



## Proposed Far North District Plan further submission form

**Form 6: Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission(s) on the notified Proposed Far North District Plan**

*Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

**To: Far North District Council**

This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to submission(s) on the Proposed Far North District Plan.

1. Further submitter details <i>(mandatory information)</i>	
<b>Full name of individual/organisation making further submission:</b>	SCUMPTIOUS FRUIT TRUST
<b>Contact person <i>(if different from above)</i>:</b>	THE TRUSTEE
<b>Email address:</b>	T.CHURTON@XTRA.CO.NZ
<b>Postal address:</b>	PO BOX 87217, MEADOWBANK 1742 <div style="text-align: right;">Postcode</div>
<b>Preferred method of contact:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Email <b>YES</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Post
<b>Phone contact:</b>	<b>Daytime:</b> <b>Mobile:</b>

**2. Eligibility to make a further submission** (for information on this section go to RMA Schedule 1, clause 8)

I am:

Yes -

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

A person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are:

The trust is a land owner in the district and has filed a submission, the public interest represented includes the long-standing environmental protection of northland coastal foreshore habitat

*For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups*

*Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely*

**3. Request to be heard at hearing**

Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission; or

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing

Yes

**Signature of further submitter:**

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of further submitter)

t.churton the trustee

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 2 septemeber2023

(A signature is not required if you are making your further submission by electronic means)

### Important information:

1. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days after it is served on Far North District Council.
2. The Far North District Council must receive this further submission before the closing date and time for further submissions (**5pm Monday, 4 September 2023**)
3. Please note that further submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your further submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan review.
4. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this further submission form). If you don't have an email address, it will be posted.

Please note that your further submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the further submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

### Send your further submission to:

**Post to:** Proposed Far North District Plan  
Planning and Policy, Far North District Council  
Private Bag 752  
KAIKOHE 0400

**Email to:** [pdp@fndc.govt.nz](mailto:pdp@fndc.govt.nz)

**Or you can also deliver this further submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library (check the Council website for opening hours).**

**Please refer to [pdp.fndc.govt.nz](http://pdp.fndc.govt.nz) for further information and updates.**

***Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.***

**The specific submission(s) on the Proposed Far North District Plan that this further submission relates to:**

Name of original submitter	Address of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed) <i>Give precise details</i>
<i>Example</i> John Smith	<i>Example</i> 60 Kerikeri Road Kerikeri 0230	<i>Example</i> 600	<i>Example</i> 600.001	<i>Example</i> Support	<i>Example</i> I support because I believe .....	<i>Example</i> I seek that the whole of the submission point be allowed
Forest and bird	Po box 631 wellington	511	The intro, and Section 4, and especially 4.14 onwards, Section 5 & 6 & 7	support	Taupo bay foreshore and surrounds ( as well as most northland beach areas) must be designated as a significant natural	Adopt SNA and HCA , include Taupo bay as HCA, apply higher policy settings requiring strong wildlife protection, require dog controls to default set at ON leash in beach areas to support
					Areas – greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats, and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognizing and ensuring	Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery  <b>FS164.001 - FS164.125</b>
					Stronger protections for wildlife, ensuring various other instruments such as by laws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. We support Taupo bay being	
					Recognized as High character area per the forest and bird suggestion	

**The specific submission(s) on the Proposed Far North District Plan that this further submission relates to:**

Name of original submitter	Address of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed) <i>Give precise details</i>

*Use additional sheets if necessary*

