



Proposed Far North District Plan further submission form

Form 6: Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission(s) on the notified Proposed Far North District Plan

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

ाoः, Far North District Council

This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to submission(s) on the Proposed Far North District Plan.

1. Further submitter details (mandatory information)				
Full name of individual/organisation making further submission:	P. Malcolu			
Contact person (if different from above):				
Email address:	_			
Postal address:	P.O. Box 596 Kerikani 0245 Vorthland.	Postcode		
Preferred method of contact:	Email Post			
Phone contact:	Daytime: Mobile: 0274 47721			

2. Eligibility to make a further submission (for information on this section go to RMA Schedule 1, clause 8)	,
l am:	
A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or	
A person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or	
the local authority	
My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are: I have extended family who live in Substandard mappropriate newton accommodation of who would appreciate being able to access affordable, long term public housing.	te
For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups	
Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely to include iwi and hapu where their interests are directly affected.	
3. Request to be heard at hearing	
Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission; or	
No, I do not wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission	
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing Yes No	
Signature of further submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of further submitter)	
P. J. Malcala Date: J. S. C. C.	
(A signature is not required if you are making your further submission by electronic means)	

Further Submission re. Proposed FNDC District Plan

Submitter - P.Malcolm, PO Box 596, Kerikeri 0245

I support submission S561 suggesting that 6 storey (22m) housing should be permitted in the Kerikeri Town Centre (King St etc.) and that 3 storey (11m) housing be permitted in other residential zones close to the centre of towns such as Kerikeri and Kaikohe.

Reasons – There is a dire shortage of affordable housing, especially community / public housing in the area administered by the FNDC. One of the ways to provide such accomodation is to allow higher population densities near town centres. Some of the benefits of a higher population density include a more vibrant community, more diversity in the range of available business / services and, improved economic viability of essential public services such as reticulated water, sewerage and transport.

I believe central Kerikeri is an ideal location for high density, community / public housing. It has reticulated water, spare sewerage capacity and low exposure to potential natural hazards (floods, earthquakes, tsunamis etc.). Central Kerikeri is within easy walking distance of all essential facilities / services (supermarkets, schools / NorthTec, intertown/city transport, medical facilities, churches, library etc.) so there is little need to possess a motor vehicle. Government services / agencies such as MSD have a presence and Police have by far the largest and most modern premises in the North. Recreational activities are also catered for with Kerikeri Domain in the centre of Kerikeri township with other facilities such as sports fields and golf courses close by.

I would respectfully suggest that future growth of our towns needs to be upwards, rather than sprawling onto productive, irrigated land with versatile soils surrounding towns such as Kerikeri.

I seek the following:

That 6 storey (22m) housing developments should be permitted in the centre of towns such as Kerikeri and 3 storey (11m) housing be permitted in other residential zones close to town centres.

FS584.001 -FS584.011