



Kerikeri Service Centre

20 OCT 2022

Remember  
submissions  
close at 5pm,  
Friday 21  
October 2022

## Proposed District Plan submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Feel free to add more pages to your submission to provide a fuller response.

Form 5: Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

TO: Far North District Council

This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for the Far North District.

### 1. Submitter details:

Full Name:	Denis Thomson		
Company / Organisation Name: (if applicable)	Thomson Survey Ltd		
Contact person (if different):			
Full Postal Address:	P O Box 372		
	Kerikeri 0472		
Phone contact:	Mobile: 021 407 732	Home:	Work: 407 7360
Email (please print):	denis@tsurvey.co.nz		

2. (Please select one of the two options below)

- I **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission  
 I **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

*If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete point 3 below*

3.  I **am** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:  
 (A) Adversely affects the environment; and  
 (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition
- I **am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:  
 (A) Adversely affects the environment; and  
 (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition

*Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991*

**The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are:**

*(please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)*

NFL-P4 and NFL-R6 – Farming

Confirm your position:  Support  Support In-part  Oppose  
*(please tick relevant box)*



**My submission is:**

*(Include details and reasons for your position)*

Making any kind of farming within an ONL or ONF a discretionary activity is unacceptable, unreasonable and unjustified. Making any kind of farming within an ONL or ONF within the coastal environment a non-complying activity is even more unacceptable, unreasonable and unjustified. I acknowledge that the rule only applies when establishing a new farming activity and will not therefore impact on existing farming activity, I just find it abhorrent when a District Plan that seems intent on retaining and promoting farming and productive use as the only use of land in the Rural Production Zone, to the exclusion of all else, then has the gall to discourage farming and productive use because of some other 'value' taking precedence.

The rule is not consistent with NFL-P4 which *provides* for farming activities within ONL and ONF's in certain circumstances. If NFL-R6 is to be retained at all (and I prefer its deletion), then non-achievement of the rule should default to restricted discretionary activity status at worst, with the matters of discretion restricted to the aspects listed in NFL-P4.

S198.001

**I seek the following decision from the Council:**

*(Give precise details. If seeking amendments, how would you like to see the provision amended?)*

Delete NRL-R6.

Alternative, if the rule is retained, amend status to Restricted Discretionary, not discretionary and the resulting activity status if not achieved, discretionary rather than non-complying.

The matters of discretion should be related to the matters listed in NFL-P4, i.e. whether the activity will form part of the characteristics and qualities that established the landscape or feature; and whether the activity is consistent with, and does not compromise the characteristics and qualities of the landscape or feature.

S198.002

I wish to be heard in support of my submission

I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission

*(Please tick relevant box)*

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing

Yes  No

Do you wish to present your submission via Microsoft Teams?

Yes  No

**Signature of submitter:**

*(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)*

Date:

19 October 22

*(A signature is not required if you are making your submission by electronic means)*

**Important information:**

1. The Council must receive this submission before the closing date and time for submissions (5pm 21 October 2022)
2. Please note that submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan Review.
3. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this submission form).



**Send your submission to:**

**Post to:** Proposed District Plan  
Strategic Planning and Policy, Far North District Council  
Far North District Council,  
Private Bag 752  
KAIKOHE 0400

**Email to:** [pdp@fndc.govt.nz](mailto:pdp@fndc.govt.nz)

Or you can also deliver this submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library, from 8am – 5pm Monday to Friday.

**Submissions close 5pm, 21 October 2022**

**Please refer to [pdp.fndc.govt.nz](http://pdp.fndc.govt.nz) for further information and updates.**

*Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.*

**Note to person making submission**

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- It contains offensive language
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

**SUBMISSION NUMBER**