

FORM 33

Notice of person's wish to be party to proceedings

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To: The Registrar, Environment Court, Auckland

I, Murray Anthony BLOM, wish to be a party to the following proceedings:

ENV-2026-AKL-000155 – Bellingham Quarries Limited v Far North District Council, an appeal concerning provisions of the Proposed Far North District Plan Decisions Version, including Mineral Extraction Zone rules MEZ-R4, MEZ-R5 and MEZ-R7.

Basis for joining

- ✓ I made a submission or further submission about the subject matter of this appeal. My submission/further submission number is: FS329.003
- ✓ I have an interest in the proceeding that is greater than the public generally.

If relying on an interest greater than the public generally, use/adapt this wording:

I own/occupy property at 923A Puketona Road Lot3 Deposited Plan 534466, which is located approximately 300 meters from the Puketona Quarry / Mineral Extraction Zone. I may be directly affected by the outcome of this appeal because the rules under appeal govern expansion and new mineral extraction within Mineral Extraction Zones. My particular interests include [noise / dust / vibration / water / residential amenity / ecology / cultural or archaeological values / other]. These interests are specific to my property and circumstances and are greater than those of the public generally.

Trade competition: I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the relevant Resource Management Act provisions.

Parts of the proceedings I am interested in

I am interested in:

- MEZ-R4 – Expansion of existing mineral extraction activity;
- MEZ-R5 – New mineral extraction activity;
- MEZ-R7 – Activities not otherwise provided for;
- the proposed deletion of the 10% increased-extraction cap and 10 metre boundary setback; and
- any consequential amendments within the scope of the appeal affecting those provisions.

My position

I oppose the relief sought by Bellingham Quarries Limited to the extent that it would reduce the activity status, safeguards, setbacks, limits, assessment scope, or Council discretion applying to expansion of existing mineral extraction, new mineral extraction, or other activities within the Mineral Extraction Zone. I support retention of the Decisions Version provisions, or alternative wording within the scope of the appeal that retains appropriate safeguards and the ability to assess and manage significant adverse effects.


Reasons

- I live/own land close to Puketona Quarry and may experience site-specific effects from quarry expansion.
- More permissive activity status could reduce Council's ability to decline consent or fully assess site-specific effects.

- Removal of the 10% extraction cap and 10 m setback could increase the scale or proximity of quarrying effects.
- My concerns include noise, dust, vibration, traffic, water quality, wetlands/catchment effects, biodiversity, rural/residential amenity, cultural values and/or archaeology.
- Ventia NZ Operations Limited operates Puketona Quarry and has joined this appeal, creating a direct practical connection between the appeal and Puketona.

Mediation

I agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Signed: _____


Name: Murray Anthony BLOM

Date: 28/09/2026

Address for service

Postal / physical address	923A Puketona Road, Haruru, 0293
Email	Murray.blom@gmail.com
Telephone	022 394 6252