



FS442

Proposed Far North District Plan further submission form

Form 6: Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission(s) on the notified Proposed Far North District Plan

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Far North District Council

This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to submission(s) on the Proposed Far North District Plan.

1. Further submitter details (mandatory information)	
Full name of individual/organisation making further submission:	Jacqueline Louise Sanders
Contact person (if different from above):	
Email address:	jackie@jacman.co.nz
Postal address:	154 Waipapa West Road, RD2, Kerikeri Postcode 0295
Preferred method of contact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email <input type="checkbox"/> Post
Phone contact:	Daytime: 021 373739 Mobile: 021 373739

2. Eligibility to make a further submission (for information on this section go to RMA Schedule 1, clause 8)

I am:

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

A person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

the local authority

My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are:

I am a landowner in the area directly affected by the plan.
LOT 27 DP 25167 // 154 Waipapa West Road, RD2, Kerikeri 0295

For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups

Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely to include iwi and hapu where their interests are directly affected.

3. Request to be heard at hearing

Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission; or

No, I do not wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing

Yes

No

Signature of further submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of further submitter)

Date:

(A signature is not required if you are making your further submission by electronic means)

Important information:

1. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days after it is served on Far North District Council.
2. The Far North District Council must receive this further submission before the closing date and time for further submissions (**5pm Monday, 4 September 2023**)
3. Please note that further submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your further submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan review.
4. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this further submission form). If you don't have an email address, it will be posted.

Please note that your further submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the further submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Send your further submission to:

Post to: Proposed Far North District Plan
Planning and Policy, Far North District Council
Private Bag 752
KAIKOHE 0400

Email to: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Or you can also deliver this further submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library (check the Council website for opening hours).

Please refer to pdp.fndc.govt.nz for further information and updates.

Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.

The specific submission(s) on the Proposed Far North District Plan that this further submission relates to:

Name of original submitter	Address of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed) Give precise details
<i>Example John Smith</i>	<i>Example Kerikeri Road, Kerikeri 0230</i>	<i>Example 600</i>	<i>Example 600.001</i>	<i>Example Support</i>	<i>Example I support because I believe ...</i>	<i>Example I seek that the whole of the submission point be allowed</i>
VISION KERIKERI (VISION FOR KERIKERI & ENVIRONS, VKK)	2299 State Highway 10, Waipapa 0295	S522	S522.025	SUPPORT	<p>I support the submission by Vision Kerikeri in full. In particular the part of the submission related to residential lifestyle properties within the Horticulture Zone being zoned as Rural Lifestyle.</p> <p>Existing residential clusters in Horticulture zone</p> <p>We consider that clusters of existing residential lifestyle properties in the Horticulture zone could be zoned as Rural Lifestyle. In effect this would create several islands of Rural Lifestyle zone within the Horticulture zone.</p> <p>The PDP policies/rules relating to Rural Living zone should retain the potential for some of this land to be returned to agricultural production at a future date, if owners wish, so further residential development on productive land in existing residential areas of the Horticulture zone is undesirable.</p> <p>Satellite property maps can be used to identify clusters of existing residential lifestyle properties in the Horticulture zone. The following roads have existing residential lifestyle properties that do not have commercial-scale orchards or</p>	<p>Clusters of existing small residential lifestyle properties lying within the area proposed as Horticulture zone could be classed as Rural Lifestyle zone in cases where they meet criteria such as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing small residential lifestyle property less than 2.5 ha, and • Without commercial agricultural/horticultural production, and • Part of an existing cluster of at least 8 or so residential lifestyle properties clustered around a road or access lane. <p>The Rural Lifestyle zone provisions should apply to these existing clusters.</p> <p align="center">FS442.001</p>

					<p>visible agricultural production, clustered around a road or access lane - these could be zoned as Rural Living islands within the Horticulture zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blue Gum Lane • Conifer Lane • Equestrian Drive, east side & northern area • Ironbark Road, west & northern area • McCaughan Road, southern area • Ness Road, several clusters • River Drive, northern area • Riverstream Drive • Tanekaha Lane • Waipapa West Road, several clusters 	

The specific submission(s) on the Proposed Far North District Plan that this further submission relates to:

Name of original submitter	Address of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed) <i>Give precise details</i>

Use additional sheets if necessary

