Appendix 2 – Officer's Recommended Decisions on Submissions (Hearing 15B - New Special Purpose Zones and Precincts)

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S226.001	Tryphena Trustees Limited, David Haythornwaite	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S227.001	Isles Casey Trustee Services Limited, WWC Trustee Company Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%		
S228.001	Jayesh Govind and Others	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S229.001	Laurie Pearson	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S231.001	Ovisnegra Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%		
S232.001	Tobias Groser	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S233.001	Whale Bay Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%		
S234.001	Whale Bay Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S235.001	WW Trustee Services 2016 Limited, Eloise Caroline Caswell, Donald Gordon Chandler	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%		
S236.001	Connemara Black Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S237.001	Evan Williams and Katherine Williams	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S238.001	John Gowing and Miriam Van Lith	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%		
S239.001	John Gowing, Miriam Van Lith, Ellis Gowing, James Gowing, Byron Gowing	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S240.001	Matthew Watson, Kaylene Watson, D R Thomas Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%		
S241.001	Matthew Draper and Michaela Jannard	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S352.001	Philibert Jean-G Frick	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%		
S347.001	Matthew Draper and Michaela Jannard	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Resource consents for Mataka Station have been given effect to, and remain live, thus development of Mataka Station, including vacant lots and Lot 19 DP 323083 continue to be enabled by those consents. In other words, the resource consents enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. However, the Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the resource consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the property and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the resource consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by that. The Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but	Amend the Proposed District Plan to explicitly, and specifically provide for, and preserve the activities and land uses authorised under the resource consents approved for Mataka Station, Purerua Peninsula Peninsula (including Lot 19 DP 323083); and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka Station as a permitted activity as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment, Outstanding Natural Landscape of High Natural Character and/or Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments	resource consents approved for Mataka Station		
\$347.002	Matthew Draper and Michaela Jannard	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Resource consents for Mataka Station have been given effect to, and remain live, thus development of Mataka Station, including vacant lots and Lot 19 DP 323083 continue to be enabled by those consents. In other words, the resource consents enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. However, the Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the resource consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the property and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the resource consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by that. The Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are	Amend the Proposed District Plan to explicitly, and specifically provide for, and preserve the activities and land uses authorised under the resource consents approved for Mataka Station, Purerua Peninsula Peninsula (including Lot 19 DP 323083); and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka Station as a permitted activity as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment, Outstanding Natural Landscape of High Natural Character and/or Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka Station	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments			
\$347.003	Matthew Draper and Michaela Jannard	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Resource consents for Mataka Station have been given effect to, and remain live, thus development of Mataka Station, including vacant lots and Lot 19 DP 323083 continue to be enabled by those consents. In other words, the resource consents enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. However, the Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the resource consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the property and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the resource consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by that. The Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments	Amend the Proposed District Plan to explicitly, and specifically provide for, and preserve the activities and land uses authorised under the resource consents approved for Mataka Station, Purerua Peninsula Peninsula (including Lot 19 DP 323083); and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka Station as a permitted activity as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment, Outstanding Natural Landscape of High Natural Character and/or Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka Station	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S347.004	Matthew Draper and Michaela Jannard	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Resource consents for Mataka Station have been given effect to, and remain live, thus development of Mataka Station, including vacant lots and Lot 19 DP 323083 continue to be enabled	Amend the Proposed District Plan to explicitly, and specifically provide for, and preserve the activities and land uses authorised under the resource consents approved for Mataka Station, Purerua	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				by those consents. In other words, the resource consents enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. However, the Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the resource consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the property and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the resource consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by that. The Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments	Peninsula Peninsula (including Lot 19 DP 323083); and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka Station as a permitted activity as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment, Outstanding Natural Landscape of High Natural Character and/or Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka Station		
S422.001	Maurice Dabbah	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The Proposed Plan, if approved, will directly affect members of the [Mataka Residents'] Association by imposing undue restrictions on the construction of residential dwellings on the Site through the application of specified overlays and rules.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" (including Lots 3, 21, 23 and 35 Rangihoua Road, Kerikeri, and Lots 7, 8 and 29 Oihi Road, Kerikeri) under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan; and include appropriate objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%		
S435.001	Elka Gouzer	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S345.014	Nicole Way and Christopher Huljich as Trustees of the Trssh Birnie Settlement Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	The Resource Consents at Mataka Station enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan.	Amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents granted for Mataka Station	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				The Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the Resource Consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the Property, and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the Resource Consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by those. The Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments.			
S346.001	Paradise Found Developments Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Resource consents granted for Wiroa Station, 40 McKenzie Road, Purerua Peninsula, Kerikeri (being Lots 1-21 DP 497523) have been given effect to, and remain live, thus development of Wiroa Station, including vacant lots and the Property itself continue to be enabled by those consents. In other words, the Resource Consents enable development, and completion of the Wiroa Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. 8. However, the Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the Resource Consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the property	Amend the Proposed District Plan to explicitly, and specifically provide for, and preserve the activities and land uses authorised under the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station, 40 McKenzie Road, Purerua Peninsula, Kerikeri (being Lots 1-21 DP 497523); and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station as a permitted activity as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment and Coastal Flooding and/or	Reject	Section 3.2.4 Wiroa Station – Special Purpose Zone

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				in a manner that is inconsistent with the resource consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by those. Especially the controls within the Coastal Environment overlay, which covers the entire property. Parts of the property are also identified as being subject to the Coastal Flood overlays. Insofaras these interfere with, or purport to restrict development authorised under the resource consents, these are inappropriate. Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments	Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to provide for extensions and alterations to existing structures at Wiroa Station, in a manner consistent with the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station.		
FS143.76	Mataka Residents' Association Inc		Support	A special purpose zone and/or structure plan is appropriate to apply to subdivision and development such as the submitter's property, where previous resource consents have established development entitlements together with considerable landscape and biodiversity benefits. The Proposed District Plan will restrict development of the property in a manner inconsistent with these resource consents, including approved but unimplemented development on the property. The relief sought to provide for and preserve activities authorised under the resource consents is consistent with the outcome sought in submissions for Mataka Station and is supported. This	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.4 Wiroa Station – Special Purpose Zone

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				includes the need to recognise the special characterises of properties such as the submitter's and the further submitter's through the application of a special purpose zone and/or structure plan.				
FS566.019	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 3.2.4 Wiroa Station – Special Purpose Zone
S183.001	MLP LLC	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within the residential lots, as authorised by The Landing Scheme.	Landing Precinct" Specific Matters' including objectivenable residential permitted activity residential lot, and conservation, recifacilities where the the Landing Sche activities appropriate appropriate standards, includifollowing: i. The dwelling shresidential lot; ii. Maximum heigh ground level; iii. Building or struiv. Compliance wi	reation and common ey are in accordance with me as well as other iate for this locality including Rural Production activities. e permitted activity ing but not limited to the all be located on a at = 12m above existing acture coverage = 12.5%; th the design guidelines for thin the land covenants for	Accept in principle subject to outstanding matters identified in s42A Report	Section 3.2.3 The Landing Precinct
FS143.80	Mataka Residents' Association Inc		Support	A special purpose zone and/or structure plan is appropriate to apply to subdivision and development such as the submitter's property, where previous resource consents have established development entitlements together with considerable landscape and biodiversity benefits. The	Allow		Accept in principle subject to outstanding matters identified in s42A Report	Section 3.2.3 The Landing Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Proposed District Plan will restrict development of the property in a manner inconsistent with these resource consents, including approved but unimplemented development on the property. The relief sought to provide for and preserve activities authorised under the resource consents is consistent with the outcome sought in submissions for Mataka Station and is supported. This includes the need to recognise the special characterises of properties such as the submitter's and the further submitter's through the application of a special purpose zone and/or structure plan.			
S230.001	Mataka Residents' Association Inc	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The provisions of the Proposed Plan should be amended to enable the construction of a residential dwelling within buildable areas, as authorised by the Mataka Scheme.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan, including objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5%	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS165.9	Paradise Found Developments Limited	Suppo	Support	Support the entirety of the submission and relief sought for the principles and analysis applicable to Wiroa Station, which is a similar consented development facing the same constraints and issues in the Proposed District Plan as for Mataka Station.	Allow in part		Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
FS272.1	Nicole Way and Christopher Huljich		Support	Support all of the submission points and relief sought by this submitter as an affected landowner within Mataka Station.	Allow		Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
FS566.560	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S402.001	Mark Spaans	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Henderson Bay has now been assigned to the Rural Production Zone. I believe the uncontrolled permissible activities of the Rural Production Zone will have adverse effects on the natural character of Henderson Bay. What occurs on the land at Henderson Bay has an effect on the coastline due to the contour of the land and streams that run off onto the beach. I would like to see Henderson Bay have exclusions that restrict and limit any primary production to what doesn't have adverse effects on those living in the Bay and the natural character of the Bay. I do support the low density development of Rural Production. This therefore protects Henderson Bay from further subdivision. Further subdivision would also cause adverse effects on the conservation of the area.	its own unique zo subdivision below	g of Henderson Bay to have ne that restricts further the current 4ha and rent activities allowable	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS220.1	Lynn kincla		Support	I agree with the submission. Henderson Bay is a unique environment. The rezoning to Rural Production is a poor fit. Most of the sections are too small and unproductive to be rural production in any real sense The new zone will allow will prevent further intensification and sub division But it will also allow use of the land for intensive farming activities that is not fitting for this unique environment Rural production also takes away some of the rights the properties have under Coastal General Zone I am concerned that someone could run a pig farm yet having a dog breeding kennel would require a 300 m set back from boundaries compared to the 50m currently Henderson Bay should have a purpose made zone to cover all activities	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
FS311.1	Warren McKay		Support in part	The Rural production zone is not really the right zone for many properties in Henderson Bay Most blocks are bush blocks and they are not suitable for farming activities. The rural production zoning takes away sone of the permitted activities covered by the Coastal General zone. I am concerned that other activities will now be permitted that are not in keeping with the unique character of the land.	Allow in part	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
FS276.5	Antoinette Pot		Support in part	I agree in part. Henderson Bay has its own natural character and the current zone changes has not allowed for the status quo that was seen under the previous zoning of General Coastal Zone- especially related to further subdivision. Therefore I support either a special zoning like that of the	Allow in part	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			i i	previous General Coastal or for Rural Production to have tighter control on permissible activities in Henderson Bay that protect the natural character of the area/ coastline.			
S158.011	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	The Northland Region Corrections Facility site is located in the Rural Production Zone under the PDP. However, the application of the Rural Production zoning for the site is inconsistent with modern planning practice for management of custodial corrections sites. To ensure that the ongoing use and upgrading of the Northland Region Corrections Facility is provided for appropriately within the District Plan, Ara Poutama seeks that a customised special purpose zone applies to the site, in tandem with the designation. The National Planning Standards anticipate such a planning mechanism being implemented, with the Zone Framework Standard providing for a special purpose "Corrections Zone". While custodial corrections facilities and ancillary activities are enabled under the designation, additional non- custodial justice sector activities are able to be enabled under the Corrections Zone provided that they are appropriate for the site and their effects on the surrounding environment are managed. This includes non- custodial rehabilitation activities, community corrections activities, community corrections activities, community corrections activities and residential activities (i.e. non-custodial). Implementing the special purpose Corrections Zone over custodial corrections sites is an approach consistent with that being applied by local authorities under other recent	Insert a special purpose Corrections zone, as per Attachment 1 to the submission.	Accept in part	Section 3.2.1 Corrections – Special Purpose Zone

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Proposed District Plan processes around the country.				
FS345.001	Ngawha Generation Limited	Suppo	Support in part	NGL supports in part the inclusion of a Special Purpose Corrections zone provided that any provisions of the zone provide adequate consideration of reverse sensitivity effects in relation to existing and consented activities in the wider area, including those related to renewable energy generation on the adjacent Ngāwhā Generation Land.	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 3.2.1 Corrections – Special Purpose Zone
\$345.002	Nicole Way and Christopher Huljich as Trustees of the Trssh Birnie Settlement Trust	Policies	Oppose	The Resource Consents at Mataka Station enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. The Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the Resource Consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the Property, and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the Resource Consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by those. The Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments.	for, and preserve authorised under at Mataka Station and/or Insert a new spec structure plan tog provisions (objec enabling theresid development as i Resource Conser (where they are in the ResourceCon appropriate active Production Zone, of the CE, ONL coand/or Amend the provis Plan to preserve	cial purpose zone and/or getherwith appropriate tives, policies and rules) ential activity and s authorised by the ntsas a permitted activity n general accordance with sents) as well as ities within the Rural regardless of the provisions	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
\$345.004	Nicole Way and Christopher Huljich as Trustees of the Trssh Birnie Settlement Trust	Standards	Oppose	The Resource Consents at Mataka Station enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. The Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the Resource Consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the Property, and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the Resource Consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by those. The Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments.	Amend to explicitly, and specifically provide for, andpreserve the activities and land uses authorised under the Resource Consents atMataka Station. and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan togetherwith appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling theresidential activity and development as is authorised by the Resource Consentsas a permitted activity (where they are in general accordance with the ResourceConsents) as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone,regardless of the provisions of the CE, ONL or HNC. and/or Amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the Resource Consents on the Property.	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S32.001	Mr Lewis Thomas Grant, Mr Jake Ryan Lockwood, Mr Luke Stephen Lockwood and Mr Stephen Graham Lockwood	Natural Open Space Zone	Oppose	The Motukiekie Owners consider that the Proposed Plan in its current form will not: (a) promote the sustainable management of resources, and therefore will not achieve the purpose and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA"); (b) meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (c) enable social, economic and cultural wellbeing;	Amend the Moturoa Island Zone to include appropriate references to Motukiekie Island; or Amend to create a new Motukiekie Island Zone that is consistent with the approach taken for the Moturoa Island Zone.	Accept in part	Section 3.2.5 Motukiekie Island Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				(d) avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment; and (e) represent the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan, in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Natural Open Space Zone for Motukiekie Island as currently proposed is not appropriate for the following reasons: (a) Motukiekie Island is privately-owned land intended for private accommodation and recreational purposes; (b) the land use provisions are inappropriately restrictive in the context of privately owned land. This is especially so given that residential activity may not be allowed; (c) parts of Motukiekie Island contain vegetation that is not representative of a Natural Open Space zoning; and (d) parts of Motukiekie Island are already used for activities that do not sit comfortably with Natural Open Space zoning, such as the existing house, associated utilities, and jetty. The Council may have mistakenly thought Motukiekie Island was public land, resulting in this inappropriate Natural Open Space zoning. This misunderstanding may have been caused by the fact that Motukiekie Island used to be held by the Department of Conservation on a long-term lease. That is no longer the case. The most appropriate solution is to provide a zone consistent with that which applies to Moturoa Island (the Moturoa Island Zone) for Motukiekie Island, or expand the Moturoa Island Zone to include Motukiekie Island. This addresses the shortcomings and			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				inconsistencies of applying the Natural Open Space Zone and provides a more durable planning solution. In particular, it recognises the private ownership and use of Motukiekie Island, and enables and encourages the ongoing conservation work. The objectives and policies of the Moturoa Island Zone are appropriate for Motukiekie Island because both Moturoa Island and Motukiekie Island are privately owned, are used for private accommodation and recreation, are undergoing conservation work, and have the same Coastal Environment and Natural Environment overlays. Therefore, it is efficient and appropriate to apply a consistent zone to Moturoa Island Zone. It is acknowledged that Motukiekie Island is smaller and less developed than Moturoa Island. On this basis, it would be appropriate to amend (or supplement) Policy MIZ-P1 as it applies to Motukiekie Island to reflect that a lesser number of residential units in addition to the existing residential unit are enabled, compared to the number of units enabled on Moturoa Island. The suitability of the Natural Open Space Zone compared with that of other zones for Motukiekie Island does not appear to have been assessed or considered by the Council in proposing a zone for Motukiekie Island. The Motukiekie Owners are willing to work with the Council to determine the appropriate number, extent and location for identified building platforms, determine the appropriate areas to be identified and reserved for conservation activities, and develop a			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				'Development Plan'. An alternative option is to create a new Motukiekie Island Zone that recognises the private ownership and use of the island, and enables and encourages the Motukiekie Owners' conservation work. However, this would largely reflect the Moturoa Island Zone, so it may not be necessary to create an entirely new zone.				
FS344.001	Mr Lewis Thomas Grant, Mr Jake Ryan Lockwood, Mr Luke Stephen Lockwood and Mr Stephen Graham Lockwood		Support	Amendments to the Moturoa Island Zone to include Motukiekie Island are the most appropriate and effective method to achieve the objectives and purpose of the Act in relation to Motukiekie Island. The amendments recognise that the Island is not part of the conservation estate, is privately owned and subject to on-going conservation and ecological restorations, and that reasonable use must be provided for.	Allow	Amend Motorua Island zone provisions to include Motukiekie Island (as set out in Attachment 1 to Further Submission 344)	Accept in part	Section 3.2.5 Motukiekie Island Precinct
FS344.003	Mr Lewis Thomas Grant, Mr Jake Ryan Lockwood, Mr Luke Stephen Lockwood and Mr Stephen Graham Lockwood		Support	Consequential amendments to related provisions of the District Plan are necessary to ensure the provisions of the Motukiekie Island Zone and Motukiekie Island Development Plan achieve the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources of the Island.	Allow	Amend the District Plan to include "further other relief" and/or " alternative consequential amendments" to the District Plan (as set out in Attachment 3 to Further Submission 344).	Accept in part	Section 3.2.5 Motukiekie Island Precinct
S32.002	Mr Lewis Thomas Grant, Mr Jake Ryan Lockwood, Mr Luke Stephen Lockwood and Mr Stephen Graham Lockwood	Natural Open Space Zone	Oppose	The suitability of the Natural Open Space Zone compared with that of other zones for Motukiekie Island does not appear to have been assessed or considered by the Council in proposing a zone for Motukiekie Island. The Motukiekie Owners are willing to work with the Council to determine the appropriate number, extent and location for identified building	identify an appro	ance with S32.001 and priate number of building ukeikei Island for additional	Accept in part	Section 3.2.5 Motukiekie Island Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				platforms, determine the appropriate areas to be identified and reserved for conservation activities, and develop a 'Development Plan'.				
FS344.002	Mr Lewis Thomas Grant, Mr Jake Ryan Lockwood, Mr Luke Stephen Lockwood and Mr Stephen Graham Lockwood		Support	Incorporation of the Motukiekie Island Development Plan provides a suitable planning framework to manage the effects of the use, development and subdivision of the Island in conjunction with continued conservation and ecological restoration activities on the Island.	Allow	Insert Motukeikei Island Development Plan into the District Plan (as set out in Attachment 2 to Further Submission 344).	Accept in part	Section 3.2.5 Motukiekie Island Precinct
FS344.004	Mr Lewis Thomas Grant, Mr Jake Ryan Lockwood, Mr Luke Stephen Lockwood and Mr Stephen Graham Lockwood		Support	Consequential amendments to related provisions of the District Plan are necessary to ensure the provisions of the Motukiekie Island Zone and Motukiekie Island Development Plan achieve the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources of the Island.	Allow	Amend the District Plan to include "further other relief" and/or " alternative consequential amendments" to the District Plan (as set out in Attachment 3 to Further Submission 344).	Accept in part	Section 3.2.5 Motukiekie Island Precinct
S222.095	Wendover Two Limited	Rural Production Zone	Oppose	The Proposed Plan fails to recognise or provide for residential development in accordance with the resource consents issued for Mataka Station. A bespoke Special Purpose zone for Mataka Station Precinct is appropriate because it will appropriately recognise and provide for the circumstances of the property, which distinguishes it from other rural properties in the district as described in this submission.	Station Precinct" Matters of the Proposed Plan to Mataka Station, v and Lot 43 DP 36 Consent 2041080 (inferred) Include appropria rules to enable re buildings as a pe are in accordance granted for Matal consented house conservation, rec facilities. The Pre a) Provision for co	cial Purpose Zone "Mataka under Part 3 - Area Specific papply to the whole of which is Lot 1-32 DP 323083 is 154 created by Resource at Rangihoua Road, atte objectives, policies and sidential activity and rmitted activity where they with resource consents as Station and located on a site and to enable farming, reation and common cinct should include: other activities appropriate cluding farming and other activities;	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					b) Appropriate permitted activity standards for dwellings and other structures, consistent with the resource consents granted to date; and c) Overview, objectives and policies for the new Special Purpose zone that address the matters raised in this submission.		
S164.001	Green Inc Ltd	Rural Production Zone	Oppose	The existing plan despite 1B-05 creates a strong disincentive to restoring indigenous ecosystems as current planning will likely result in those areas becoming SNAs with associated restrictive controls. In contrast, where the land is managed to retain and improve pasture, controls on use are minimal. The vision for Tupou is to retain posture and food and wool production on the flatter better quality soils and return the steep erodible hill country to native ecosystems. These will then be managed as functioning native ecosystems that can generate carbon and biodiversity credits. They will also be used for ecotourism including high end accommodation. Pest animal and weed control is an Integral part of the plan. The native ecosystems planned include forest, wetlands, a lake and ponds, coastal ecosystems including dunes and cliff faces. Some areas would be left open to retain views and fire breaks. Currently the property has 5 putative SNAs although all are severely compromised with pests (pigs, possums, rats, stoats, rabbits) and weeds (especially pampas). The development we plan will turn up to 700+ hectares into high quality and functioning native ecosystems that could all potentially have the values associated with an SNA. My submission is that such endeavors and	amend zoning of Tupou from Rural Production to a new special zone such as managed ecological zone or a special purpose zone for Tupou. Tupou NA11D/1151 NA42C/379 NA55B/383 NA71D/247 NA102A/98 NA102A/99 NA102A/100 NA115C/434 NA136/174 NA136/235 NA140/216 NA262/283 NA315/329 NA340/269 NA357/153 NA245/209	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				developments need to be "promoted and enabled" (1B-05) in a way that allows ongoing development. Small areas of clearance, erection of buildings and formation of roads and tracks should be permitted activities as long as the basic justification of "net biodiversity gains" is included. Including people in nature is a clear way to assist nature. The owners have already demonstrated this model under more conventional rules at Tahi (www.tahinz.com). Restrictions on many actions were unreasonable compared with that applied to neighbours who had retained poor quality pasture without weed or pest control. The proposed District Plan has special zones for other major developments such as Corrington and Kauri Cliffs that make provision for specific development needs. Hastings District Council have included a special zone for nature conservation activities (poorly named as Nature Preservation Zone). A more general zone can act asan option toward 1B-05 that can encourage others to act similarly although it is unlikely that other properties will have the breadth of ecosystems found at Tupou or the desire to include ecotaurism and accommodation. Also many will not be able to fund the intensive levels of pest control planned for Tupou. A key issue is that the zoning removes the need to classify the area as an SNA with the associated restrictive controls. Clearance to a certain level is a permitted activity for buildings, roads and tracks. Enhancing accommodation offerings is a permitted activity Pest			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			Archaeological and taonga sites for local hapu are not modified. All actions fit under on umbrella of "net biodiversity gain" Other conditions, permissions and requirements will need to be developed but these are best worked through with Council Planners.				
FS112.1	Carly Mcllroy		Support	I support this submission. The Proposed Plan would result in large area of the land potentially becoming Significant Natural Areas which have too many restrictive controls that would not allow the vision for Tupou to come to fruition. If there is to be a net biodiversity gain- and a large one at that- then it should be promoted and enabled, rather than restricted. There will be an ongoing management plan for planting areas as to enhance the natural biodiversity but there needs to be flexibility for future potential land uses which a SNA would prohibit. Either a Managed Ecological Zone or a Special Purpose Zone needs to be granted for Tupou, to allow for future developments. This project will be restoring an extensive area back to native ecosystems with the goal of a large net biodiversity gain. This needs to be promoted and enabled while preserving future land uses options.	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
FS58.3	Harold Corbett		Support	Tupou is a large coastal Northland property that has been managed as a typical hill country sheep and beef farm. If the new owners wish to implement large scale biodiversity positive reforestation and pest control then they should be encouraged not penalised through constraints on potential future uses. Financing such operations will require multiple aligned	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				revenue streams including from environmental tourism, carbon sequestration, sustainable farming, human enjoyment, solar energy, and other as yet unknown uses. Tupou includes areas in the Rural Production and Coastal zones. Reforestation and pest control will lead to much of the property becoming significant natural area. As such, under the PDP as proposed the restrictions on activities act as strong disincentives. A Special Purpose Zone should be granted for Tupou. This would encourage implementation of the requisite management plan which would detail the biodiversity operations (plantings, pest control etc) and preserve flexibility for future potential land uses which a SNA would prohibit. The sheer size of Tupou and the extent of the planned biodiversity improvements means that a Special Purpose Zone is the most appropriate tool.			
FS83.1	Neil Mitchell		Support	Tupou is part of an ancient island chain across this part of northern New Zealand. This means that there are likely to be special ecological features only found in this region. Regrettably the land has been overgrazed and pest control minimal. A new and enlightened owner intends to restore the biodiversity of a large part of the property. It would seem that the proposed District Plan could actually penalise the owner for going to considerable personal expense to achieve a very high standard of conservation. The proposal to set the property up as a special planning zone appears to achieve the best of both	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
Point				worlds. The owner could pursue their high conservation goals and the council would be seen to be supporting such an initiative. By clearly separating out the different activities on the property, the owner would be making quite clear how this large property will be managed. The Tupou property has a number of important sites specifically identified within the PNA programme and also identified as SNA sites. The reality is that every one of the sites is severely compromised by introduced pests and stock. A hands off approach to these sites as may occur under the current zoning, will only lead to their ongoing decline. By proposing to set aside a large area of the property as a zone primarily for biodiversity, the current owner is signalling how these sites and all native biodiversity would be protected. This proposal represents an important approach to land management, in that the owner clearly wishes to work with the council, within the planning context.		recommendation	of S42A Report
				The other important point, is that the owner is signalling to the council that this approach is a long term view of land management. If the current designation remains, there will always be less incentive to effectively manage the biodiversity on the property. The owner is effectively saying, allow me to create these formally recognised subzones, to keep all of us in line and especially enhance the security of biodiversity in the region.			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS28.004	Dr John L Craig		Support	The proposed FNDP would result in large area of the land potentially becoming SNAs which have too many restrictive controls that would not allow the vision for Tupou to come to fruition. If there is to be a net biodiversity gain then it should be promoted and enabled, rather than restricted. There needs to be flexibility for future potential land uses which a SNA would prohibit. Either a Managed Ecological Zone or a Special Purpose Zone needs to be granted for Tupou, to allow for future developments. This project will be restoring an extensive area back to native ecosystems with the goal of a large net biodiversity gain. This needs to be promoted and enabled while preserving future land uses options.	Allow	Amend zoning Tupou from Rural Production to a new special zone such as managed ecological zone or a special purpose zone.	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
S167.109	Bentzen Farm Limited	Rural Production Zone	Oppose	The description of the Rural Lifestyle zone apply to the properties at Ömarino where subdivision consent was granted in 2006 (by way of an Environment Court Consent Order). The property was subsequently subdivided to lots no smaller than 4ha. The specific objectives of the Rural Lifestyle Zone are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA in respect of Ōmarino. There is no risk of incompatible activities within the property, or externally (noting in particular that rural production activities are distant from the property). Objective RLZ-O4 Land use and subdivision in the Rural Lifestyle Zone does not compromise the effective and efficient operation of primary production activities in the adjacent Rural Production Zones. The Rural Production Zone (as currently drafted in the Proposed Plan) fails to recognise	following properti Road (as mapped	Plan 391213	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			residential opportunities, where this does not compromise rural production activities. In the alternative, a new Special Purpose Zone: Ömarino could an equally appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA 1991, provided it appropriately recognises the particular circumstances of Ömarino, including providing for residential dwellings and associated buildings in	Lot 25 Deposited Plan 512589 If a new Special Purpose Zone: Ōmarino is created - amend Part 3 - Area Specific Matters to include appropriate objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and associated buildings as a controlled activity where they are in accordance with resource consents granted for Ōmarino and consent notices applying on the titles and located on a consented house site, and to enable conservation, recreation and common facilities.			
FS143.75	Mataka Residents' Association Inc		Support in part	A special purpose zone and/or structure plan is appropriate to apply to subdivision and development such as the submitter's property, where previous resource consents have established development entitlements together with considerable landscape and biodiversity benefits. The Proposed District Plan will restrict development of the property in a manner inconsistent with these resource consents, including approved but unimplemented development on the property. The relief sought to provide for and preserve activities authorised under the resource consents is consistent with the outcome sought in submissions for Mataka Station and is generally supported. This includes the need to recognise the special characterises of properties such as the submitter's and the further submitter's through the application of a special purpose zone and/or structure plan.	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.471	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
S405.001	Antoinette Pot	Rural Production Zone	Not Stated	Henderson Bay previously came under the General Coastal Zone which was best suited to preserving the natural character and conservation of the coastline. It is disappointing that this zone is no longer available. Henderson Bay has now been assigned to the Rural Production Zone. I believe the uncontrolled permissible activities of the Rural Production Zone will have adverse effects on the natural character of Henderson Bay. What occurs on the land at Henderson Bay has an effect on the coastline due to the contour of the land and streams that run off onto the beach. I would like to see Henderson Bay have exclusions that restrict and limit any primary production to what doesnt have adverse effects on those living in the Bay and the natural character of the Bay. I do support the low density development of Rural Production. This therefore protects Henderson Bay from further subdivision. Further subdivision would also cause adverse effects on the conservation of the area	production to hav restricts further su 4ha and maintains activities or for He Rural Production on any primary pr adverse effects of	r Henderson Bay from rural e its own unique zone that abdivision below the current at the current allowable enderson Bay to remain in and have tight restrictions oduction that will have n the natural character of those living in the Bay.	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
FS220.4	Lynn kincla		Support	The submitter is correct - Rural production zoning is not the correct zone for this area Whilst it protects the low density residential it erodes many rights the properties currently have Rural production zoning woukd also allow intensification of farming practises in the area - this would have an adverse effect on the local area	Allow		Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS283.1	Mark Spaans		Support	Agree- either amend zoning for Henderson Bay from Rural Production to have its own unique zone that restricts further subdivision below the current 4ha and maintains the current level of permissible activities or for Henderson Bay to remain in Rural Production and have tight restrictions on any primary production that will have adverse effects on the natural character of the coastline and those living in the Bay.	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
FS311.7	Warren McKay		Support	The Rural production zone is not really the right zone for many properties in Henderson Bay Most blocks are bush blocks and they are not suitable for farming activities. The rural production zoning takes away sone of the permitted activities covered by the Coastal General zone. I am concerned that other activities will now be permitted that are not in keeping with the unique character of the land.	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
FS311.8	Warren McKay		Support	The Rural production zone is not really the right zone for many properties in Henderson Bay Most blocks are bush blocks and they are not suitable for farming activities The rural production zoning takes away sone of the permitted activities covered by the Coastal General zone I am concerned that other activities will now be permitted that are not in keeping with the unique character of the land	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
S505.004	Dr Lynn Kincla	Rural Production Zone	Not Stated	The Henderson Bay area is quite unique and I believe that there should have been more thought into creating a	Amend to consider a special zone instead of Rural production for the Henderson Bay area	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)		Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report	
				special zone to protect the future of this area.			
FS283.5	Mark Spaans		Support in part	I agree in part. Henderson Bay has its own natural character and the current zone changes has not allowed for the status quo that was seen under the previous zoning of General Coastal Zone. Therefore I support either a special zoning like that of the previous General Coastal or for Rural Production to have tighter control on permissible activities in Henderson Bay that protect the natural character of the area/ coastline.	Allow in part	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
FS311.6	Warren McKay		Support	The Rural production zone is not really the right zone for many properties in Henderson Bay Most blocks are bush blocks and they are not suitable for farming activities. The rural production zoning takes away sone of the permitted activities covered by the Coastal General zone. I am concerned that other activities will now be permitted that are not in keeping with the unique character of the land.	Allow	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests
FS276.4	Antoinette Pot		Support in part	I agree in part. Henderson Bay has its own natural character and the current zone changes has not allowed for the status quo that was seen under the previous zoning of General Coastal Zone. Therefore I support either a special zoning like that of the previous General Coastal or for Rural Production to have tighter control on permissible activities in Henderson Bay that protect the natural character of the area/ coastline.	Allow in part	Reject	Section 3.2.6 Other rezoning requests

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S347.005	Matthew Draper and Michaela Jannard	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose Resource consents for Mataka Station have been given effect to, and remain live, thus development of Mataka Station, including vacant lots and Lot 19 DP 323083 continue to be enabled by those consents. In other words, the resource consents enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. However, the Proposed District Plan. However, the Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the resource consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the property and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the resource consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by that. The Council's \$32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments		explicitly, and specifically provide for, and preserve the activities and land uses authorised under the resource consents approved for Mataka Station, Purerua Peninsula Peninsula (including Lot 19 DP 323083); and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka Station as a permitted activity as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment, Outstanding Natural Landscape of High Natural Character and/or Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Mataka	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct
S423.001	Bernard Sabrier	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	The Proposed Plan, if approved, will directly affect members of the [Mataka Residents'] Association by imposing undue restrictions on the construction of residential dwellings on the Site through the application of specified overlays and rules.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" (including Lots 3, 21, 23 and 35 Rangihoua Road, Kerikeri, and Lots 7, 8 and 29 Oihi Road, Kerikeri) under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan; and include appropriate objectives, policies and rules to enable	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5% Insert an overview, objectives and policies for the new Special Purpose zone that address the matters raised in this submission and any further standards and/or design criteria that are consistent with the resource consents granted to date.		
S434.001	Francois Dotta	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	The Proposed Plan, if approved, will directly affect members of the [Mataka Residents'] Association by imposing undue restrictions on the construction of residential dwellings on the Site through the application of specified overlays and rules.	Insert a new Special Purpose Zone for "Mataka Station Precinct" (including Lots 3, 21, 23 and 35 Rangihoua Road, Kerikeri, and Lots 7, 8 and 29 Oihi Road, Kerikeri) under 'Part 3 - Area Specific Matters' of the Proposed Plan; and include appropriate objectives, policies and rules to enable residential activity and buildings as a permitted activity where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme and located on the consented House Site location as identified on Plan 5670/14 and to enable farming, conservation, recreation and common facilities where they are in accordance with the Mataka Scheme. The Precinct will also need to include other	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					activities appropriate for this locality including farming and other Rural Production activities. Insert appropriate permitted activity standards, including but not limited to the following: (i) The dwelling shall be located on the House Site location (ii) Maximum height = 12m above existing ground level (iii) Building or structure coverage = 12.5% Insert an overview, objectives and policies for the new Special Purpose zone that address the matters raised in this submission and any further standards and/or design criteria that are consistent with the resource consents granted to date.		
S345.013	Nicole Way and Christopher Huljich as Trustees of the Trssh Birnie Settlement Trust	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	The Resource Consents at Mataka Station enable development, and completion of the Mataka Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. The Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the Resource Consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the Property, and Mataka Station more generally, in a manner that is inconsistent with the Resource Consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by those. The Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property and Mataka Station more generally, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very little	Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan for Mataka Station together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development as authorised by resource consents as a permitted activity (where they are in general accordance with the resource consents) as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment, Outstanding Natural Landscape or High Natural Character overlays.	Accept in part	Section 3.2.2 Matakā Station Precinct

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments.			
\$346.004	Paradise Found Developments Limited	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Resource consents granted for Wiroa Station, 40 McKenzie Road, Purerua Peninsula, Kerikeri (being Lots 1-21 DP 497523) have been given effect to, and remain live, thus development of Wiroa Station, including vacant lots and the Property itself continue to be enabled by those consents. In other words, the Resource Consents enable development, and completion of the Wiroa Station development, notwithstanding the provisions of the Proposed District Plan. 8. However, the Proposed District Plan fails to recognise, have regard to, or provide for the development and subdivision enabled by the Resource Consents. The Proposed District Plan provisions will restrict development of the property in a manner that is inconsistent with the resource consents and the integrated and comprehensive development authorised by those. Especially the controls within the Coastal Environment overlay, which covers the entireproperty. Parts of the property are also identified as being subject to the Coastal Flood overlays. Insofaras these interfere with, or purport to restrict development authorised under the resource consents, these are inappropriate. Council's s32 analysis does not mention, or consider approved but unimplemented developments within the Property, nor elsewhere. The "low intensity" development controls and height limits proposed within the Coastal Environment are given very	Amend the Proposed District Plan to explicitly, and specifically provide for, and preserve the activities and land uses authorised under the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station, 40 McKenzie Road, Purerua Peninsula, Kerikeri (being Lots 1-21 DP 497523); and/or Insert a new special purpose zone and/or structure plan together with appropriate provisions (objectives, policies and rules) enabling the residential activity and development authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station as a permitted activity as well as appropriate activities within the Rural Production Zone, regardless of the provisions of the Coastal Environment and Coastal Flooding and/or Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to preserve the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station Otherwise amend the provisions of the Proposed District Plan to provide for extensions and alterations to existing structures at Wiroa Station, in a manner consistent with the activities and buildings authorised by the resource consents approved for Wiroa Station.	Reject	Section 3.2.4 Wiroa Station – Special Purpose Zone

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) Mataka Residents' Association Inc	Further Submitter (FS) Submitter (FS)	Reasons little analysis. The proposed provisions are inconsistent with the Act and relevant planning instruments	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report	
FS143.79			A special purpose zone and/or structure plan is appropriate to apply to subdivision and development such as the submitter's property, where previous resource consents have established development entitlements together with considerable landscape and biodiversity benefits. The Proposed District Plan will restrict development of the property in a manner inconsistent with these resource consents, including approved but unimplemented development on the property. The relief sought to provide for and preserve activities authorised under the resource consents is consistent with the outcome sought in submissions for Mataka Station and is supported. This includes the need to recognise the special characterises of properties such as the submitter's and the further submitter's through the application of a special purpose zone and/or structure plan.	Allow		Reject	Section 3.2.4 Wiroa Station – Special Purpose Zone	
FS566.022	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 3.2.4 Wiroa Station – Special Purpose Zone