

## FORM 33

### Notice of person's wish to be party to proceedings

*Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991*

**To:** The Registrar, Environment Court, Auckland

I, Jeffrey Edward Archer, wish to be a party to the following proceedings:

**ENV-2026-AKL-000155 – Bellingham Quarries Limited v Far North District Council**, an appeal concerning provisions of the Proposed Far North District Plan Decisions Version, including Mineral Extraction Zone rules MEZ-R4, MEZ-R5 and MEZ-R7.

#### **Basis for joining**

I made a submission or further submission about the subject matter of this appeal. My submission/further submission number is: FS329.003.

I have an interest in the proceeding that is greater than the public generally.

I own/occupy property at 76 Blackbridge Drive, Haruru, Northland, 0293 (Lot 5 DP563148) which is located approximately 120m from the Puketona Quarry / Mineral Extraction Zone. I may be directly affected by the outcome of this appeal because the rules under appeal govern expansion and new mineral extraction within Mineral Extraction Zones. My particular interests include [noise / dust / vibration / water / residential amenity / ecology / cultural or archaeological values / other]. These interests are specific to my property and circumstances and are greater than those of the public generally.

**Trade competition:** I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the relevant Resource Management Act provisions.

#### **Parts of the proceedings I am interested in**

I am interested in:

- MEZ-R4 – Expansion of existing mineral extraction activity;
- MEZ-R5 – New mineral extraction activity;
- MEZ-R7 – Activities not otherwise provided for;
- the proposed deletion of the 10% increased-extraction cap and 10 metre boundary setback; and
- any consequential amendments within the scope of the appeal affecting those provisions.

#### **My position**

I oppose the relief sought by Bellingham Quarries Limited where it would make the Mineral Extraction Zone provisions less restrictive by lowering activity status, removing or weakening safeguards, setbacks or limits, narrowing the matters Council can consider, or otherwise reducing Council's ability to assess proposed quarrying activities. This includes both extensions to existing mineral extraction operations and new mineral extraction activities within the zone.

I support retaining the relevant provisions in the Decisions Version, or adopting alternative wording within the scope of the appeal that preserves suitable protections and ensures Council can properly assess, control and, where necessary, address significant adverse effects.

#### **Reasons**

- I live/own land close to Puketona Quarry and may experience site-specific effects from quarry expansion.
- More permissive activity status could reduce Council's ability to decline consent or fully assess site-specific effects.

- Removal of the 10% extraction cap and 10 m setback could increase the scale or proximity of quarrying effects.
- My concerns include noise, dust, vibration, traffic, water quality, wetlands/catchment effects, biodiversity, rural/residential amenity, cultural values and/or archaeology.
- Ventia NZ Operations Limited operates Puketona Quarry and has joined this appeal, creating a direct practical connection between the appeal and Puketona.

## Mediation

**I agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.**

Signed:  \_\_\_\_\_

**Name:** Jeffrey Edward Archer

**Date:** 28 September, 2026

## Address for service

|                                  |                          |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Postal / physical address</b> | PO Box 457, Paihia, 0200 |
| <b>Email</b>                     | haelo@icloud.com         |
| <b>Telephone</b>                 | 0275228101               |