

Online Further Submission

Further Submitters Name Peter and Donna Brown

FS275

Further Submitter Number FS275

Wish to be heard Yes

FS qualifier a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (e.g. land owner, resource user)

FS qualifier reason I am a property owner and ratepayer within the FNDC territorial region

Joint presentation Yes

Attention: Mr. Peter Brown

Contact organisation

Address for service PO Box 108
Mangonui, -- 0442

Telephone [09 406 0104](tel:094060104)

Mobile [027 671 9238](tel:0276719238)

Email allboys5@slingshot.co.nz

Online further submitter? Yes

Date raw FS lodged 04/09/2023 4:39pm

FS275.001-.052

Further submission points

Raw FS number	Original submitter	Related Submission Point	Plan section	Provision	OS Decision Requested	SupportOppose	FS Decision requested	Reasons
FS275.1	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	S502.006	Definitions	MAINTENANCE	Amend the definition of 'Maintenance' to clarify whether it is the intent that in all instances where the word 'Maintenance' is used in this plan whether it is restricted to heritage items only.	Support	Allow	There needs to be clarification whether the term 'maintenance' as in the Definition section applies to every mention of maintenance in the PDP.
FS275.2	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	S502.009	Definitions	REPAIR	Amend the definition of Repair to: clarify whether it is the intent that in all instances where the words maintenance and repair are used in this plan whether they are restricted to heritage items only insert a space between the words 'have' and 'been'	Support	Allow	There needs to be clarification whether the term 'repair' as in the Definition section applies to every mention of repair in the PDP.

FS275.3	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	S502.109	Definitions	REPAIR	Amend the definition of 'Repair' to clarify whether it is the intent that in all instances where the word 'Repair' is used in this plan whether it is restricted to heritage items only.	Support	Allow	There needs to be clarification whether the terms 'maintenance' and 'repair' as in the Definition section apply to every mention of maintenance and / or repair in the PDP.
FS275.4	Te Hiku Community Board	S257.012	Heritage area overlays	Overview	Delete from the Overview the text relating to Mangonui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support in part	Allow in part	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic, other than the Rangikapiti Historic Reserve (excluding the Mill Bay foreshore) which could be so designated.
FS275.5	Te Hiku Community Board	S257.011	Planning maps	Heritage Area	Delete Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B from the Planning Maps.	Support	Allow	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic - respecting the the Rangitoto Peninsula, only the sites listed in the Schedule of Historic Sites (Schedule 2 Appendix 3) and their immediate appurtenant land should be so designated. .
FS275.6	Sean Frieling	S357.012	Heritage area overlays	Overview	Delete from the Overview the text relating to Mangonui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic, other than the Rangikapiti Historic Reserve (excluding the Mill Bay foreshore) which could be so designated.

FS275.7	Sean Frieling	S357.011	Planning maps	Heritage Area	Delete Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B from the Planning Maps.	Support in part	Allow in part	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic - respecting the Rangitoto Peninsula, only the sites listed in the Schedule of Historic Sites (Schedule 2 Appendix 3) and their immediate appurtenant land should be so designated.
FS275.8	Leah Frieling	S358.012	Heritage area overlays	Overview	Delete from the Overview the text relating to Mangonui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support in part	Allow in part	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic, other than the Rangikapiti Historic Reserve (excluding the Mill Bay foreshore) which could be so designated.
FS275.9	Leah Frieling	S358.011	Planning maps	Heritage Area	Delete Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B from the Planning Maps.	Support in part	Allow in part	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic - respecting the Rangitoto Peninsula, only the sites listed in the Schedule of Historic Sites (Schedule 2 Appendix 3) and their immediate appurtenant land should be so designated.

FS275.10	Michael Foy	S472.012	Heritage area overlays	Overview	Delete from the Overview the text relating to Mangonui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support in part	Allow in part	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic, other than the Rangikapiti Historic Reserve (excluding the Mill Bay foreshore) which could be so designated.
FS275.11	Michael Foy	S472.011	Planning maps	Heritage Area	Delete Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B from the Planning Maps.	Support in part	Allow in part	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic - respecting the Rangitoto Peninsula, only the sites listed in the Schedule of Historic Sites (Schedule 2 Appendix 3) and their immediate appurtenant land should be so designated.
FS275.12	Ian Diarmid Palmer and Zejia Hu	S249.001	Planning maps	Heritage Area	Delete the Heritage Area Overlay from the Rangitoto Peninsula except for the land directly associated with and/or proximal to listed heritage resources.	Support	Allow	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter.
FS275.13	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S421.098	Heritage area overlays	HA-O1	Amend objective HA-O1 as follows: The heritage values of Heritage Area Overlays, as derived from the sites, buildings and objects of historic significance, archaeological sites and landform, are identified and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.	Support	Allow	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - its point is well made.

FS275.14	James Conner	S13.002	Heritage area overlays	Policies for Mangonui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage area overlay	No changes requested	Oppose	Disallow	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic, other than the Rangikapiti Historic Reserve (excluding the Mill Bay foreshore) which could be so designated.
FS275.15	Hinemoa Conner	S14.001	Directions Overview	Directions Overview	No change required	Oppose	Disallow	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic, other than the Rangikapiti Historic Reserve (excluding the Mill Bay foreshore) which could be so designated.
FS275.16	Te Hiku Community Board	S257.013	Heritage area overlays	HA-P9	Delete policy HA-P9, relating to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - its point is well made. HA-P9 is excessive to what is achieved in HA-P8.
FS275.17	Sean Frieling	S357.013	Heritage area overlays	HA-P9	Delete policy HA-P9, relating to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - its point is well made. HA-P9 is otiose and excessive to that achieved in HA-P8.
FS275.18	Leah Frieling	S358.013	Heritage area overlays	HA-P9	Delete policy HA-P9, relating to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - its point is well made. HA-P9 is otiose and excessive to that achieved in HA-P8.
FS275.19	Michael Foy	S472.013	Heritage area overlays	HA-P9	Delete policy HA-P9, relating to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - its point is well made. HA-P9 is otiose and excessive to that achieved in HA-P8.

FS275.20	Kay Brenda Davidson	S225.001	Heritage area overlays	Rules	delete restrictive rules outside the existing heritage areas	Support in part	Allow in part	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage areas designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic, other than the Rangikapiti Historic Reserve (excluding the Mill Bay foreshore) which could be so designated.
FS275.21	Kay Brenda Davidson	S225.002	Heritage area overlays	Rules	delete matters of discretion around meeting with tangata whenua in rules (inferred)	Support in part	Allow in part	With respect to Mangonui, the proposal for the heritage area designated as Part B outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic, other than the Rangikapiti Historic Reserve (excluding the Mill Bay foreshore) which could be so designated.
FS275.22	Te Hiku Community Board	S257.014	Heritage area overlays	HA-R2	Amend rule HA-R2 by deleting reference to Mangōnuī and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R2 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.23	Alec Jack	S277.009	Heritage area overlays	HA-R2	Amend rule HA-R2 so that there is no restriction on additions and alterations to existing buildings or structures that have no heritage value.	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R2 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.24	Sean Frieling	S357.014	Heritage area overlays	HA-R2	Amend rule HA-R2 by deleting reference to Mangōnuī and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R2 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.25	Leah Frieling	S358.014	Heritage area overlays	HA-R2	Amend rule HA-R2 by deleting reference to Mangōnuī and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R2 as proposed is inadequately drafted.

FS275.26	Michael Foy	S472.014	Heritage area overlays	HA-R2	Amend rule HA-R2 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R2 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.27	Te Hiku Community Board	S257.015	Heritage area overlays	HA-R4	Amend rule HA-R4 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R4 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.28	Alec Jack	S277.010	Heritage area overlays	HA-R4	Amend rule HA-R4 so that there is no restriction on new buildings or structures that have no impact on heritage or landscape values.	Support in part	Allow in part	The submission of the original submitter especially as in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested applies ipso facto to Mangonui and Rangitoto Peninsula - Part B. HA-R4 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.29	Sean Frieling	S357.015	Heritage area overlays	HA-R4	Amend rule HA-R4 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R4 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.30	Leah Frieling	S358.015	Heritage area overlays	HA-R4	Amend rule HA-R4 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R4 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.31	Michael Foy	S472.015	Heritage area overlays	HA-R4	Amend rule HA-R4 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R4 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.32	Te Hiku Community Board	S257.016	Heritage area overlays	HA-R5	Amend rule HA-R5 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support in part	Allow in part	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter. HA-R5 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.33	Sean Frieling	S357.016	Heritage area overlays	HA-R5	Amend rule HA-R5 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter. HA-R5 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.34	Leah Frieling	S358.016	Heritage area overlays	HA-R5	Amend rule HA-R5 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R5 as proposed is inadequately drafted.

FS275.35	Michael Foy	S472.016	Heritage area overlays	HA-R5	Amend rule HA-R5 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R5 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.36	Te Hiku Community Board	S257.017	Heritage area overlays	HA-R6	Amend rule HA-R6 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R6 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.37	Sean Frieling	S357.017	Heritage area overlays	HA-R6	Amend rule HA-R6 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R6 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.38	Leah Frieling	S358.017	Heritage area overlays	HA-R6	Amend rule HA-R6 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R6 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.39	Michael Foy	S472.017	Heritage area overlays	HA-R6	Amend rule HA-R6 by deleting reference to Mangōnui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage Area Part B	Support	Allow	As outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - HA-R6 as proposed is inadequately drafted.
FS275.40	Trent Simpkin	S33.001	Heritage area overlays	HA-S2	Amend standard to de-brand the paint colours within the standard, and allow for use of raw materials, unpainted.	Support	Allow	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - the point is well made - the territorial authority ought not to regulate in favor of any particular brand of service or product where such is available in a competitive market.
FS275.41	Tristan Simpkin	S173.001	Heritage area overlays	HA-S2	Amend standard to de-brand the paint colours within the standard, and allow for use of raw materials, unpainted.	Support	Allow	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - the point is well made - the territorial authority ought not to regulate in favor of any particular brand of service or product where such is available in a competitive market.

FS275.42	Alec Jack	S277.015	Heritage area overlays	HA-S2	Amend Standard HA-S2 so that it does not apply to Pouerua Heritage Area.	Support in part	Disallow in part	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - except to extend the submitter's amendment to include an exclusion for the Mangonui - Rangitoto Heritage Area Part B. The point is well made - the territorial authority ought not to regulate in favor of any particular brand of service or product where such is available in a competitive market.
FS275.43	Trent Simpkin	S283.007	Heritage area overlays	HA-S2	Amend standard to read ' if the exterior surface is painted , it must have an exterior finish within Groups A, B or C as defined within the BS5252 standard cthey must be finished in accordance with the colour scheme from the following paint ranges or equivalent...' (inferred)	Support	Allow	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter.
FS275.44	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S421.092	Heritage area overlays	Heritage Overlay - Mangōnuī and Rangitoto Peninsula	Amend the Overview to the Mangonui and Rangitoto Peninsula Heritage overlay so that it acknowledges and provides for existing, legally established rural activities as part of the existing environment	Support	Allow	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - its point is well made.
FS275.45	RHL and LM Ferguson Family Trust	S513.001	Heritage area overlays	Heritage Overlay - Mangōnuī and Rangitoto Peninsula	Delete the Heritage Area overlay from the Rangitoto Peninsula except for the land directly associated with and/or proximal to listed Heritage Resources. At this time the only listed Heritage Resource on the RP is 'Butler House' that is historically significant in large part due to the whaling ship provisioning enterprise that Captain William Butler conducted in the mid-19th Century. The land that this resource and that enterprise is on, or directly relates to, is Lots 1 and 2 of Section 2 Village of Mangōnuī (Marchant Road, Hihi) and no other parts of the Rangitoto Peninsula .	Support	Allow	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - its point is well made. The Mangonui and Rangitoto Peninsula Part B sector outlined in the Heritage Area Overlays Overview and as shown in the complimentary Historical & Cultural Values Overlays map is excessive and is unwarranted and contrary to reason and logic - respecting the Rangitoto Peninsula, only the sites listed in the Schedule of Historic Sites (Schedule 2 Appendix 3) and their immediate appurtenant land should be so designated.

FS275.46	Heather Golley	S254.003	Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity	IB-O2	Amend the provisions of the District Plan so they do not limit dog ownership or result in the banning of dogs and cats (via resource consent conditions, covenants or consent notices) (inferred). Make critical supporting documents, and all other undisclosed relevant information publicly available now, including Draft SNA maps, The 'Practice Note for Significant Indigenous Flora and Fauna', and the 'Bay of Islands Kiwi Distribution Map – Support Document'.	Support in part	Allow in part	As fully outlined in the Reasons and in the Decision Requested of the original submitter - its point is well made. IB-P9 is also pertinent. The omission of all particulars of SNA's is regrettable and disentitles the territorial authority to take any action pursuant to any SNA or these policies.
FS275.47	Wilson Hookway	S264.008	Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity	IB-P9	Delete Policy (inferred). Stop the blanket banning of pets in the Far North. Every week people are trying to rehome their animals as they cannot get rentals with them	Support in part	Allow in part	Policy IB-P9 is far too discretionary - the "require" to manage has no protocols or guidelines to it - hence it is likely the policy is unenforceable.
FS275.48	Danielle Hookway	S309.008	Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity	IB-P9	Amend IB-P9 so that it does not infer a blanket banning of pets in the Far North (inferred).	Support in part	Allow	Policy IB-P9 is far too discretionary - the "require" to manage has no protocols or guidelines to it - hence it is likely the policy is unenforceable.
FS275.49	Heather Golley	S254.004	Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity	IB-P7	Amend the provisions of the District Plan so they do not limit dog ownership or result in the banning of dogs and cats (via resource consent conditions, covenants or consent notices) (inferred). Make critical supporting documents, and all other undisclosed relevant information publicly available now, including Draft SNA maps, The 'Practice Note for Significant Indigenous Flora and Fauna', and the 'Bay of Islands Kiwi Distribution Map – Support Document'.	Support	Allow	Policy IB-P7 lacks clarity - there seems to be no definition of what constitutes a "pest animal" - on that basis it is likely the policy is unenforceable.
FS275.50	The BOI Watchdogs	S354.020	Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity	IB-P7	Amend this policy so that it does not apply to dogs (inferred).	Support	Allow	Policy IB-P7 lacks clarity - there seems to be no definition of what constitutes a "pest animal" - on that basis it is likely the policy is unenforceable.

FS275.51	Kapiro Conservation Trust	S448.001	SCHED4 - Schedule of significant natural areas	SCHED4 - Schedule of significant natural areas	Insert SNA's and similar sites that have already been protected through the Council's resource consent process, as well as future sites, into Schedule 4 of the PDP.	Support	Allow	The omission of all particulars of SNA's is regrettable and disentitles the territorial authority to take any action pursuant to any SNA.
FS275.52	Good Journey Limited	S82.008	Planning maps	Coastal Environment	Delete the Coastal Environment overlay from the geographic area spanned by Ngati Kahu Road on the western edge of Taipa to the Oruaiti River to the east, encompassing the settlements of Taipa, Cable Bay, Coopers Beach, and Mangonui	Support	Allow	As fully outlined in the submission already tabled - the scope and extent of the area caught by the proposal is unreasonable and will likely generate undesirable outcomes some of which are outlined in the submission already tabled.