

Online Further Submission

Further Submitters Name Chris Nel

Further Submitter #126

Further Submitter Number FS126

FS126.01

Wish to be heard Yes

FS qualifier a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (e.g. land owner, resource user)

FS qualifier reason I currently reside at 4 Broadview Road with in the proposed Dog and Cat ban area. This puts my family in the proposed dog ban zone.

Reasons:

1. Property Value; We purchased out family house based off the local tracks and dog walking areas. This largely devalues our property and completely changes the area for my family.

2. No alternative dog area proposed with an already extremely limited area for dogs in the BOI in comparison to other regions in New Zealand. This seems to be a skewed reflection of what the rest of the country is doing and seems to reflect a community group pushing an agenda without consideration of other members of the wider community.

3. Walking; Footpaths are already scarce and one of the only ways to walk to Paihia from Opuia is only the coastal walkway. Is there a plan to put foot paths in to connect these areas by road?

4. Why is this proposal targeting densely populated areas and not concentrating on less dense areas? These target zones are going to experience growth, subdivision and development in decades to come. Is this proposal in line with the council plan and is development in this area going to be arrested completely?

5. The kiwi population is growing well without increasing restrictions. Why not focus on those populations first.

6. Where is the plan for better education and training for pet owners and community members on this? Putting in ban zones and without first educating the community is a of resources and will create resistance against nurturing native species.

7. Pests like stoats, rats and mice are currently a much wider problem that is not being discussed. I bank onto native bush and am constantly baiting traps. Are there resources to effectively manage this population or is there a proposal to drop 1080 on the area?

8. SPCA and other animal welfare groups: Are these communities equipped to deal with the reduction is adoption rates. Please consider these non-profit organisations.

Joint presentation Yes

Attention: Chris Nel

Contact organisation

Address for service 4 Broadview road
Opuia
Opuia, Northland 0200

FS126.01

Telephone

Mobile [02041792949](tel:02041792949)

Email chris_nel@live.com

Online further submitter? Yes

Date raw FS lodged 29/08/2023 11:18am

Further submission points

Raw FS number	Original submitter	Related Submission Point	Plan section	Provision	OS Decision Requested	SupportOppose	FS Decision requested	Reasons
---------------	--------------------	--------------------------	--------------	-----------	-----------------------	---------------	-----------------------	---------

FS126.1

Russell Landcare
Trust

S276.014

Ecosystems
and
indigenous
biodiversity

Policies

Amend policies to set
out when, and to what
extent, avoiding is the
preferred option versus
remedying or mitigating
and identify what
particular effects are
being addressed.

Oppose

Disallow

I currently reside at 4 Broadview Road within the proposed Dog and Cat ban area. This puts my family in the proposed dog ban zone.

Reasons:

1. Property Value; We purchased our family house based off the local tracks and dog walking areas. This largely devalues our property and completely changes the area for my family.

2. No alternative dog area proposed with an already extremely limited area for dogs in the BOI in comparison

to other regions in New Zealand. This seems to be a skewed reflection of what the rest of the country is doing and seems to reflect a community group pushing an agenda without consideration of other members of the wider community.

3. Walking; Footpaths are already scarce and one of the only ways to walk to Paihia from Opuia is only the coastal walkway. Is there a plan to put foot paths in to connect these areas by road?

4. Why is this proposal

targeting densely populated areas and not concentrating on less dense areas? These target zones are going to experience growth, subdivision and development in decades to come. Is this proposal in line with the council plan and is development in this area going to be arrested completely?

5. The kiwi population is growing well without increasing restrictions. Why not focus on those populations first.

6. Where is the plan for better education and training

for pet owners and community members on this? Putting in ban zones and without first educating the community is a of resources and will create resistance against nurturing native species.

7. Pests like stoats, rats and mice are currently a much wider problem that is not being discussed. I bank onto native bush and am constantly baiting traps. Are there resources to effectively manage this population or is there a proposal to drop 1080 on the area?

8. SPCA and

other animal
welfare
groups: Are
these
communities
equipped to
deal with the
reduction in
adoption
rates. Please
consider
these non-
profit
organisations.