Northland Regional Council (NRC) already govern what is being proposed in this MER2 rule.</p> <p>Hence we are in support of Ventia's relief sought to remove the rule entirely, as the NRC have provisions in place already.</p>				
FS346.133	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.				
S511.117	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	ME-R2	Oppose	The Council should retain an ability to refuse consent for the expansion of mineral extraction activities. At the time of assessment of the overlay the knowledge of the site may not have been comprehensive enough to identify all important values	Amend activity status to restricted discretionary		Reject	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS164.117	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	<p>Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS570.1688	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 4: Rules
FS566.1702	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue 4 Rules
FS569.1724	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Key Issue4: Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S442.136	Kapiro Conservation Trust	ME-R2	Oppose	The Council should retain an ability to refuse consent for the expansion of mineral extraction activities. At the time of assessment of the overlay the knowledge of the site may not have been comprehensive enough to identify all important values.	Amend activity status to restricted discretionary.		Reject	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS346.747	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4: Rules
S65.009	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	ME-R2	Oppose	The rule contains arbitrary numbers i.e 10% increase in volumes (CON-3), to necessitate a consent. The rules highlight a lack of understanding of mining, particularly Imerys's operation. Business varies according to market demand with annual production sales varying year to year. In 2022 Imerys will forecast 10,000 dry tonne sales, whereas in 2020 this was 40% less. You can also expand an operation without impacting volumes. The 10% figure is considered arbitrary with no defined rationale. With respect to CON-4, which requires a 30m setback from the existing MEO boundary, this is considered to make the entire zoning exercise redundant. If the landholding has been appropriately zoned for mining, the full extent should be able to be quarried / mined. To add further, in many instances, the matters to which Council seek are appropriately managed by the Northland Regional Council through their consenting regime, particularly with respect to existing quarrying arrangements. The	delete ME-R2		Reject	Key Issue 3: Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				PDP in this respect seeks to duplicate requirements.				
FS346.815	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 6: General Support and Other Matters
S424.007	Ventia Ltd	ME-R3	Oppose	Where appropriately zoned as containing a mineral resource, the resource consent process (if any) should be balanced against the requirements of the Northland Regional Council, and specify what the local authorities requirements are in terms of management. A controlled activity status can achieve the matters to which Council typically have concerns with. In many instances the PDP rules seek to manage matters, in addition to what the Northland Regional Council has appropriate scope and experience to manage. This approach is preferred for larger quarrying operations such as Puketona.	Delete the rule or amendment of rule to a Controlled Activity status within the Mineral Extraction Overlay.		Reject	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS94.4	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources.	Allow		Accept in part	Key Issue 4 Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>S424.07 - Ventia has appropriately advocated for the deletion of this rule as it is basically a repetition of requirements that are currently managed by the Northland Regional Council authority, as Ventia has also stated with other points of S424. .</p> <p>Doubling up of many of these requirements are going to add to the overhead costs of many extraction operations, which will create further cost to the consumer, one of which is the Far North District Council (at least in the aggregates industry).</p> <p>Hence we support Ventia's relief sought.</p>				
FS346.134	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Awaiting recommendation	Key Issue 1:
S65.010	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	ME-R3	Oppose	<p>Where appropriately zoned as containing a mineral resource, the resource consent process (if any) should be balanced against the requirements of the Northland Regional Council, and specify what the local authorities requirements are in terms of</p>	delete ME -R3 or amendment of rule to a Controlled Activity status within a new Minerals Zone (removal of MEO and underlying zone).		Reject	Key Issue 3: Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				management. A controlled activity status can achieve the matters to which Council typically have concerns with. In many instances the PDP rules seek to manage matters, in addition to what the Northland Regional Council has appropriate scope and experience to manage. This approach is preferred for larger quarrying operations such as that which Imery's undertakes.				
FS346.816	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4 Rules
S424.008	Ventia Ltd	ME-R4	Oppose	Should a quarrying activity wish to include a noise sensitive activity on their site i.e workers accommodations then this should be provided for.	Delete the rule.		Reject	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS94.5	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources. S424.008 - the ME-R4 provision is a rule that fails to consider the nature of an industrial extraction site and fails to respect the extraction overlay as the	Allow		Reject	Key Issue 4 Rules

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				primary use of the site. Hence we support the deletion of the rule.				
FS346.135	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S65.011	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	ME-R4	Oppose	Should a quarrying activity wish to include a noise sensitive activity on their site i.e workers accommodations then this should be provided for.	delete ME -R4		Reject	Key Issue 3: Rules
FS346.817	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Key Issue 4. Rules
S424.009	Ventia Ltd	ME-R5	Oppose	The rule would also consider any activity associated with rehabilitation of the landholdings as a non-complying activity or any associated passive /	Delete the rule.		Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				active recreation that the site may offer following rehabilitation.				Relationship with Other Chapters
FS94.6	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	<p>Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources.</p> <p>S424.009 - Ventia have highlighted the lack of provision for an extractive site's ultimate completion and rehabilitation prospects. Hence we support their submission.</p>	Allow		Accept in part	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS346.136	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.193	Top Energy Limited	ME-R5	Support	<p>Top Energy considers that there is a lack of clarity throughout the PDP in terms of how the Chapters interact with each other, and some consistency. The Overlay chapters are one example and are inconsistent with respect to referencing rules for "activities not otherwise listed". The How the Plan Works chapter includes a statement that indicates some overlays will automatically default to a permitted activity, however resource consent may still be required under other Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters and/or Part 3: Area-Specific chapters (including the underlying zone). Some Chapters include notes which provide some clarity in this regard (e.g. Heritage Overlay) however this isn't consistently applied through the overlays or the District Wide Chapters generally.</p> <p>Some overlays include a catch all 'activities not otherwise specified' activity status (e.g. Treaty Settlement Land Overlay). Some overlays don't.</p> <p>This lack of consistency (coupled with inconsistent terminology) will cause confusion for Plan users and ultimately, impact the integrity of the plan. This is particularly relevant in the Overlay chapters where each Overlay chapter has a different approach to activity status default rules.</p> <p>With specific regard to the permitted activity default, it is noted that this could lead unintentional consequences.</p>	Amend all relevant overlay chapters as necessary to insert rules for "Activities not otherwise listed in this chapter", consistent with zone chapters.		Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS78.039	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter support this submission because it will improve the clarity of the proposed plan.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Relationship with Other Chapters
FS345.244	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accpet	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S65.012	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	ME-R5	Oppose	Linking the MEO to the underlying zone causes unnecessary confusion and frustration. The rule would also consider any activity associated with rehabilitation of the site as a non-complying activity or any associated passive / active recreation that the site may offer following rehabilitation	delete ME -R5		Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
FS346.818	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S399.078	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	ME-S1	Not Stated	Item 5 is insufficient to ensure use of rehabilitation resources such as topsoil, logs, boulders and wilding plants are maximised and rehabilitation outcomes are maximised, particularly with respect to indigenous biodiversity and the potential for prolonged adverse effects in the absence of effective rehabilitation. We recommend that the rehabilitation plan be consistent with Centre for Mining and Environmental Research Guidelines, specifically those available	Amend point 5. of Standard ME-S1 as follows: 5. Integrated traffic assessment. A detailed rehabilitation plan for the site which is consistent with Centre for Mining and Environmental Research guidelines for rehabilitation of mine sites.		Reject	Key Issue 4: Standards (Mineral Extraction Area Management Plan)

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				in Section 5.7 of the guidance document available at: https://www.cmer.nz/publications/2018/MELG_Meso_Gold_NAFf.pdf				
S424.010	Ventia Ltd	ME-S1	Support	The Mineral extraction area management plan is supported as this is quite similar to the requirements of a Development Plan in the Operative District Plan. The Management Plan for new activities should be linked to a Controlled Activity status without any further restriction from the underlying zone.	Retain the rule within the Mineral Extraction Overlay linking this to ME-R3 as a Controlled Activity.		Accept	Key Issue 4: Standards (Mineral Extraction Area Management Plan)
FS94.7	Bellingham Quarries Ltd		Support	Ventia has submitted numerous points applying to the preservation and utilization of mineral extraction overlays. These are rational improvements to the proposed district plan, which will help all of the Far North to benefit from these essential resources. S424.010 - This is an appropriate ruling, especially if linked as a controlled activity.	Allow		Accept	Key Issue 5: Standards (Mineral Extraction Area Management Plan)
FS346.137	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 5: Standards (Mineral Extraction Area Management Plan)

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S65.013	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	ME-S1	Support in part	The MEAP is supported as this is quite similar to the requirements of a Development Plan as is currently required for an activity associated with quarrying / mining in the existing Minerals Zone. The Management Plan for new activities should be linked to a Controlled Activity status in a revised but overarching Minerals Zone.	Retain the rule within a new Minerals Zone (removal of MEO and underlying zone) linking this to ME-R3 as a Controlled Activity.		Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters and Key Issue 4: Standards (Mineral Extraction Area Management Plan)
FS346.819	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters and Key Issue 4: Standards (Mineral Extraction Area Management Plan)
S365.001	Michael Jurlina	Mineral Extraction	Oppose	The Mineral Extraction overlay applies to a small section of 4873B State Highway 10, Kaingaroa. It is believed that the inclusion of this segment is due to historical quarrying activity on two adjacent properties to the north, being Sec 1 SO Plan 30459 and Sec 1 SO Plan 39879 (NA77D/161) and Lot 1 DP 70397 (NA27A/882). This quarry has not been in operation for an extended period of time, and submitter is not aware of any plans to reinstate the quarry. Therefore there is no logical reason to include 4873B State Highway 10 within the overlay. Retention of the overlay will only result in unnecessary restrictions on property.	Amend the maps so that the Mineral Extraction overlay does not encroach into 4873B State Highway 10 (SH10), Kaingaroa (Pt Sec 41 and Pt Sec 44 Blk VIII Rangaunu SD NA91D/255), deleting the overlay from the property.		Accept	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
S424.001	Ventia Ltd	Mineral Extraction	Support in part	Whilst the PDP mapping for the MEO is supported as currently drafted, Ventia	Amend the extent of the Mineral Extraction Overlay over the entire area of NA97B/387.		Accept in part	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>understand the significant resources available within the Puketona Quarry. NA97B/387 contains further aggregate resource that could keep the quarry operational for decades to come. In this respect they would seek that the entire site be considered as part of the MEO. Provision of the resources to the community are important in many aspects of the economy. The extension of the MEO would give Ventia further certainty to invest in the quarry and it ensures there are appropriate aggregate resources in the Northland region for current and future generations.</p>				Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS124.1	David Brett King		Oppose	<p>An Environment Court Consent Order was issued on 15th January 2007 as part of our further submission process for the Operative District Plan. Point 3 of that Order recorded that any plan change/variation to extend the minerals zone shall not include the land indicated on the attached SO Plan 348598 as "Area B". This tract of land is intended to operate as a buffer area for the neighbouring properties Lots 1 and 2 DP 195714.</p> <p>I bring this up to make sure the Council is aware of the Consent Order.</p> <p>Whether this Consent Order is considered, or not, I submit that the access leg of Lot 1 DP 164802 (RT NA97B/387) from the State Highway should not be used for quarry traffic if development of that lot for quarry purposes is consented. If the Consent Order is considered then I believe it should not be possible for quarry traffic to cross the buffer zone.</p>	Disallow in part		Accept in part	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>In any event, the reasons to consider the non-use for quarry purposes of the access leg, which has rights of way secured for lots bordering it, are dust nuisance, noise and the close proximity of dwellings. It is not appropriate that the access be used by commercial traffic, especially heavy vehicles.</p> <p>Note that I could not work out how to attach the Consent Order to this further submission. A copy can be requested via my email address.</p>				
FS125.2	Gavin McMahan		Oppose	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				
<p>FS140.2</p>	<p>Murray Blom</p>		<p>Oppose</p>	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p>	<p>Disallow</p>		<p>Reject</p>	<p>Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay</p>

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians,</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.				
FS150.3	Jennifer Troup & Rowan White		Oppose	<p>There is deep community concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation as proposed. While we understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, we firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species and neighborhood livelihood, far outweigh any potential gains.</p> <p>The following are some (but by no means all) of the likely impacts, effects and outcomes of an expansion of the quarry area as proposed:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The quarry expansion could potentially disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. The proposed footprint of the site impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forest.</p> <p>We must prioritise the protection of our water and forest resources for the well-being of both our environment and our community.</p> <p>Loss of Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and a number of plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Moreover, the environmental repercussions of this expansion cannot be ignored. The disturbance to the natural landscape and habitats could threaten the local flora and fauna, potentially leading to the extinction of some species.</p> <p>As a majority of the significant number of inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>In light of these concerns, we urge you not to approve the proposal for quarry expansion. Instead, we encourage the exploration of alternative economic development strategies that prioritize sustainability, the well-being of residents, and the preservation of our environment. By investing in industries that align with these values, we can ensure a brighter and more promising future for our community.</p>				
<p>FS132.2</p>	<p>Katrina Sumpton</p>		<p>Oppose</p>	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p>	<p>Disallow</p>		<p>Reject</p>	<p>Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay</p>

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.				
FS103.3	Proposed Puketona Quarry Expansion		Oppose	<p>There is deep community concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation as proposed. While we understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, we firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species and neighborhood livelihood, far outweigh any potential gains.</p> <p>The following are some (but by no means all) of the likely impacts, effects and outcomes of an expansion of the quarry area as proposed:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The quarry expansion could potentially</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. The proposed footprint of the site impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forest.</p> <p>We must prioritise the protection of our water and forest resources for the well-being of both our environment and our community.</p> <p>Loss of Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and a number of plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant community site directly adjacent to the proposed site</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Moreover, the environmental repercussions of this expansion cannot be ignored. The disturbance to the natural landscape and habitats could threaten the local flora and fauna, potentially leading to the extinction of some species.</p> <p>As a majority of the significant number of inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>more pressing community needs.</p> <p>In light of these concerns, we urge you not to approve the proposal for quarry expansion. Instead, we encourage the exploration of alternative economic development strategies that prioritize sustainability, the well-being of residents, and the preservation of our environment. By investing in industries that align with these values, we can ensure a brighter and more promising future for our community.</p>				
FS158.1	Nicola & Bart Graham		Oppose	<p>This proposal directly affects our health, our home, the archaeological and historic value of the the area. It will harm the balance and quality of the watershed, reduces the size and quality of the significant natural environment (SNA). It puts further pressure on local vulnerable species. It puts more pressure on an already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists, and our children who travel by bus. Therefore leading to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs. It will impact on our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance. It would certainly compromise our property values and impact on livelihoods in the area (eco-tourism, AirBnB horse-riding, etc.) making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike. We encourage the exploration of alternative economic development strategies that prioritize sustainability, the well-being of residents, and the preservation of our environment. By investing in industries</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				that align with these values, we can ensure a brighter and more promising future for our community.				
FS158.2	Nicola & Bart Graham		Oppose	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed based on significant negative effect to the affected region in terms of archeological, environmental and socio-economic impacts (as described in the attached document).	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS169.2	Jason Wootton		Oppose	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.				
FS213.2	Amy Weston		Oppose	<p>There are a significant number of overlays in this area that must take precedence over the expansion requirements of the quarry. These overlays hold intrinsic value to community, iwi and ecology and if disturbed will have significant effects to all stakeholders in the short, medium and long term.</p> <p>These overlays of critical importance include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value still significant to the local community and iwi. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>The area includes significant Pa and garden sites, as well as other sites of archeological importance.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The proposed expansion impinges upon the delicate and untouched</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>waterway, marshland and mature native forests of the area (refer SNA).</p> <p>The quarry expansion will disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream.</p> <p>Loss of Habitat and Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area impinges on the SNA which provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and several plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant local community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas impacting the watershed and quality of air which is already under pressure from existing quarry activities. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p> <p>Concomitantly, many locals derive their livelihood from the area in the form of farming, nature walks, forest walks, eco-retreats, Airbnb's and pest control activities as examples, taking advantage of the pristine environment that continuously needs protection and management.</p> <p>A good proportion of the inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations. Dust is already an issue and any increase in air-borne particles would increase the risk to community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.				
FS330.001	Gavin and Pru McMahon		Oppose	While I understand the economic benefits that such a expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.	Disallow	disallow original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS362.001	Lucy Anna Doull		Oppose	I am writing to express my deep concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation in our community in reference to submissions S424.001, S424.002 & S424.003 of RT NA 97B/387. While I understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.	Disallow	disallow original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS540.001	Gareth Raymond Anthony Doull		Oppose	I am writing to express my deep concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation in our community in reference to submissions S424.001, S424.002 & S424.003 of RT NA 97B/387. While I understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, I firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function,	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				vulnerable species, and neighbourhood, far outweigh any potential gains.				
FS329.001	Jeffrey Edward Archer		Oppose	<p>The expansion of Puketona quarry will have significant negative effects to the affected region in terms of archeological, environmental and socio-economic impacts.</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical, archaeological, and cultural value to both Māori and non-Māori cultures ('NZAA Site Record No. PO5/756'). These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations. Due to the relatively unstudied nature of the site, there is a significant possibility that it contains undiscovered Taonga or recognised Māori culture remains.</p> <p>The quarry's excavation will interfere with the current swamp and stream systems on the site and runoff will lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This will harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. This will also have an impact on the ecological values of the site where the area provides crucial habitat for a variety of plant and animal species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko. The site is also adjacent to the Puketona Forest which is a SNA.</p> <p>There is a significant community living directly adjacent and in close proximity to the proposed expansion site where</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>in many cases, windows of the buildings are no more than a few metres from the boundary of potential quarry and auxiliary activities. The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. These aspects pose a risk to the community's health and safety.</p> <p>The negative impact on the environment outweigh any potential economic benefits and Council are encouraged to explore alternative economic development strategies that prioritise sustainability and the wellbeing of residents.</p>				
FS346.128	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS118.3	Guillaume de ROUVROY		Oppose	<p>There is deep community concern and opposition to the proposed expansion of the Puketona quarry operation as proposed. While we understand the economic benefits that such an expansion may bring, we firmly believe that the negative impact on our environment, quality of life, local</p>	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>ecosystem, archaeological significance, watershed function, vulnerable species and neighborhood livelihood, far outweigh any potential gains.</p> <p>The following are some (but by no means all) of the likely impacts, effects and outcomes of an expansion of the quarry area as proposed:</p> <p>Archaeological Significance:</p> <p>The area under consideration for quarry expansion holds significant historical and archaeological value. Our community takes pride in its rich cultural heritage, and the potential destruction of any artifacts, historical sites, or indigenous remains would be a tremendous loss. These resources are not only a connection to our past but also an educational asset for future generations.</p> <p>Watershed Function:</p> <p>The quarry expansion could potentially disrupt the delicate balance of our local watershed. The quarry's excavation and runoff could lead to erosion, sedimentation, and contamination of our water bodies. This could harm aquatic ecosystems, disrupt natural water flow patterns, and increase the risk of flooding downstream. The proposed footprint of the site impinges upon the delicate and untouched waterway, marshland and mature native forest.</p> <p>We must prioritise the protection of our water and forest resources for the well-</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>being of both our environment and our community.</p> <p>Loss of Vulnerable Species:</p> <p>Our region's unique ecosystem supports a variety of plant and animal species, some of which are endangered or vulnerable. The proposed expansion area provides crucial habitat for these species - especially the northern brown kiwi, native gecko and a number of plant species which have been raised in this area with the effort and stewardship of the local community and numerous NGOs such as Bay Bush Action and the Forest & Bird Society. The disturbance caused by quarry activities could push these species further toward extinction, negatively impacting the overall biodiversity of our environment.</p> <p>Environmental and Social Impact:</p> <p>There is a significant community directly adjacent to the proposed site who have settled in the area with the understanding and assurance that expansion of the quarry activities would not occur in the proposed direction.</p> <p>The expansion of the quarry would likely result in increased noise levels, heavy traffic congestion, and dust pollution in the surrounding areas. Our community's serene environment and peaceful ambiance would be irreversibly compromised, causing a decline in property values and making the area less attractive for residents and potential investors alike.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Moreover, the environmental repercussions of this expansion cannot be ignored. The disturbance to the natural landscape and habitats could threaten the local flora and fauna, potentially leading to the extinction of some species.</p> <p>As a majority of the significant number of inhabitants of this community derive their potable water from rainfall collection, the release of harmful particulates and pollutants into the air and water contaminate our natural resources, affecting not only our health but also the health of future generations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the increased transportation of materials to and from the quarry would strain our already congested and damaged roadway, posing a safety hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists. This could also lead to increased maintenance costs for the local authorities, burdening taxpayers and diverting funds from more pressing community needs.</p> <p>In light of these concerns, we urge you not to approve the proposal for quarry expansion. Instead, we encourage the exploration of alternative economic development strategies that prioritize sustainability, the well-being of residents, and the preservation of our environment. By investing in industries that align with these values, we can ensure a brighter and more promising future for our community.</p>				
FS145.1	Bay Bush Action		Oppose	Bay Bush Action opposes the expansion of the mineral overlay,	Disallow		Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>primarily for the impact it will have on the habitat and taonga species that live there, focusing in particular on the areas of native forest and the adjacent wetland that feeds an underwater stream.</p> <p>Historically this entire area would have been lowland forest, and the habitual 'biting away' of remnant forest is a threat that keeps our native forest cover at the extinction threshold. Between 2001 and 2022, Northland had tree cover loss of 148kha. It is third in the regions that have experienced the most forest loss, with only Waikato and Bay of Plenty losing more tree in a 20 year period. And most of that loss occurred in the Far North District - a whopping 84kha (when the average for a district is tree loss of 49.3 kha). More information here: https://www.globalforestwatch.org/dashboards/country/NZL/11?category=undefined</p> <p>But why is the forest, or bush, so important? These forests are home to an incredible array of biodiversity, which has inherent value and should be protected for its own sake.</p> <p>Also, increasingly, these native forests are being recognised for their role as carbon sinks:</p> <p>"Forests sequester carbon by capturing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and transforming it into biomass through photosynthesis. Sequestered carbon is then accumulated in the form of biomass, deadwood, litter and in forest soils. Release of carbon from</p>				Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>forest ecosystems results from natural processes (respiration and oxidation) as well as deliberate or unintended results of human activities (i.e. harvesting, fires, deforestation)." https://unece.org/forests/carbon-sinks-and-sequestration</p> <p>Given the climate challenges we face, preserving the remaining areas that actually help manage our total national carbon emissions must be seen as a priority. Condoning destruction of forest cover for one-off extraction (for a product that actually supports carbon emitting vehicles) works against what is in the best interests of our people.</p> <p>Meanwhile, the wetland has its own unique and possesses critical 'connecting' value as an ecosystem. The Ministry for the Environment's state of the environment report Environment Aotearoa 2022 says:</p> <p>"Wetlands (repo) are an integral part of environmental and cultural landscapes. Repo act like giant filters, with the ability to remove nutrients and sediment from water. As well as protecting from extreme events like floods or storms, repo, especially peatlands, store large amounts of carbon that could be released if drained or disturbed (Ausseil et al, 2015; The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, 2019).</p> <p>The ability of wetlands and estuaries to trap sediment and filter out pollutants before they reach the ocean are among the many benefits of wetland protection and restoration (NIWA, 2007).</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>Repo cover less than one percent of the land area of Aotearoa, yet they provide a habitat for two thirds of our threatened freshwater and estuarine fish species and 13 percent of threatened plant species. Wetlands are also vital for the survival of many of our native bird species, including the Australasian bittern (matuku), brown teal, New Zealand fernbird (mātātā), marsh crake (koitareke), and white heron (kotuku), who rely entirely on remnant wetlands (Clarkson et al, 2013; DOC, nd-c). They are a crucial source of mahinga kai, as the breeding grounds for tuna, īnanga, and other culturally important fish species (Clarkson et al, 2013). Repo are also a source of plants for medicinal use (rongoā), plants for use in weaving (raranga), and construction materials for houses (whare) (Taura et al, 2017).</p> <p>More than simply a supplier of food and materials, repo are an important part of the cultural landscape. They are deeply embedded in cultural life, as reservoirs of mātauranga Māori and places of deep historical, economic, and spiritual significance (Taura et al, 2021). If repo continue to be lost, cultural indicators that have been founded on generations of mātauranga Māori, such as those relating to watercress (kōwhitiwhiti), the giant spike sedge (kuta), and harakeke, will also be lost, along with the ability to interact with these places."</p> <p>Zoning in on a more direct, local understanding of the area, Bay Bush Action trustee, Brad Windust, provided an ecological report for a property</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>adjacent to the area that is proposed to fall into the mineral overlay. Brad describes this "large block of forest on the western tail of the Opuia [Conservation Area]" as "an amazing asset to have as conservation for the indigenous wildlife of the Bay of Islands. It is a remarkably diverse subtropical northern rain forest with a mix of volcanic and clay soils."</p> <p>"While there's some regenerating forest, most is ancient with two rock creeks cascading down to a very special wetland at the base of the forest, which is worthy of protection... Even in the severe drought of 2020 both creeks were running. The wetland is an important habitat for kiwi in summer and likely a life-saver for them in droughts. It's also an excellent habitat for the critically endangered bittern, native fish, mioweka, fern bird and spotless crane."</p> <p>There are numerous other social, economic, cultural and archaeological factors that we could draw on to argue against the expansion of the mineral overlay. However, Bay Bush Action's primary focus is to oppose the submission from an environmental, climate and wellbeing standpoint, based on the belief that any further exploitation of this area would utterly destroy this precious habitat and perpetuate the march to wipe out remnant forest and wetland for economic gain until no indigenous biodiversity remains in our district, our region, our country.</p>				

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S7.001	Bellingham Quarries Ltd	Mineral Extraction	Support in part	Quarry operates under a NRC Land use consent (#AUT.006881.01.05). To allow for the progression of the mineral extraction of the site, so the quarries are not 'ring-fenced' without consideration to "ensure the existing mineral extraction activities can continue to operate and expand to support the social and economic well being of the district", and to ensure provisions are appropriate for the continued extraction of these mineral resources. The extensions will have no effect on neighbouring properties, as they extend back into the quarry owner's land parcel that the mineral zone is currently on.	Amend the current extent of the Mineral extraction overlay to enable the expansion of the Hobbs Road quarry (at 61 Hobbs Road, Totara North). The western and south-eastern boundaries should be amended to allow for expansion and stockpile sites (see attachments to submission for more details)		Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS346.801	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird opposes extension of the Mineral Extraction overlay. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
S7.002	Bellingham Quarries Ltd	Mineral Extraction	Support in part	Quarry operates under a FNDC land use consent #2070399 and an NRC consent #AUT 016797.01.02. The quarry is a very important rock resource for the North Hokianga, its remote location in an area that is deficient of quarries is necessary to help support the social and economic wellbeing of the district. The quarry is used for maintenance aggregate for council roads, forestry requirements and local domestic projects. This consented and compliant rock resource should be subject to a mineral extraction overlay. The overlay would need to cover the whole property as described above and in the FNDC consent to allow the quarry to progress	Insert Mineral extraction overlay over the existing Hollands Quarry site (approx. 20 hectares) at 286 Runaruna Road, Panguru (see attachment to submission for more details)		Accept in part	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>into the rock reserves.</p> <p>We note there is a proposed mineral extraction overlay on land immediately to the South-East of the property, that neighbouring land having once been a site of a working quarry, the quarry no longer in use.</p> <p>Bellingham Quarries has been operating out of Hollands quarry since 2008 without any issues, we see no effects from applying a mineral extraction overlay on this property.</p>				
FS346.802	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	<p>Forest & Bird opposes extension of the Mineral Extraction overlay. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities.</p>	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: :Expansion of t of Mineral Extraction Overlay
S7.003	Bellingham Quarries Ltd	Mineral Extraction	Oppose	<p>The quarry is operating under an NRC land Use Consent #AUT023774.01.02, Lawfully established since at least 1950 and probably earlier under the Quarries Act 1944. Factual material confirmed that there was a quarry in place from 1950 and that a mines inspector report was obtained on 1 July 1957. Bellingham Quarries Ltd purchased the quarry in 2013 understanding that the quarry was operating under existing use rights. Paranui Limeworks is a smaller scale limestone quarry with aggregate used for FNDC, forestry and domestic roads as well as producing agricultural lime for rural production units and avocado orchards. It is a limestone outcrop that is in demand due to its quality. Whilst there are neighbours to the property the effects are minor, there has been no complaints.</p>	Insert Mineral extraction overlay over the existing Paranui Limeworks quarry, 184 Taylor Road, Oruru (see attachments to submission for more details)		Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.803	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird opposes extension of the Mineral Extraction overlay. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
S7.004	Bellingham Quarries Ltd	Mineral Extraction	Support in part	Quarry operates under a FNDC minerals zone and a NRC Land Use Consent #AUT.007288.01.04. A remote Quarry 77km North of Kaitaia located in a forestry block, an important resource given its distance from Kaitaia. The Proposed Mineral extraction overlay needs extending to appreciate the resource and the expansion of the quarry. The progression of the Quarry is currently to the Southern and South-Western boundaries, these boundaries need extending. The extensions will have no effect on neighbouring properties, the progression is back into the quarry's landowner's title. The Landowner's have been consulted and support this submission	Amend current extent of the Mineral extraction overlay over the existing Tangoake Quarry, 538 Te Ahu Road, Te Kao to enable quarry expansion (see attachments to submission for more details)		Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS346.804	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird opposes extension of the Mineral Extraction overlay. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
S7.005	Bellingham Quarries Ltd	Mineral Extraction	Support in part	Quarry operates under a New Zealand Petroleum and Minerals Mining permit #41693, in a FNDC minerals zone and an NRC Land Use Consent #AUT006756.01.04. Te Hapua pt Allot 13 Psh of Muriwhenua Blk XVI Parengarenga SD.	Amend current extent of the Mineral extraction overlay over the existing Te Hapua Quarry, 5km up Te Hapua Road from Waitiki landing to enable quarry expansion (see attachments to submission for more details)		Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>An important resource given its remote location and distance from Kaitaia, 100km. Located on Crown land administered by the Department of Conservation. The aggregate from the quarry is used for Waka Kotahi's state highways, council roads, forestry roads and local domestic projects.</p> <p>The quarry has recently (2014) been granted an extension of its area by New Zealand Petroleum and Minerals for its Mining Permit #41693 to 25.38 Hectares. The proposed mineral extraction area should have the same footprint as the mining permit for Te Hapua Quarry. That footprint allowing for the quarry to expand into the appropriate areas.</p> <p>The increased area has no more effects on neighbouring properties.</p>				
FS346.805	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird opposes extension of the Mineral Extraction overlay. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
S7.006	Bellingham Quarries Ltd	Mineral Extraction	Support in part	<p>Reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) It is a very important resource for the district. 2) It is a large scale existing and compliant mineral extraction site. 3) The resource is a good quality rock, meeting the specifications of aggregates set out for Waka Kotahi's state highways, council road upgrades and ready-mix concrete plants. 4) The property has other outcrops of rock outside the proposed extraction 	Amend current extent of the Mineral extraction overlay over the existing Larmer Road quarry, 377 Larmer Road, Kaitaia to cover the whole property, and to enable quarry expansion (see attachments to submission for more details)		Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				<p>overlay that need to be recognised as future mineral extraction resources.</p> <p>5) Activities such as access roading and overburden disposal sites need to be considered when designing the overlay.</p> <p>6) The whole property has been acknowledged by the NRC and mapped accordingly to appreciate the long term needs of infrastructure providers to operate, maintain, and enhance assets.</p> <p>7) The area defined in the FNDC Land Use Consent # 2170236-RMALUC has not been included in the overlay</p> <p>8) A 'whole of property' mineral extraction overlay will not create anymore effects on neighbouring residences, the separation distance of the quarry's mineral extraction will increase from residential neighbours as the expansion continues.</p> <p>9) There have been no objections from adjoining property owners for consents granted.</p>				
FS325.061	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support	TT further submits that greenfield development is a more appropriate and more cost-effective way of meeting housing demands. Retrofitting networks to service infill development can be problematic and costly, particularly where existing development has already established infrastructure.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Key issue 4: Rules
FS346.806	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird opposes extension of the Mineral Extraction overlay. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 2: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S65.003	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	Mineral Extraction	Oppose	<p>The overlay and underlying zoning approach requires Imerys to consider multiple sets of provisions which are not considered to confusing and 'frustrate' the outcomes Council seeks to achieve. The Landholdings under consideration have multiple underlying zones (Rural Production & Maori Purpose) as well as consideration of the MEO. For an extension to a quarry, the underlying zone rules cause an unnecessary change to the activity status of the application. The rationale for this is not clear, particularly for existing and consented activities. To add further, the general requirement for a new mineral extraction activity to default to Discretionary, is considered particularly onerous, especially where the Minerals, or proposed MEO, has already been applied. The Overview for the MEO is supported as it promotes the rationale and importance of minerals. The Landholdings have been zoned Minerals (either completely or partially) and this zoning approach is considered sufficient in highlighting to other landholdings or property owners in the surrounds that such activity either will take place, or is taking place currently. Any new mineral extraction activity also has to contend with the requirements of the Northland Regional Council, and it is considered that the requirements of the MEO seek to duplicate, in many respects, the requirements and matters of consideration that the Regional Council manage. This unnecessary duplication is not warranted. The existing approach to the management of Minerals is far simpler, more effective, and more efficient than that which is proposed.</p>	<p>delete mineral extraction overlay from Matauri Bay Road</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ROT NA18D/1020 (Lot 1 DP 62019); - ROT NA31B/294 (Pt Lot 1 DP 54194); - ROT NA93D/602(Pt Lot 1 DP 50232) [In part] ; - ROT NA15D/1478 (Pt Lot 5 DP 50235) [In part] ; - ROT 501460 (Mahimahi E 5) 	Accept	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.809	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S65.004	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	Mineral Extraction	Oppose	<p>The overlay and underlying zoning approach requires Imerys to consider multiple sets of provisions which are not considered to confusing and 'frustrate' the outcomes Council seeks to achieve</p> <p>The Landholdings under consideration have multiple underlying zones (Rural Production & Maori Purpose) as well as consideration of the MEO.</p> <p>For an extension to a quarry, the underlying zone rules cause an unnecessary change to the activity status of the application. The rationale for this is not clear, particularly for existing and consented activities.</p> <p>To add further, the general requirement for a new mineral extraction activity to default to Discretionary, is considered particularly onerous, especially where the Minerals, or proposed MEO, has already been applied. The Overview for the MEO is supported as it promotes the rationale and importance of minerals. The Landholdings have been zoned Minerals (either completely or partially)</p>	delete mineral extraction overlay from Mangakaretu Road - ROT NA93B/909 (Section 5 SO 64268) [In part] - ROT NA28A/1047 (Section 36 SO 48086)		Accept	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				and this zoning approach is considered sufficient in highlighting to other landholdings or property owners in the surrounds that such activity either will take place, or is taking place currently. Any new mineral extraction activity also has to contend with the requirements of the Northland Regional Council, and it is considered that the requirements of the MEO seek to duplicate, in many respects, the requirements and matters of consideration that the Regional Council manage. This unnecessary duplication is not warranted. The existing approach to the management of Minerals is far simpler, more effective, and more efficient than that which is proposed.				
FS25.087	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Greenfield development is a more appropriate and more cost-effective way of meeting housing demands. Retrofitting networks to service infill development can be problematic and costly, particularly where existing development has already established infrastructure	Allow	Allow original submission to the extent that hazard prone areas are correctly identified and mapped and that there are appropriate consent triggers that enable more detailed assessment in appropriate circumstances.	Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS346.810	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Awaiting recommendation	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.				
S533.001	Colin Robert Jay and Daryl Judith Jay	Mineral Extraction	Support	There is no provision for further expansion of Hobbs Quarry, with the Mineral Extraction overlay limited to the existing working area (Hobbs Road, being Lots 1 and 2 DP 191921, Pt Lot 1 DP 208032 BLK XI Mangonui SD, BKLK IV Maunga-Taniwha SD residue). With the exception of the northeastern boundary, the boundaries of the overlay are not identifiable or definite enough to be accurate.	Amend the southern and western boundaries of the Mineral Extraction overlay area mapped for Hobbs Quarry (Hobbs Road, being Lots 1 and 2 DP 191921, Pt Lot 1 DP 208032 BLK XI Mangonui SD, BKLK IV Maunga-Taniwha SD residue), changes sought indicated within submission.		Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS373.005	Lucklaw Farm Ltd		Support	I support the rezoning sought, it provides for growth around Rangiputa and site sizes are not viable for rural production	Allow	I seek that the whole of the submission point be allowed	Awaiting recommendation	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
S351.005	Carrington Estate Jade LP and Carrington Farms Jade LP	Rural Production Zone	Not Stated	The submitter requests that the Carrington Estate Jade LP and Carrington Farms Jade LP land at Whatuwhiwhi, identified as Pt Lot 1 DP 82178, and zoned Rural Production have the Mineral Extraction Overlay applied (as shown in Appendix A of submission 351), as the submitters own and operate the site as a quarry.	Amend Rural Production Zone of the land owned by Carrington Estate Jade LP and Carrington Farms Jade LP land at Whatuwhiwhi, identified as Pt Lot 1 DP 82178 (as shown in Appendix A of submission 351), to have the Mineral Extraction Overlay applied		Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay
FS339.004	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Oppose	Cementing the extraction of our whenua by way of overlay is inappropriate. This is an affront to the cultural values of Haititaimarangai Marae and does not factor in natural resilience against climate change.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S65.001	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	Rural Production Zone	Oppose	The zoning / overlay approach proposed causes results in confused and frustrated provisions which cause unnecessary consent requirements. The proposed overlay approach does not give sufficient recognition and enablement of existing and lawfully	rezone Maturi bay road - ROT NA18D/1020 (Lot 1 DP 62019); - ROT NA31B/294 (Pt Lot 1 DP 54194); - ROT NA93D/602(Pt Lot 1 DP 50232) [In part] ; - ROT NA15D/1478 (Pt Lot 5 DP 50235) [In part];		Accept	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				established activities The existing approach (with proposed amendments) is more consistent with higher order Resource Management Act 1991 ('RMA') policies and plans Promoting new quarrying activities. The existing approach (with proposed amendments) is more consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA	- ROT 501460 (Mahimahi E 5) from Rural Production and Maori Purpose - Rural zones to retain existing (operative) Minerals zone			
FS346.807	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 1: Mineral Extraction Framework and Relationship with Other Chapters
S65.002	Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	Rural Production Zone	Oppose	The reasons why it is believed that the existing framework associated with the Mineral Zone under the Operative District Plan (with amendments) is more appropriate for the landholdings then what is proposed are: The zoning / overlay approach proposed causes results in confused and frustrated provisions which cause unnecessary consent requirements The proposed overlay approach does not give sufficient recognition and enablement of existing and lawfully established activities. Promoting new quarrying activities The existing approach (with proposed amendments) is more consistent with higher order Resource Management Act 1991 ('RMA') policies and plans The existing approach (with proposed amendments)	rezone Mangakaretu Road - ROT NA93B/909 (Section 5 SO 64268) [In part] - ROT NA28A/1047 (Section 36 SO 48086) from Rural Production to existing (operative) mineral zone		Accept	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay

Proposed Far North District Plan – s42A Report Table

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				is more consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA				
FS346.808	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Key Issue 6: Spatial Extent of Mineral Extraction Overlay