Appendix 2 - Officer's Recommended Decisions on Submissions (Hazardous Substances).

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|--|------------|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| S516.006 | Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland | General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous | Support | Overall, Ngā Tai Ora is supportive of the decision from FNDC to include hazardous substances provisions in the PDP Ngā Tai Or's consider that the RMA has an important role to play in managing the location of land uses which store, use, transport and dispose of hazardous substances, identifying and assessing the risks and, where necessary, requiring these risks be avoided, remedied or mitigated to achieve the purpose of the RMA | Retain the 'Hazardous substances' section | Accept | 5.2.1 |
| \$429.011 | Kapiro Residents Association | General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous | Not Stated | In areas where freshwater issues are relevant to District Council functions and the DP, the NPS Freshwater Management of 2020 needs to be given effect in all relevant parts of the DP, including the Ecosystems & Biodiversity chapter and Natural Character chapter. | Amend the Plan to ensure that when subdivision, land use or development is considered, it gives effect to: -the NPS FM's fundamental concept of Te Mana o te Wai (including the principles and the hierarchy of obligations) should be applied to all freshwater issues that may be affected by development, not just the aspects of freshwater management referred to in the NPS (this point is stated in NPS FMs1.3(2)) -Policies and rules to promote positive effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects(including cumulative effects) of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving | Accept in part | 5.2.1 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|----------|---|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | | protecting their vextent of natural avoided, their vasterior is 3.22). We note, provisions of the chapter seem to -Requirements to and low impact of and wastewater, wetlands (vegetaretain stormwates silt and pollutants waterways. -To avoid/reduce generated by washould be a requirement of involving coagula widely used in parequirement, the minimum be given that rely on dispensal via waterways. | PS FM s3.5(4)) as of wetlands and ralues: 'The loss of inland wetlands is lues are protected, and is promoted' (NPS FM in particular, that some Natural Character contradict the NPS-FM. by use water sensitive designs for stormwater including constructed ated retention ponds) to be an and runoff and prevent is being carried into a freshwater pollution in its that use disposal-to-is that use disposal-to-is that do not rely on the protection and flocculation, arts of Europe. If not a see systems should at the priority over systems ersal or disposal via | | |
| FS66.24 | Bentzen Farm Limited | | Oppose | The relief sought in the submission lacks specificity, such that the exact nature of effect of the changes sought can not be understood. That said, | Disallow | | Reject | 5.2.1 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|----------------|----------|--|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | the Proposed Plan generally gives appropriate effect to the provisions of the NPS Freshwater Management, acknowledging that the functions under this NPS primarily fall to the regional Council. | | | | |
| S516.050 | Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland | Rule framework | Support | Overall, Ngā Tai Ora is supportive of the decision from FNDC to include hazardous substances provisions in the PDP. Ngā Tai Ora consider that the RMA has an important role to play in managing the location of land uses which store, use, transport and dispose of hazardous substances, identifying and assessing the risks and, where necessary, requiring these risks be avoided, remedied or mitigated to achieve the purpose of the RMA. | disposal of haza Far North Distric should include (tinclusion of rules - The establishm offacilities manarordisposing of his substanceswithin to, sensitive envir (e.g., residential it toschools or hea andhospitals) The establishm (e.g., residential or healthcarefac adjacent to, or wil lawfullyestablish substancesfacilities manarordisposing haza inareas that may ofaccident or adpublichealth and theenvironment natural hazards in atural environment. Appropriate lim storage of certail | orage, use, transport and rdous substances in the t. At a minimum, this out is not limited to) the semanaging: lent or expansion ging, storing, using azardous n, or in close proximity ronments areas or adjacent lith care facilities lith care facilities and hospitals) thin close proximity to, ed hazardous lites. lent or expansion ging, storing, using ardous substances increase the risk verse effects on safety, and (e.g., in areas subjectto or adjacent tosensitive lents or thresholds forthe | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS354.018 | Horticulture New Zealand | | Oppose | The submitter seeks to insert rules for the management | Disallow | Disallow S516.050 | Reject | 5.2.1 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | storage, use, transport and disposal of hazardous substances in the Far North District. HortNZ supports the approach to managing hazardous substances as in the PDP. | | | |
| \$512.004 | Fire and Emergency New Zealand | HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE | Support | Fire and Emergency supports the definition of 'Hazardous Substance' being consistent with 'Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996'. | retain definition hazardous substance | Accept | 5.2.1 |
| S335.001 | BP Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy Limited | SIGNIFICANT HAZARDOUS FACILITY | Support in part | The Resource Legislation Amendment Act 2017 (RLAA) removed the explicit function of district and regional councils to control the adverse effects of the storage, use, disposal or transportation of hazardous substances under sections 30 and 31 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA). How the definition addresses petrol and diesel is of most interest to the Fuel Companies. The last paragraph in the proposed definition exempts underground storage of petrol and diesel in relation to service stations and other commercial refuelling facilities. The Fuel Companies assume this includes truck stops and therefore supports this exemption. Moreover, the Fuel Companies suggest that reference to (c) in the exemption paragraph should refer to '(d)'. The Fuel Companies also support the principle of recognising that there is the | Amend the definition of Significant Hazardous Facility means the use of land and/or buildings (or any part of) for one or more of the following activities: a. Any Major Hazard Facility desingated designated under the Health and Safety at work (Major Hazard Facilities) Regulations 2016, including the Ngawha Geothermal Plant. b. Manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis or paints). c. Petroleum exploration and petroleum production facility. d. The storage/use of more than 100,000L of petrol or diesel. e. The storage/use of more than 6 tonnes of LPG. f. Galvanising plants. g. Electroplating and metal treatment. h. Tanneries. i. Timber treatment. j. Freezing works and rendering plants. k. Wastewater treatment plants. l. Metal smelting and refining (including battery refining or recycling). m. Milk processing plants. | Accept | 5.2.1 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | potential for relevant (current) HSNO codes of practice to be superseded by other documents in the future. The volume thresholds are not risk based and more recently the industry has shifted towards larger storage volumes (enabled by large capacity, modern double-skinned fibreglass tanks) to increase efficiencies and this has triggered particular consents of consenting pathways where not justified by risk. | n. Polymer foam manufacturing The storage of petrol and diesel in (c) (d) above does not include the underground storage at service stations and commercial refuelling facilities undertaken in accordance with HSNOCOP 44 Below Ground Stationary Container Systems for Petroleum - Design and Installation and HSNOCOP 45 Below Ground Stationary Containers Systems for Petroleum - Operation (or more recent relevant WorkSafe guidance HSNO code or practice for underground fuel storage.) | | |
| S512.007 | Fire and Emergency New Zealand | SIGNIFICANT HAZARDOUS FACILITY | Support in part | Fire and Emergency support the definition of significant hazardous facility as we consider it does not apply to their emergency service facilities. Fire and Emergency suggest including further detail on activities related with storage of hazardous substances. This is because large scale storage and transport depos for hazardous substances (e.g. like an inland port or bulk chemical warehouse) can have a large impact on neighbouring sites during emergencies | Amend the definition to better provide for the storage of hazardous substances beyond sites involved with manufacture or sites specific to petrol, diesel or LPG. | Reject | 5.2.1 |
| S421.078 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | Overview | Support | Federated Farmers supports the overview for hazardous substances as it is currently proposed. The overview recognises the role the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 plays in the regulation of substances as well as the need to restrict duplication of rules between councils and other organisations | Retain the Overview or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent | Accept | 5.2.1 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | involved with hazardous substances. | | | | |
| FS570.1310 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the overview for hazardous substances as it is currently proposed. The overview recognises the role the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 plays in the regulation of substances as well as the need to restrict duplication of rules between councils and other organisations involved with hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.1 |
| FS346.312 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the overview for hazardous substances as it is currently proposed. The overview recognises the role the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 plays in the regulation of substances as well as the need to restrict duplication of rules between councils and other organisations involved with hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.1 |
| FS566.1324 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the overview for hazardous substances as it is currently proposed. The overview recognises the role the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 plays in the regulation of substances as well as the need to restrict duplication of rules between councils and other organisations involved with hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.1 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|------------------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| FS569.1346 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the overview for hazardous substances as it is currently proposed. The overview recognises the role the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 plays in the regulation of substances as well as the need to restrict duplication of rules between councils and other organisations involved with hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.1 |
| S335.002 | BP Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy Limited | HS-01 | Support | The fact that the focus is not solely on distance, the objective appropriately recognises that a range of factors are relevant to potential reverse sensitivity effects, although doesn't identify what they are or could be. | Retain Objective HS-O1 as notified | | Accept | 5.2.2 |
| S159.044 | Horticulture New Zealand | HS-01 | Support | The approach in the plan is supported with a focus on significant hazardous facilities. | Retain Objective | HS-01 | Accept | 5.2.2 |
| FS151.204 | Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust | | Support | | Allow | | Accept | 5.2.2 |
| FS151.205 | Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust | | Support | | Allow | | Accept | 5.2.2 |
| FS151.206 | Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust | | Support | | Allow | | Accept | 5.2.2 |
| FS570.206 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| FS566.220 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|---|------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| FS569.242 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| S421.079 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-O1 | Support | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | | HS-O1 or ensure that clude similar wording that me intent | Accept | 5.2.2 |
| FS570.311 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| FS346.313 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| FS566.1325 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| FS569.1347 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| S335.003 | BP Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy Limited | HS-02 | Support | The fact that the focus is not solely on distance, the objective appropriately recognises that a range of factors are relevant to potential reverse sensitivity effects, although doesn't identify what they are or could be. | Retain Objective | HS-O2 as notified | Accept | 5.2.2 |
| S331.035 | Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga | HS-O2 | Support | The submitter supports objective HS-O2 as significant hazardous facilities should not be located adjacent to sensitive activities including educational facilities and preschools so as to manage adverse effects on school | Retain objective | HS-O2 as proposed. | Accept | 5.2.2 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | students and staff and to manage reverse sensitivity effects. | | | | |
| S421.080 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-O2 | Support | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Retain Objective HS-O2 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent | | Accept | 5.2.2 |
| FS570.1312 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| FS346.314 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| FS566.1326 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| FS569.1348 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.2 |
| S399.038 | Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust | HS-P1 | Support | We support separation distances from natural water bodies and other sensitive environments as required by Policies HS-P1 - HSP3. We note our earlier submission that the definition of Sensitive Activities should include sites of significance to tangata whenua other than marae. For example urupā (burial grounds) are sensitive sites and may not always be associated with marae. | Retain Policy H | S-P1 | Accept | 5.2.3 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| S421.081 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-P1 | Support | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Retain Policy HS-P1 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent | | Accept | 5.2.3 |
| FS347.003 | Bp Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited | | Support | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Policy HS-P1. The Fuel Companies also note that that the consideration of "residual risk" under clause (c) must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 (HSNOA) or Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (HSWA)). | Allow | allow original submission | Accept | 5.2.3 |
| FS570.1313 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Policy HS-P1. The Fuel Companies also note that that the consideration of "residual risk" under clause (c) must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|--|--|---------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | (HSNOA) or Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (HSWA)). | | | | | |
| FS346.315 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Policy HS-P1. The Fuel Companies also note that that the consideration of "residual risk" under clause (c) must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 (HSNOA) or Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (HSWA)). | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS566.1327 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Policy HS-P1. The Fuel Companies also note that that the consideration of "residual risk" under clause (c) must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 (HSNOA) or Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (HSWA)). | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Vision Kerikeri 2 | Орро | Oppose | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Policy HS-P1. The Fuel Companies also note that that the consideration of "residual risk" under clause (c) must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 (HSNOA) or Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (HSWA)). | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| \$335.004 | BP Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy Limited | HS-P2 | Support | The policy requires separation distances or mitigation, to manage the effects of reverse sensitivity between SHF and sensitive activities. | Retain Policy HS | S-P2 as notified | Accept | 5.2.3 |
| \$399.039 | Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust | HS-P2 | Support | We support separation distances from natural water bodies and other sensitive environments as required by Policies HS-P1 - HSP3. We note our earlier submission that the definition of Sensitive Activities should include sites of significance to tangata whenua other than marae. For example urupā (burial grounds) are sensitive sites and may not always be associated with marae. | Retain Policy HS-P2 | | Accept | 5.2.3 |
| S421.082 | Northland Federated | HS-P2 | Support | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Retain Policy HS-P2 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent | | Accept | 5.2.3 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Do | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Farmers of New Zealand | | | | | | | |
| FS570.1314 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS346.316 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS566.1328 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS569.1350 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| \$399.040 | Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust | HS-P3 | Support | We support separation distances from natural water bodies and other sensitive environments as required by Policies HS-P1 - HSP3. We note our earlier submission that the definition of Sensitive Activities should include sites of significance to tangata whenua other than marae. For example urupā (burial grounds) are sensitive sites and may not always be associated with marae. | Retain Policy HS-P3 | | Accept | 5.2.3 |
| S421.083 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-P3 | Support | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Retain Policy HS-P3 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent | | Accept | 5.2.3 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|---|---------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| FS570.1315 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS346.317 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS566.1329 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS569.1351 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the objectives and policies proposed for hazardous substances. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| S483.119 | Top Energy Limited | Rules | Oppose | Whangārei District Council has recently notified Plan Change 91 to the Whangārei District Plan which proposes to retain objectives and policies relating to hazardous substances for the consideration at resource consent stage, but deletes any rules relating to hazardous substances. Top Energy supports the WDC approach which is consistent with the 2017 RMA amendments which removed explicit function for local authorities to control the adverse effects of the storage, use, disposal, and transportation of hazardous substances. | Delete rules for the PDP. | hazardous substances in | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS196.204 | Joe Carr | | Support | is sensible and will be consistent with WDC plans | Allow | | Accept | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|------------|--|---|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| FS345.170 | Ngawha Generation Limited | | Support | is sensible and will be consistent with WDC plans | Allow | Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483). | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S454.075 | Transpower New Zealand Ltd | Rules | Not Stated | The use, storage or disposal of hazardous substances in greater than normal household usage quantities within the National Grid Yard poses a potentially significant health and safety risk to people and communities. Transpower therefore requests a new Hazardous Substances rule that limits the use, storage or disposal of hazardous substances to normal household use volumes within the National Grid Yard as a permitted activity. | Substances chap follows:HS-Rx Ti disposal of haza near the Nation: Activity status: Normal househ- hazardous subs stored or dispos | in the Hazardous ofter of the FNPDP as the use storage or ardous substances al Grid All Zones Permitted Where:HH. old usage volumes of stances are used, sed of. Activity status ce not achieved with omplying | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |
| FS354.089 | Horticulture New Zealand | | Oppose | The submitter seeks that there is a new rule for the use storage or disposal of hazardous substances near the National Grid that would permit the activity where normal household usage volumes of hazardous substances are used, stored or disposed of. Such a rule would preclude the application of agrichemicals and fertiliser in the National Grid Yard as would be a 'use' greater than normal household usage and would be 'non-complying' under the rule that is sought. This is not supported. It is accepted that storage of hazardous substances within the National Grid Yard should be limited but not the use of such substances. | Disallow in part | Disallow S454.075 in relation to use of hazardous substances and non-complying activity status. | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|--|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| FS369.342 | Top Energy | | Support in part | The submitter seeks that there is a new rule for the use storage or disposal of hazardous substances near the National Grid that would permit the activity where normal household usage volumes of hazardous substances are used, stored or disposed of. Such a rule would preclude the application of agrichemicals and fertiliser in the National Grid Yard as would be a 'use' greater than normal household usage and would be 'non-complying' under the rule that is sought. This is not supported. It is accepted that storage of hazardous substances within the National Grid Yard should be limited but not the use of such substances. | Allow in part | Retain | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S454.076 | Transpower New Zealand Ltd | Rules | Not Stated | Hazardous facilities located within the National Grid Yard can pose a potentially significant health and safety risk to people and communities. Transpower requests that any new hazardous facility within 12m of the centre line of a National Grid Transmission line be a non-complying activity. | Substances cha follows: HS-Rx Ne | e in the Hazardous pter of the FNPDP as w significant hazardous ional Grid Yard All Zones on-complying | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |
| FS369.343 | Top Energy | | Support in part | Top Energy seeks to delete rules for hazardous substances in the PDP. However, should the rules remain Top Energy supports the provision for hazardous facilities associated with the National Grid and Electricity Suppliers. | Allow in part | Reject | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| \$335.005 | BP Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy Limited | HS-R1 | Support in part | It is unclear whether the rule is intended to capture other activities such as alterations, upgrades and changes. The section 32 report indicates that the intent of the rule is to "enable maintenance, repair and alteration of Significant Hazardous Facilities to occur as a permitted activity provided there is no increase in residual risks" | Amend Rule title HS-R1 Maintenance, and-repair and alteration of a significant hazardous facility | | Accept | 5.2.4 |
| S421.084 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-R1 | Support | Federated Farmers supports rules HS-R1 and HS-R2 as currently drafted in the proposed district plan. | Retain Rule HS-R1 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent | | Accept | 5.2.4 |
| FS347.004 | Bp Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited | | Support in part | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Rule HS-R1 except for the amendment to this rule's title as sought in the Fuel Companies' submission S335.005. The Fuel Companies also note that the consideration of "residual risk" under PER-4 must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the HSNOA or HSWA). | Allow in part | allow in part original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |
| FS570.1316 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Rule HS-R1 except for the amendment to this rule's title as sought in the Fuel Companies' submission S335.005. The Fuel | Disallow Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|---------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | Companies also note that the consideration of "residual risk" under PER-4 must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the HSNOA or HSWA). | | | | |
| FS346.318 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Rule HS-R1 except for the amendment to this rule's title as sought in the Fuel Companies' submission S335.005. The Fuel Companies also note that the consideration of "residual risk" under PER-4 must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the HSNOA or HSWA). | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS566.1330 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Rule HS-R1 except for the amendment to this rule's title as sought in the Fuel Companies' submission S335.005. The Fuel Companies also note that the consideration of "residual risk" under PER-4 must only relate to risks beyond the | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|--|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the HSNOA or HSWA). | | | | |
| FS569.1352 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | The Fuel Companies support the retention of Rule HS-R1 except for the amendment to this rule's title as sought in the Fuel Companies' submission S335.005. The Fuel Companies also note that the consideration of "residual risk" under PER-4 must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the HSNOA or HSWA). | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S512.024 | Fire and Emergency New Zealand | HS-R2 | Support in part | Fire and Emergency support the consideration of proximity between sensitive land uses and significant hazardous facilities. Where sites store substantial quantities that release a toxic or flammable gas (e.g. chlorine or ammonia gas) or where the substance could cause an explosion during a fire (e.g. ammonia nitrate), the proposed 250m setback distance may be insufficient as sensitive activities could be | approach to seth uses could be m safeguard comm between the pot flammable gas of | fy whether a two-tiered backs for sensitive land lore effective to nunities by differentiating ential catchment of or explosions compared ant hazardous facilities' | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|------------|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | impacted 500-1000m or more away | | | |
| S331.036 | Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga | HS-R2 | Support | The submitter supports rule HS-R2 Establishment of a new significant hazardous facility, in the Heavy Industrial zone, as significant hazardous facilities should be set back from sensitive activities including educational facilities and preschools, therefore the submitter supports the inclusion of a specific distance which the hazardous facility must be setback by. | Retain rule HS-R2 Establishment of a new significant hazardous facility, in the Heavy Industrial zone, as proposed. | Accept | 5.2.4 |
| S516.051 | Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland | HS-R2 | Not Stated | Ngā Tai Ora support the concept of separating Significant Hazardous Facilities from sensitive activities and sensitive environments. However, the section 32 evaluation report does not provide any justification or technical evidence to support the proposed minimum setback of 250m. | Amend the Hazardous Substances provisions, undertaking a technical assessment to confirm that the proposed 250m separation is sufficient to manage the risk to public health and safety and the environment. That Council complete a section 32AA evaluation of the rules to determine what is the most efficient and effective separation distance to give effect to the objectives and policies. That Council update the provisions based upon the findings of technical assessment and section 32AA evaluation. | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S304.005 | Ngati Rangi ki Ngawha Hapu | HS-R2 | Oppose | As atua of cultivated food, Rongomātāne plays a fundamental role in our region. The fertility of our soils both from Papatūānuku allows the region to be a produce leader. Ngāti Rangi wishes to maintain the fertility of our soils as part of the gifts from Papatūānuku. Because Rongomātāne only covers cultivated food, the main | Amend to ensure that relevant authorities will provide feedback to Ngāti Rangi on the use of agrichemicals in the rohe in particular NIEP, and consenting authorities will not grant consents for horticultural activities (including vegetable washing) where that consent allows discharges (diffuse or otherwise) of nutrients, agrichemicals, or sediment to local water bodies (including groundwater). | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | issues for this section are connected with intensive horticultural land use activities, such as market gardens, and impacts on soil structure. Issues around water quality and land use have been covered in Tangaroa-i-te-wai-māori and Papatūānuku. The Ngāti Rangi rohe, with its rich volcanic soils, is a market gardening stronghold. However, market gardens can place pressure on local water bodies through abstractions and discharges, and can degrade soil structure and reduce its quality and quantity. The impacts of herbicides, pesticides, and fertilisers are a matter of concern for Ngāti Rangi. Refer to Sections 5.1.2 and 5.1.3 of Ngati Rangi's Hapu Environmental Management Plan). | | | | |
| FS114.13 | Far North Holdings Limited | | Support in part | Similar to submission point 304.004, FNHL supports the intent of the rule and the provisions noted but opposes the discharge comments and the relief sought with respect to discharges. It is reiterated that there is an existing regulatory framework including plans and their provisions which address these matters and enable rural activities to be undertaken in effective and efficient manner with less than minor effects on the environment. | Disallow in part | | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS354.090 | Horticulture New Zealand | | Oppose | Similar to submission point 304.004, FNHL supports the | Disallow | Disallow S304.005 | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|--|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | intent of the rule and the provisions noted but opposes the discharge comments and the relief sought with respect to discharges. It is reiterated that there is an existing regulatory framework including plans and their provisions which address these matters and enable rural activities to be undertaken in effective and efficient manner with less than minor effects on the environment. | | | | |
| FS403.130 | Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora | | Support in part | Similar to submission point 304.004, FNHL supports the intent of the rule and the provisions noted but opposes the discharge comments and the relief sought with respect to discharges. It is reiterated that there is an existing regulatory framework including plans and their provisions which address these matters and enable rural activities to be undertaken in effective and efficient manner with less than minor effects on the environment. | Allow in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S515.010 | Ngati Rangi ki Ngawha | HS-R2 | Oppose | As atua of cultivated food, Rongomātāne plays a fundamental role in our region. The fertility of our soils both from Papatūānuku allows the region to be a produce leader. Ngāti Rangi wishes to maintain the fertility of our soils as part of the gifts from Papatūānuku. Because Rongomātāne only covers cultivated food, the main issues for this section are connected with intensive horticultural land use activities, | will provide feed the use of agrich particular NIEP, authorities will n horticultural activegetable washi allows discharge | ot grant consents for vities (including ng) where that consent es (diffuse or otherwise) chemicals, or sediment | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|----------|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | such as market gardens, and impacts on soil structure. Issues around water quality and land use have been covered in Tangaroa-i-te-wai-māori and Papatūānuku. The Ngāti Rangi rohe, with its rich volcanic soils, is a market gardening stronghold. However, market gardens can place pressure on local water bodies through abstractions and discharges, and can degrade soil structure and reduce its quality and quantity. The impacts of herbicides, pesticides, and fertillisers are a matter of concern for Ngāti Rangi. Refer to Sections 5.1.2 and 5.1.3 of Ngati Rangi's Hapu Environmental Management Plan). | | | | |
| FS114.18 | Far North Holdings Limited | | Oppose | FNHL have no issues with the involvement of Ngati Rangi as per a MOU but does oppose unquantifiable discharge and land use restrictions which imply a level of predetermination. FNHL does support best practice, relevant National Environmental Standards, and the regional and district plan provisions including any consenting requirements under these documents. | Disallow in part | | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS354.091 | Horticulture New Zealand | | Oppose | FNHL have no issues with the involvement of Ngati Rangi as per a MOU but does oppose unquantifiable discharge and land use restrictions which imply a level of predetermination. FNHL does support best | Disallow | Disallow S515.010 | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------------|---|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | practice, relevant National Environmental Standards, and the regional and district plan provisions including any consenting requirements under these documents. | | | | |
| FS403.136 | Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora | | Support in part | FNHL have no issues with the involvement of Ngati Rangi as per a MOU but does oppose unquantifiable discharge and land use restrictions which imply a level of predetermination. FNHL does support best practice, relevant National Environmental Standards, and the regional and district plan provisions including any consenting requirements under these documents. | Allow in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| \$342.020 | Waipapa Pine Limited and Adrian Broughton Trust | HS-R2 | Support in part | The new Heavy Industry Zone has been applied to an area which was previously zoned Rural Production. This new zone should have precedence and the limits of the Rule should only apply to sensitive activities not within the Heavy Industrial Zone. | amend HS-R2 ,PER-2 to include the following exemption - The sensitive activity must be located in a zone other than the Heavy Industrial Zone. | | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS347.005 | Bp Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited | | Support | The Fuel Companies support the insertion to PER-2 sought by this submission. The Fuel Companies also note that the consideration of "residual risk" under DIS-3 must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry | Allow | allow the original submission | Accept | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------------|--|---------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the HSNOA or HSWA). | | | | |
| FS374.034 | Waipapa Pine Limited | | Support | The Fuel Companies support the insertion to PER-2 sought by this submission. The Fuel Companies also note that the consideration of "residual risk" under DIS-3 must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the HSNOA or HSWA). | Allow | allow the original submission | Accept | 5.2.4 |
| FS403.133 | Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora | | Support in part | The Fuel Companies support the insertion to PER-2 sought by this submission. The Fuel Companies also note that the consideration of "residual risk" under DIS-3 must only relate to risks beyond the boundaries of a site associated with the storage and use of hazardous substances that remains following compliance with regional planning instruments, industry controls and other regulations or statutes (such as the HSNOA or HSWA). | Allow in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S421.085 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-R2 | Support | Federated Farmers supports rules HS-R1 and HS-R2 as currently drafted in the proposed district plan. | | R2 or ensure that clude similar wording that me intent | Accept | 5.2.4 |
| FS570.1317 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports rules HS-R1 and HS-R2 as | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------------|---|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | currently drafted in the proposed district plan. | | inconsistent with our original submission | | |
| FS403.134 | Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora | | Support in part | Federated Farmers supports rules HS-R1 and HS-R2 as currently drafted in the proposed district plan. | Allow in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS346.319 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports rules HS-R1 and HS-R2 as currently drafted in the proposed district plan. | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS566.1331 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports rules HS-R1 and HS-R2 as currently drafted in the proposed district plan. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS569.1353 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports rules HS-R1 and HS-R2 as currently drafted in the proposed district plan. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| \$331.037 | Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga | HS-R2 | Support | The submitter supports rule HS-R2 Establishment of a new significant hazardous facility, in the Light Industrial zone, Rural Production zone, Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Park zone, as significant hazardous facilities should be set back from sensitive activities including educational facilities and preschools. | new significant h Light Industrial z | R2 Establishment of a lazardous facility, in the one, Rural Production novation and Enterprise oposed. | Accept | 5.2.4 |
| FS403.131 | Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora | | Support in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Allow in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| FS403.138 | Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora | | Support | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from | Allow | Te Whatu Ora support the retention of this rule as notified. | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|--|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | | | | |
| S331.038 | Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga | HS-R2 | Support | The submitter supports rule HS-R2 Establishment of a new significant hazardous facility, and agrees that significant hazardous facilities should not be established in zones which are likely to contain sensitive activities including educational facilities and preschools. | | R2 Establishment of a azardous facility as | Accept | 5.2.4 |
| FS403.132 | Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora | | Support in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Allow in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S399.041 | Te Hiku lwi Development Trust | HS-R3 | Not Stated | The rules relating to establishment of a new significant hazardous facility all other zones should include a setback to ensure they are not located immediately adjacent to a sensitive environment. | Amend Rule HS-R3 as follows: HS-R3: Significant hazardous facility within the coastal environment or within 100m of the coastal environment | | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S399.042 | Te Hiku lwi Development Trust | HS-R4 | Not Stated | The rules relating to establishment of a new significant hazardous facility all other zones should include a setback to ensure they are not located immediately adjacent to a sensitive environment. | within an outstar | nt hazardous facility nding natural feature or | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S399.043 | Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust | HS-R5 | Not Stated | The rules relating to establishment of a new significant hazardous facility all other zones should include a setback to ensure they are not located immediately adjacent to a sensitive environment. With respect to HS-R5 we note our earlier submission that the | within a schedule | nt hazardous facility ed site and area of lāori or within 100m of a nd/or area of | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | definition of a scheduled site does not recognise that many sites of significance to Māori are not mapped or otherwise identified. There are many reasons why the location of sites may not be shared. | | | |
| \$399.044 | Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust | HS-R6 | Not Stated | The rules relating to establishment of a new significant hazardous facility all other zones should include a setback to ensure they are not located immediately adjacent to a sensitive environment. | Amend Rule HS-R6 as follows: HS-R6:Significant hazardous facility within a significant natural area or within 100m of a significant natural area | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S421.086 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-R6 | Support in part | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than noncomplying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or | Amend the activity classification for Rule HS-R6 to discretionary, with the default status for activities not meeting the rule to be non-complying | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|----------|--|---------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be non-complying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | | | | |
| FS570.1318 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than noncomplying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be noncomplying which provides the | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | | | | | |
| FS346.320 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than noncomplying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be noncomplying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|----------|--|---------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| FS566.1332 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than noncomplying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be noncomplying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |
| FS569.1354 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than non-complying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be non-complying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | | | |
| S399.045 | Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust | HS-R7 | Not Stated | The rules relating to establishment of a new significant hazardous facility all other zones should include a setback to ensure they are not located immediately adjacent to a sensitive environment. | Amend Rule HS-R7 as follows: HS-R7:Significant hazardous facility within a flood hazard area or within 100m of a flood hazard area | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S516.053 | Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland | HS-R7 | Support | Ngā Tai Ora support the non- complying activity status for proposed rules HS-R7 and R8. | Retain Rule HS-R7 | Accept | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------------|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | Locations subject to natural hazards, which can increase the likelihood of a release of a hazardous substance into the environment should a natural hazard event occur. | | | |
| S421.087 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-R7 | Support in part | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than non-complying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be non-complying which provides the Council with another opportunity | Amend the activity classification for Rule HS-R7 to discretionary, with the default status for activities not meeting the rule to be non-complying | Reject | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | ecision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|------------|---|-----------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | to place appropriate controls on the activity | | | | |
| FS196.26 | Joe Carr | | Support | submitter suggestion is practical and allows Council appropriate opportunity for managing effects. | Allow | | Accept | 5.2.1 |
| FS570.1319 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | submitter suggestion is practical and allows Council appropriate opportunity for managing effects. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS346.321 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | submitter suggestion is practical and allows Council appropriate opportunity for managing effects. | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS566.1333 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | submitter suggestion is practical and allows Council appropriate opportunity for managing effects. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| FS569.1355 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | submitter suggestion is practical and allows Council appropriate opportunity for managing effects. | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Reject | 5.2.3 |
| S399.046 | Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust | HS-R8 | Not Stated | The rules relating to establishment of a new significant hazardous facility all other zones should include a setback to ensure they are not located immediately adjacent to a sensitive environment. | | nt hazardous facility hazard area or within | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S516.054 | Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland | HS-R8 | Support | Ngā Tai Ora support the non- complying activity status for proposed rules HS-R7 and R8. Locations subject to natural hazards, which can increase the likelihood of a release of a hazardous substance into the environment should a natural hazard event occur. | Retain Rule HS- | R8 | Accept | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Deci | ision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------------|---|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| S421.088 | Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand | HS-R8 | Support in part | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than noncomplying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be noncomplying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | HS-R8 (inferred) to the default status f | v classification for Rule to discretionary, with for activities not to be non-complying | Reject | 5.4.3 |
| FS570.1320 | Vision Kerikeri 3 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to | | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of De | cision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|---|---------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than non-complying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be non-complying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | | | | |
| FS403.137 | Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora | | Support in part | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk | Allow in part | Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from "Significant Hazardous Facilities". | Reject | 5.2.3 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision | Position | Reasons | Summary of Decision Requested | | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than non-complying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be non-complying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | | | | |
| FS346.322 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or | Disallow | Disallow the original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision Position | Position | paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than noncomplying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be noncomplying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | Summary of Decision Requested | | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|--------------------|----------|---|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| FS566.1334 | Kapiro Conservation Trust 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than non-complying. This would allow the | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | Provision Position | Position | Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or coastal hazard areas. By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be noncomplying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | Summary of Decision Requested | | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report |
|---------------------|--|--------------------|----------|--|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| FS569.1356 | Vision Kerikeri 2 | | Oppose | Federated Farmers supports the intent of the additional measures of protection provided by these rules which propose to make certain activities related to significant hazardous facilities non-complying. The definition for 'significant hazardous facilities' captures activities that potentially occur on farms such as milk processing plants and the manufacturing, including the associated storage, of hazardous substances (including agrichemicals, fertilisers, acids/alkalis, or paints). For rules HS-R6, HS-R7and HS-R8 it is felt that the appropriate activity classification would be discretionary rather than noncomplying. This would allow the Council to set the appropriate matters of discretion for controlling significant hazardous facilities within a significant natural area, and flood or | Disallow | Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission | Accept in part | 5.2.4 |

| Submission Point | Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) | | | Summary of Decision Requested | Officer recommendation | Relevant section of s42A report | |
|---------------------|--|--------|------------|---|---|---------------------------------|-------|
| | | | | By changing the activity status for these rules, the default status for activities not complying with the rules could be non-complying which provides the Council with another opportunity to place appropriate controls on the activity | | | |
| S399.047 | Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust | HS-R9 | Not Stated | The rules relating to establishment of a new significant hazardous facility all other zones should include a setback to ensure they are not located immediately adjacent to a sensitive environment. | Amend Rule HS-R9 as follows: HS-R9: Significant hazardous facility within a scheduled heritage resource or within 100m of a scheduled heritage resource | Reject | 5.2.4 |
| S331.039 | Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga | HS-R11 | Support | The submitter supports rule HS-R11 New sensitive activities, as sensitive activities including educational facilities and preschools should not be located adjacent to significant hazardous facilities. The submitter supports the inclusion of a specific distance which the educational facility must be setback from an existing significant hazardous facility so as to manage adverse effects to school students and staff and to manage reverse sensitivity effects. | Retain rule HS-R11 New sensitive activities, as proposed. | Accept | 5.2.4 |
| S516.052 | Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland | HS-R11 | Support | Ngā Tai Ora support the buffering and separation of Significant Hazardous Facilities from sensitive activities and environments. | Retain Rule HS-R11 | Accept | 5.2.4 |